SWEDISH NEWSPAPERS

(A)

C. M. Alexander v. C. W. Cutler — Dep. 13.
B. B. Alfaro — v. Joe Gomez " 54.
Anthony /
Abby. Willis v. L. Reynolds — 77.
Anderson Lan — v. A. J. Alley — 95
" Dann — 96.
Ashby. J. L. v. M. Smith — 184.
Austin & Coffman v. W. J. Lee — 247

B —

Ed. Baldenebro v. H. W. Phillips et al. Dep. 14.
" Bruno — v. C. W. Cutler . " 20.
" Bunny & Zorb " W. M. & W. R. Smith . 76.
" Brunt. H. W. v. Lewis Hunny " 78
" Bates & Johnson — " White Bros — 115
" Bartley J. M — " W. H. Price — 230
" Bartin Rube — " M. Samaniego 267
" Blanigan L. B. v. V. B. Adams — 294

DEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZ

"C.

N. H Chambers v. N. W. Phillips dism. Page 13.
Com Contcha v. N. H. Burnside " 63
Common Letter v. Frank. Schuld. 92.
Chance v. H. D. Brady dism " 108
Cred. W. H. v B. Schuch 249.

(S-)

W. J. Dickey	vs.	U. S. D. Coy. Boyn	No. 16.		
R. Doolittle	vs.	Hop Lee	" 17.		
Smith. M	vs.	W. R Brown	" 85.		

(E)

Louis Emerich & Co	vs.	A. B. Butler	Stat.	Page	37.
"	"	J. W. Keefer	"	"	38
"	"	Joseph Knight	"	"	38.

DEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZ

(J—)

Ed. Hann & J. H. Walton — Bay 29.
A. Denkhaus, a. L. C. Harris — " 31
" Imm. Lumb Co. — J. Hendrick . 37.
" Imm. Ag. Works a. B. Bullivant — " 79.
" Imm. Bank — " 171

(G)

Imm. Gordon — & — Chas. Brig. Bay 40
Gama H. A. & Conn J. H. — 112.
Gray H. L. — " B. B. Bay Co. 135
Griffith Elec. " L. J. Breen — 2.50

(H.)

Bennett Hillen — n. Geo. Smith dec'd — 18.
Harris Allen — N. M. Williams 47.
Hole. Isaac — n — Dyar. J. D. 114
Herrington J. C. n — J. Hutchings 123
Hall. E. — " G. H. Kohler 133.
Hunt dec'd — " P. B. Bonaker 142.
Harris W. K. " Ch. Blasingame 144
Henry. D. W. — " L. Butler dec'd 191
Hasbrook. H. n. C. D. Hodgdon 287.
Hon. John " D. P. Blevins 304.

(J.)

Jerry. Mayo to Chas. Packard 1936.

Kuhns Goldstein & Co—G. & W. Dahl—P— 70.
" " H. Jaggar " 71
" " M. Zunil " 72.
" " Zunil & Moran— 73.
Kuhling Hermann, J. W. Anderson— 91
Kuhn Goldstein— " Elliot J. D— 114.
" " " Buck Brig 204.
" " " M. Quinlan 205—
" " " H. Banta 214
" " " Joseph Friedman— 234
" Louis—S. H. & Daniel Nichols— 272

A B C D E F G H I J K L M N O P Q R S T U V W X Y Z

(Mc)

J. C. McCamb. vs C. J. Dwen stat. Pag. 32.
Ge. McClellangh vs Eli Camb. stat " 52
" McKinzie & Hall vs Wm Hedley. 224
" Meach Off. vs C. R. Brun. 276

(N)

Nolan. Thos vs A. K. Potts stat. 173.
Nelson. John " P. Le Blanc stat. 237.

(O)

Oakes. J. J vs Geo. Johnson 210

(P)

" Pratt vs Evans 233.

The People vs. Thomas Green Page No. 1.
" " Louis Dunn " " 2.
" " Louis Thiel " " 3.
" " Jerie Strawbridge " 4.
" " G. F. Wyler " " 5.
" " Franklin Mitchell " 6
" " Louis Smith " 7
" " Heath & Smith " 8
" " Nicholas Kenny " 9.
" " Wm. Reynolds " 10
" " Jack Patterson " 12.
" " D. J. Hinds etal " 21
" " Chas Kent " 22.
" " Chas Hagan " 23.
" " D. Bisagga " 24.
" " O. V. Blom " 26
" " Timothy Crowley " 27.
" " Joe Pendergas " 28.
" " Charles Hanley & Taylor " 30.
" " John Hardnett etal " 33
" " Chris Zeil " 34.
" " Michael Hughes " 35.
" " C. Ball " 36
" " Frank E. Egan "
" " Jos Yon " 40
" " Jos. Enos " 41
" " " 42
" " F. F. Rowe " 44.
" " A. J. Swanson " 45.
" " Hallen Henderson " 46.
" " L. Popovich " 47.
" " Michael Cryan " 48.
" " Frank E. Ralf etal " 50
" " Timothy Healy " 51.
" " J. M. Hostis " 53.
" " Ed Smith " 55.
" Bertie Hall " 58
" " John Gregg " 54
" " Chas Lambkin " 61
" " L. B. Gray Jr. " 62.
" " Manuel Rospo " 67.
" " John A. Martin " 68.

The People vs. Geo. Freeman, D. 79
" " " John Williams " 81
" " " Chas. Anderson " 82.
" " " Jno Hanson " 83.
" " " Jos. King Bey " 84.
" " " S. F. Karan " 86.
" " " " 88.
" " " Chs. Cole " 89.
" " " A. J. Alley " 93
" " " Same " 97.
" " " Ah Yun " 98
" " " Smith & Bro. Breese 99.
" " " Wm Johnson etal. 100
" " " Ed. Burch etal. 101
" " " John Welch etal. 102
" " " Jack Patton " 103
" " " Pete Garment 104
" " " J. F. Thornhurst 105
" " " Glen Wright 106
" " " John Kelly etal 107.
" " " Casimiti Costa 109.
" " " Indian Charley 110
" " " Frank Hanson 111.
" " " Ah Cabbage " 113.
" " " S. Bezaya 114
" " " Pat Malony 119
" " " P. J. Garment 120
" " " John Day etal 124
" " " Frank Hanson 125
" " " Popovich & Malony 126
" " " M. S. Bezaya etal 127.
" " " Chs. Best " 128.
" " " Jacob Rothen 129.
" " " John Lopas " 130
" " " A. A. Garment 131
" " " A. J. Alley 132
" " " Wm. J. Lopas 134
" " " Al. Stubbs 136
" " " B. Jacob 137.
" " " Lewis Fri etal 138
" " " Lou Fri etal 139.
" " " Lewis Fri etal 140
" " " Nick Bach " 143.
" " " Ed Welch etal 144.

Respt.	H. D. Sam—	145
"	D. Sheerin —	146
"	Chs. Burling	147.
"	Jas. Daly—	150
"	Peter Brandt—	151
"	Geo. Thompson— dead	152.
"	Rachel Carroll—	153
"	" "	154
"	John Williams	155
"	J. Isabel. —	157
"	Arthur Hunerwadt	158.
"	Jas. Carroll dead.	159
"	John Sullivan. dead.	160
"	D Lehman—	161
"	Peter Olsen dead.	164
"	Ah Dam—	165.
"	Jack Patterson	169
"	Michael Faulkner.	174
"	Jas. Daly—	172
"	Frank Hutchings	175
"	John Lee—	174.
"	John McEneely—	177
"	J. B. Lovell —	178.
"	D. H. Keenan	179.
"	Ah Cann	189.
"	John Enos —	190
"	J. J. & Frank Tunney—	192.
"	Wm. Stuart —	193.
"	Jimmy Sullivan —	194
"	" "	195
"	Wm. Smith & John Brown—	196
"	Ge. Schneider	197.
"	Frank Cooper dead —	199.
"	Pat Mahoney "	200
"	John Riley	201
"	Louis Karlson	202
"	Thos. Brown	203
"	Jas. Sullivan dead —	206
"	John Welch —	207
"	John Cunningham dead.	208
"	Henry Smith.	209.
"	John Cunningham dead.	211
"	Louis Nash dead	212
"	Ah Jim dead.	213.
"	Chas. Wilson dead—	215
"	Geo. D. Hunt—	216.
"	Ah Jim —	217
"	Louis Nash	218
"	Lee. Brig dead —	219.
"	Seaport Smith dead.	220
"	John Hennessy dead—	221
"	Wm. Sharkey—	222.
"	Ah Chin —	223
"	Mary Simms —	224
"	Edward Daly—	225
"	H. Banta dead.	226
"	Robert Hall—	227
"	Joseph Adams—	229
"	J. M. Butler.	231
"	A. O. Anderson —	232
"	W. H. Pierce	234
"	Indian Jerry—	236
"	C. W. Lawson —	238.
"	Timothy Buckley—	239.
"	W. H. Platt—	240
"	John Sabel—	242
"	W. H. Platt—	243
"	Jas. Enos dead—	244
"	Harry Foster	246
"	Wm. Hunter—	246
"	Jimmy Tunney—	248.
"	Geo. Wright	251
"	Jas Enos—	252
"	Ah Banta	253
"	Louis Cunningham —	254
"	Wm. Hutchinson	255.
"	Pat Mahoney—	256
"	Wm. Smith	257
"	Dennis Ah Yen dead.	258
"	Dennis Chin —	259
"	Edith Cunningham	261
"	" "	262.
"	Chas. La. Fontaine	263
"	Ge Schneider	264
"	China Andy dead	268
"	Frank Pool—	268
"	" "	269.

P Q R S T U V W X Y Z

(R)

Rucker Wm — M. C. R. Bom. Page 149
Rupert-Geo " W. & Grady. 154

149-
15-4.

S.

P. Schumaker & Co. to W. W. Phillips dec'd. Pay — 14
Pattie Dunning to D. Biagetta " 25.
Ch. Schrock to Sam Lee 43.
Statham & Co. to Sam Rabuck 65.
Stroud John A. " Geo. Graber dec'd 64.
Sylvester W. H. to L. Popovich 121
Shaw J. to J. Lamb 122
Smith G. W. to G. F. Bay 240
Schaffer J. W. to M. Lawrence 244
Smith W. L. to Mann Hill 292.

Shelton B. to J. N. Poole 174.
Stubbs A. to J. M. Lockard 275
" " " C. E. Graham 288

T.

Texas Furniture Co. to Geo. Bickel 162.

STU

Ward. G. H. to Dr. J. A. Governeur. Bay St.

" E. A. Governeur do 11

S. S. "Knight" " E. R. Bates dtd. 40.

Fragonard, Anthony - to Guy Long - 69.

Will & Co. to W. & Bros dtd 141

" L. H. Winchell to John Harmon dtd. 241

V.

" Varilio. Angelo - to R. Bartlett - 90

" Vanderlip. J. B. - to John Fry - " 94

Nakura - G. B. " A. Davis - 117

Vernon Mrs - " O. J. Meade. 163

No. 313.

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

County of Butte.

State of California.

Action Petit Larceny.

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Thomas Green — Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1886		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug.	9th	Complaint filed & warrant issued & given Policeman McDaniel
"	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Defendant pleads Not guilty. Cause set down for trial at 2. O.C. P.M. Aug 10th 1886
"	10.	2. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court. His Cause Continued until 8 O.C. P.M. Aug. 11th 1886
"	11.	8. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court and ready for trial. Witnesses sworn and examined for and on the part of the People. The Court hears the matter under advisement. And after fully considering the same. Finds from the testimony that the Defendant is guilty as charged. And defendant remand the legal time for Sentence.
"		Whereupon by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Thomas Green be and you are hereby fined in the Sum of $10.00 and in default of the payment of the Same that you be and you are hereby committed to the Sheriff of the County of Butte and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for period of one day for any dollar of said fine.

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 314.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

Action Petit Larceny

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Lin Dinn - Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1,118.

DATE. 1884	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 9th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Policeman McBruce.
" 9th	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law. Defendant pleads guilty as charged and waives the legal time for Sentence -
Dated	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Lin Dinn be and you are hereby fined in the Sum of $65.00 - Defendant at once paid said fine - and was thereupon discharged -
	Paid. Officer $2.50 - J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 316

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Battery

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Louis Thal Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1884	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 9th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const Winchell.
" 11.	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the Court Defendant pleads Not guilty. Cause set down for trial at 9. O.C. A.M. Aug. 12th 1884
"	Issued Subpoena for the People.
" 12.	9. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court and ready for trial. Witnesses sworn and examined pro and con. Cause submitted. The Court after hearing fully considered the same finds that the defendant is guilty as charged. Defendant waives the legal time for sentence.
"	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Louis Thal be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $6.00. Defendant at once paid said fine and was thereupon discharged. Paid Const, $2.00.

E. H. Hill. J. P.

No. 316.

In the Justice's Court of Burrell Township,

County of Burnett

State of California.

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Geo Strawbridge — Defendant

Action Petit Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1118.

DATE. 1886		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug.	9th	Complaint filed Warrant issued ogie Const to arrest.
	11th	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Defendant pleads not guilty. Defendant Committed to the Sheriff of Burnett County to await his trial in default of a Bond of $100.00.
	11.	Cause set down for trial at 10 o'Clock A.M. Aug. 16th 1886. Defendant held for trial at his bond of $100.00.
		Issued Subpoenas for the People and Defendant.
"	14.	Now comes the part- ies named before the trial of this action and acknowledge themselves satisfied to the Justice for the aigney due him paid all of the Cost incurred in the prosecution of said action. Whereupon that all proceedings on the part of the prosecution be dismissed and that the Defendant be discharged.

D. W. Hills J.P.

No. 317

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

The People of California

Plaintiff

vs.

G. W. Wyles

Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1884	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 9th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & Sheriff Court - Gillespie
" 11th	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court, Defendant pleads guilty and waives the legal time for Sentence -
"	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid - it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you G. W. Wyles be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $9.00 - Defendant at once paid said fine and was thereupon discharged - Paid Court - Gillespie $5.00
	J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 316.

In the Justice's Court of Fourth T. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Account

G. H. Ward — Plaintiff

vs.

John A. Harman — Defendant

Demand $23.00

Whitten & Webb — Attorney for Plaintiff

S. P. Hicks & Bro — Attorney for Defendant

No. 448.

DATE. 1884		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug.	11.	Complaint filed Summons issued and given Const. Fanning-
"		affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment
		approved & filed Writ of attachment issued & given Const. Fanning-
"	12.	Summons returned showing personal Service on the Defendant
		together with a Copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 11th
		day of August 1884. and filed
"		Writ of Attachment Executed returned and filed-
	14.	Now Comes the defendant by his attorney S. P. Hicks and
		for answer denies each and every material
		allegation in the Plaintiff's Complaint Contained-
"		Summons Bill - done for argument at 9. O.C. A.M. Aug. 17th 1884-
"	17.	10. O.C. A.M. Summons Submitted and overruled -.-
"		Cause set down for trial at 2. O.C. P.M. - Aug. 20th 1884-
"	20.	Defendant file his answer to the Plaintiff's Complaint herein-
"		3. O.C. P.M. Parties in Court - and Say they are ready for trial
		G. H. Willis and G. H. Ward. Sworn and Examined as
		witness for the Plaintiff. Prosecution Closed - direct -
		Defendant - Moves the Court - for nonsuit upon the grounds
		of the insufficiency of evidence to establish Plaintiff's Claim-
		Motion overruled Defendant Excepts - John A. Harman
		Sworn and Examined as a witness in his own behalf-
		Defense Closed - Cause argued and Submitted
		The Court - took the Same under advisement -
	23.	The Court - having fully Considered this Matter -
"		Therefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid
		it is ordered and adjudged that the Defendant do have
		and recover of and from the Plaintiff a judgment
		for his Costs necessarily expended in the defense of
		Said action - taxed at - Nine dollars - also for Plaintiff Cost
		taxed at —— Three and 25/100 Cost expended in the prosecution of this action
"		Judgment for Defendant's Cost — 9.00
		" " Plaintiff's Cost: Justice's fees - 3.25
		" " Constable — " 14.30
		Total amount of Judgment — $ 26.55

S. P. Hicks. J.P.

Plaintiff's attorney gives notice of appeal to stay of proceedings for Defendant- filed this 23rd - Aug. 23rd 1884-

Defendant's Bond on Appeal approved Aug. 23rd 1884.

No. 312.

In the Justice's Court of Knight Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Petit Larceny

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Frankie Mitchell

Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

W H Sayre

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1884		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug.	11.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Policeman M Doull.
"	11.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against her and of her rights before the Court Defendant pleads not guilty as charged, Cause set down for trial at 2. O.C.P.M. this day
"	2. O.C.P.M.	Defendant in Court - and Dep Dist is ready for trial - Henry Miller sworn and examined for the Prosecution. People closed - Frankie Mitchell and Lillie Williams, sworn and examined for the Defense - Defense closed - Cause Submitted The Court after having fully Considered the Cause - finds the Defendant guilty as charged and orders that the defendant appear for Sentence - at 2. O.C. A.M. Aug. 12th 1884
12.	9. O.C. A.M.	This being the hour fixed for Sentence, the defendant being informed of the Nature of the Charge against her and of her plea and the verdict - thereon and asked whether She has any legal Cause to Show why Judgment Should not be pronounced against her -
"		The defendant asked that a new trial be granted, upon the grounds of newly discovered evidence material to the defendant and of which She has no knowledge at the time of the trial - and produced the necessary affidavits of the witness by whom such newly discovered evidence is expected to be given - Motion granted by the Court -
"		Defendant held for trial in the Sum of $500 Bond approved and filed -

No. 320.

In the Justice's Court of 5. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Petit Larceny

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Louis Smith — Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1148.

DATE. 1884	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 11.	Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given Const. to serve.
Paid "	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court —
"	Cause dismissed and Defendant discharged —

D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 321.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Tuolumne

State of California.

Action Forfeiting

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Chas Heath & Lewis Smith
Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1864	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 11.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & Case docketed.
" 11 "	Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights before the Law.
paid	" Cause dismissed and Defendants discharged.
	J. H. Hill J.P.

8

No. 322.

In the Justice's Court of 5. Township,

County of Yuba

State of California.

Action to restrain the Peace

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Nicholas Kinney

Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1,118. DATE. 1884		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug.	11.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Morris Shull-
Sept	12.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court Defendant pleads not guilty- Cause dismissed- upon the ground of insufficient evidence to convict-

J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 323.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Yuba

State of California.

Action Petit Larceny

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

William Reynolds

Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1886		PROCEEDINGS
Aug.	12.	Complaint filed Warrant issued on Policeman McDonnell
"	13.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises. Defendant pleads not guilty Cause set down for trial at 4. O.C. P.M. Aug 13th 1886 — issued subpoena for the People and defendant.
"		4. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court and says he is ready for trial. Witnesses sworn and examined for & on the Cause Submitted. The Court after hearing fully Considered the Same finds that the defendant is guilty And orders that he appear for Sentence at 2. O.C. P.M. Aug. 14th 1886 —
"	14.	2. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court. This being the hour fixed for Sentence Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for Said offence that you William Reynolds be and you are hereby fined in the Sum of Eight dollars — Defendant in open Court Said fine and thereof is Charged — Paid McDonnell Jr. —

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 324.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Yuba

State of California.

Action Battery

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Jack Patterson Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. Items. DATE. 1884	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 12th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given

No. 325

In the Justice's Court of E. — Township,

County of Yuba

State of California.

Action Account

G. F. Ware — Plaintiff

vs.

E. A. Brownell & Co — Defendants

Demand $15.05

Attorney ____ for Plaintiff ____

Attorney ____ for Defendant ____

DATE. 1884-		PROCEEDINGS
May.	13.	Complaint filed & summons issued & given Plaintiff Constable.
	"	Affidavit for attachment made and filed Undertaking on attachment approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Plff. Constable.
	20.	This Cause dismissed by order of Plaintiff at Plaintiff's Cost. S. H. Hill. J.P.
Trans Page 12.		
Sept.	4th	2. O.C. P.M. Defendants in Court. This being the time fixed for the decision of the Court. It appearing to me that the offence charged in the Complaint on file herein has been committed, and that there is Cause to believe the above named defendants S. J. Hinds & B. Donahue and William Qualls guilty thereof. It is Ordered that they be held to answer to the same and committed to the Sheriff of the County of Yuba and that they be admitted to bail in the Sum of One Thousand Dollars each and are Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Yuba until they give such bail. Bonds approved and filed for each of said Defendants. S. H. Hill. J.P. Ex. officio Magistrate in and for the County of Yuba.

No. 326.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Yuma

State of California.

Action Felony

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

D.J. Hinds, P.B. Bonaker and William Dnalls — Defendant

Demand $

Craig & Craig — Attorney for Plaintiff

Wiggintin, Supp & Wheaton — Attorney for Defendant

No. 1,118.

DATE. 1884	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 13.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Sheriff Made-
"	Defendants in Court and informed of the Chage against them and of their rights in the premises — Defendants D.J. Hinds and P.B. Bonaker held for Examination in the sum of $1000.00 each — Bonds approved and filed — and that Defendant William Dnalls be held to answer for examination in the sum of $500.00. Bond approved and filed —
"	This Cause by Consent of Parties is set for examination Sept. 3. 1884. at 10. O.C. A.M. —
24.	Issued Subpoenas for the Defendants —
27.	" " " " People —
Sept. 3.	10. O.C. A.M. Defendants in Court — and Say they are ready for Examination Defendants demand that the Witness be ordered to retire — The Court appointed J.M. Gearhart Short Bond Constable for this Court —
	Defendants demanded a Separate Examination — Motion overruled — Defendants Excpt — Wiggintin Supp and Wheaton appear as Counsel for Hinds & Bonaker — Parties ready for Examination. A.C Williams. J.H. Daly — C.G. Bayle — M.K. Harris — Sworn and Examined as witnesses for the Prosecution —
	Court took a recess until two O.C. P.M — this day —
"	2 — O.C. P.M. Defendants in Court — Parties ready to proceed with the Examination — William Dnalls — and John M. Albin Sworn and Examined as witnesses for the Prosecution — after which the Prosecution Closed —
"	Now Comes the Defendants by their Counsel — and Moves the Court to discharge the defendants upon the ground that the evidence is insufficient to hold the defendants to answer — Motion overruled defendants Excpt —
	Defence waived the introduction of any Testimony — and Cause Submitted — The Court took the Same under advisement until 2 O.C. P.M. Sept. 4th 1884 —

See Page — 11 —

No. 327.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Money Had & Received

W. H. Chamberlain. Plaintiff

vs.

W. W. Phillips, C. A. Owen and R. J. Owen — Defendant

Demand $200 00

Snyder & Snyder Attorneys for Plaintiff

Ward & Grady Attorneys for Defendant

DATE. 1886		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug.	13.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given to Sheriff Costs Demand
	14.	Defendants file their demurrer to the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein
	16.	Summons returned & Sheriff personal service on the Defendants together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 14th day of Aug. 1886 and files —
"	27.	9. O.C. A.M. Parties in Court — Demurrer submitted and overruled
"	"	Now comes the Defendants by their attorneys Ward & Grady and for answer denies each and every material allegation in the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein Contained —
	"	By Consent this Cause is Set down for trial at 10. O.C. A.M. Sept. 4th 1886.
Sept.	24.	By Consent of Parties this Cause is Set down for trial Oct. 4th 1886. at 10. O.C. A.M.
Oct.	4.	This Cause by Consent is Continued until 10. O.C. A.M. Oct. 15th 1886.
"	15.	By Consent this Cause is Continued until 10. O.C. A.M. Oct. 22nd 1886.
	22.	Cause Continued without day for trial
Nov.	8.	This Cause Set down for trial at 10. O.C. A.M. Nov. 13th 1886 —
"	13.	11. O.C. A.M. Parties in Court, Defendant demands trial by jury issue joined — Cause Continued until 1. O.C. P.M. Nov. 15th 1886 —
"		1. O.C. P.M. Parties in Court venire returned and filed — Parties now agree to try this Cause without a jury —
		Cause Continued by Consent of Parties until 10. O.C. A.M. Nov. 15th 1886 —
	15	10. O.C. A.M. Parties in Court and ready to proceed with this Cause — W. H. Chamberlain — Sworn and Examined as a witness by the Plaintiff — Plaintiff offered and filed in evidence a Contract, W. W. Phillips — Sworn and Examined as a witness by the Plaintiff —
		Court took a recess until 2. O.C. P.M. — this day —
"		2. O.C. P.M. Parties in Court — Cause Submitted and argued — and taken under advisement by the Court.
"	18	The Court having fully considered this whole matter —
"	"	Therefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said Defendants the sum of Two Hundred Dollars the amount sued for and the sum of $[illegible] Costs necessarily incurred in the prosecution of this action See 1.17.

No. 328.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Butte

State of California.

Action Mong hadreceived

Ed. Baldenebro — Plaintiff

vs.

H. H. Phillips. C A Owen & R. D. Owen — Defendants

Demand $200 ⁰⁰

Duprey & Dupree — Attorney for Plaintiff

Ward & Grady — Attorney for Defendant

No. 1118.

DATE. 1884		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug.	13.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given to Dept. Const. Sheriff
"	14.	Defendants file their demurrer to the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein —
	14.	Summons returned showing personal Service on the Defendants together with a Copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 14th day of Aug 1884. and filed —
"	27.	J. O. C. A. M. Parties in Court. Demurrer Submitted and overruled —
	"	Now Come the Defendants by their Attorney Ward & Grady and for Answer denies each and every material allegation in the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein Contained —
	"	By Consent of Parties this Cause is Set down for trial at 11. O.C. A.M. Sept. 4th 1884.
Sept.	24.	By Consent of Parties this Cause is set down for trial at 11. O.C. A.M. Oct. 4th 1884 —
Oct.	4.	This Cause by Consent is Continued until 11. O.C. A.M. Oct. 15th 1884
	15.	By Consent of Parties this Cause is Continued until 11. O.C. A.M. Oct. 22d 1884 —
	22.	Cause Continued without day fixed
Nov.	8.	This Cause is Set down for Trial at 11. O.C. A.M. Nov 13th 1886 —
1887. Feb.	12.	By order of Plaintiff this Cause is dismissed. J.H. Gaston — D.H. Hill. J.P.

No. 329

In the Justice's Court of [illegible] Township,

County of Yuma

State of California.

Action Money Lent & received

P. Schumaker — Plaintiff

vs.

W. W. Phillips. C. A. Owen & R. S. Owen — Defendants

Demand $100.00

Stephens & Supper Attorney for Plaintiff

Ward & Grady Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1886		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug.	13.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given to Sheriff Court Adjourned
	14.	Defendants file their demurrer to the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein.
	14.	Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendants together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 14th day of Aug. 1886. and filed —
	27.	9. O.C. A.M. Parties in Court — Demurrer Submitted and overruled
	"	Now comes the Defendants by their attorneys Ward & Grady and for answer denies each and every material allegation in the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein contained
	"	By Consent of Parties this Cause is set down for trial at 10. O.C. A.M. Sept. 4th 1886.
Sept.	24.	By Consent of Parties this Cause is set down for trial at 10. O.C. A.M. Oct. 4th 1886 —
Oct.	4.	This Cause by Consent is Continued until 10. O.C. A.M. Oct. 15th 1886 —
	15.	By Consent of Parties this Cause is Continued until 10th O.C. A.M. Oct. 22d 1886 —
	22.	Cause Continued without day fixed
Nov.	8	This Cause is set down for trial at 10. O.C. A.M. Nov. 13th 1886 —
1887. Jan.	12.	By order of Plaintiffs this Cause is dismissed —

J. D. Fees — Paid —

D. A. Hill

No. 331.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Assigned Account

W. J. Lisky Plaintiff

vs.

L. D. Terry

Defendant

Demand $42.90/100

Dusspa & Tuppa
Attorneys for Plaintiff

R. H. Ward
Attorney for Defendant

No. 1115.

DATE. 1886.		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug.	16.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Plaintiff Atty —
"	24.	Summons returned showing personal Service on the Defendant together with a Copy of the Complaint on file herein at Stockton County of San Joaquin on the 23. day of Aug 1886. and filed this day
Sept.	11.	Defendant files his answer to the Plaintiff Complaint on file herein —
Nov	24.	This Cause is Set down for trial at 9 O.C.A.M. Nov 29th 1886 —
	29.	" " " " " " " 10. O.C.A.M. Jan 15th 1887.

No. 331.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Replevin

R. Lockard — Plaintiff

vs.

Hop Lee — Defendant

Demand $60.00 — or possession —

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1886-	PROCEEDINGS	
Aug. 16.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given to Const. Gillespie —	
"	Summons returned Showing personal Service on to Defendant — together with a Copy of the Complaint — on file Same on the 16th day of Aug — 1886. and filed —	
17.	This Cause submitted in Full. & dis missed —	
	D. H. Hill J. P.	
Same —		
Page 13 —	all in Fvol Case of the United States —	
"	Judgment — for the Plaintiff sum for —	200.00
	" " " Justices fees —	11.20
	" " " Constable " —	5.10
	Total Amount of Judgment —	$216.30
	D. H. Hill J. P. —	
	Defendant allowed 2 days of proceeding for ten days —	
19.	Plaintiff files his Cost Bill $16.30 —	
Nov 27.	Defendant file their notice and undertaking on appeal to the Superior Court —	
	Accepting Cost — J. P. fee $3.00 —	
Dec. 11.	Handed Defendant — appellant Transcript —	
"	Handed the County Clerk the papers and transcript in this action — on appeal to the Superior Court —	
	D. H. Hill J. P. —	

No. 332.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Sierra.

State of California.

Action Account for Labor

Bennett Hillen Plaintiff

vs.

Ge. Zirk & Hann. Cook Defendant

Demand $90 cts

Sayle & Harris. Attorney for Plaintiff

Wheeler & Webb Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1886-		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug.	16.	Complaint filed Summons issued and given Const Gillespie
"		affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved and filed Writ of attachment issued & given Const Gillespie
	19.	Defendant files his ~~demurrer~~ answer to the Plff's Complaint herein
"		Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 19th day of Aug 1886. & filed Writ of attachment Executed returned and filed
Sept.	29th	This Cause by Consent set down for trial at 10. O'C. A. M. Oct 6th 1886 Issued Subpoena for the Plaintiff
Oct.	6th	10. O'C. A. M. Parties in Court - and ready for trial -
		B. Hillen & E. Pohlman sworn sworn and Examined as witnesses for the Plaintiff - Rec'd in Evidence Closed
		Defendant - Moved the Court to grant a nonsuit - Motion overruled Exception - Exception -
		Benj. Hillen - on the Stand as a witness for the defendant Ge. Zirk - Richard Oneal - and C. H. Ellis Sworn and Examined as witnesses for the defendant - Defendant Closed -
"		Cause argued and submitted - The Court after hearing fully considered the Same -
		Whereupon by reason of the law the evidence and premises aforesaid & the order and adjudge that the Defendant do have and recover of and from the Plaintiff - a judgment for his Costs necessarily incurred in this action - taxed as follows viz -
"		Judgment for the Justices fees - $11.50
		" " Constable " - 15.50
		" " Witness Charles Ellis - 1 - day 16 Miles - 5.20
		" Richard Oneal - " " " " 5.20
		Total amount of Judgment - $37.40
		D. H. Hill J. P.
"		Defendant filed his Cost - bill - $37.40
"		Plaintiff allowed 2 days for preparing for trial
11.		Credit by $29.75

Oct. 12th 1886 - Plff's Exceptions to Cost Bill filed

No. 333.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,
County of Inyo
State of California.

C. M. Alexander — Plaintiff

vs.

C. W. Cutler — Defendant

Action Damages

Demand $299.00

Doyle & Harris — Attorney for Plaintiff

E. S. Edwards — Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1886.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 16.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given to Const: Gillespie
" 19.	Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on 18th day of Aug 1886. and filed —
21st	Now comes the Defendant by his attorney E. S. Edwards and files his demurrer to the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein.
Sept. 4th	Defendant in Court, Demurrer submitted and argued — Now comes the Defendant by his attorney E. S. Edwards and for answer denies each and every material allegation in the Plaintiffs Complaint contained —
27.	This Cause by consent of parties set down for hearing at 10 O.C.A.M. Oct. 5th 1886 —
"	Issued Subpoena for the Plaintiff —
Oct: 2.	Subpoena returned and filed —
5.	10. O.C.A.M. Parties in Court and ready for Trial — Albert Hallett, Richard Redmayne and Gustave Eisen — Sworn and Examined as witnesses for the Prosecution — after which the Plaintiff closed —
"	Joseph Crockett, Gustave Eisen and C. W. Cutler — Examined as witnesses for the defense — Defense closed — To be argued at the pleasure of Court —
7.	Cause argued and Submitted — Court took the same under advisement without delay —
11th	The Court having fully considered this matter —
"	Whereupon by reason of the law and the evidence in the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant aforesaid judgment for the sum of $64.00 damages and the further sum of $35.50 Costs necessarily expended in the prosecution of this action in conformity with the United States —
"	Judgment for damages allowed by the Court — 64.00
"	" Justices fees — 8.00
"	" Constables " 10.40
	Witness — Hallett & Ramage — 2. & 3. M. — 5.40
	Mileage — fees — 11.50
	Total amount of Judgment — $99.30

S. H. Hilo Jus.

Oct — 12th 1886 — Writ of Execution issued — Delivered to Const: Gillespie

Defendant attorney & Stay of Proceedings for Ten days —

Nov 24th Issued Execution & given to Const: Gillespie

1887. Mch 5th Execution fees — Paid by Plaintiff

No. 334

In the Justice's Court of Township,

County of Yuma

State of California.

Action Damages

Edward Brun — Plaintiff

vs.

C. H. Cullen — Defendant

Demand $299.00/100

Gayle & Hanis — Attorney for Plaintiff

E. B. Edwards — Attorney for Defendant

No. 1118.

DATE. 1884		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug.	16.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Gillespie.
"	19.	Summons returned showing personal Service on the defendant together with a Copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 18th day of Aug. 1884. and filed.
"	21st.	Now Comes the defendant by his attorney E. B. Edwards and files his demurrer to the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein.
Sept.	4.	Defendant in Court. Demurrer Submitted and overruled.
"		Now Come the defendant by his attorney E. B. Edwards and for answer denies each and every material allegation in the Plaintiffs Complaint Contained.
	27.	This Cause by Consent of parties is Set down for trial at 10. O'C. A. M. Oct 6th 1884.
Oct.	6th.	10. O'C. A. M. Parties in Court and ready for trial. Albert Hallett Richard Readmann & Geo Eirm. Sworn and Examined as witnesses for the Prosecution Plffs. Closed Joseph Corbell. Geo Eirm and C. H. Cullen. Sworn and examined as witnesses for the defendant. Defendant Closed.
"		Cause to be argued at the pleasure of Counsel.
"	7th.	Cause argued and Submitted. The Court. Took the same under advisement. without day.
"	11.	The Court having fully considered this matter.
"		Whereupon by reason of the law and the evidence in the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant a judgment for the Sum of $96.00 damages and the further Sum of $10.00 Costs. Incurred in the prosecution of this action in behalf of the United States.
"		Judgment for the Principal allowed by the Court. 96.00
		" " " Justices fees. 6.50
		" " " Constables " 3.50
		" Total amount of Judgment. $106.00
		Defendant allowed ten days stay of proceedings. E. B. Hill J.P.
	12.	Plff. filed his cost bill.
1884. Aug	19.	J.P. fees. Paid Plaintiff

No. 335.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Battery —.

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Charles Knox

Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1884		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug.	17.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Policeman McBonnell —
Paid	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant pleads guilty — Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you Chas. Knox be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $5.00 — Defendant at once paid said fine and costs & discharged — Misc Cond $2.50

S. H. Hill

J. P.

No. 336.

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

County of Yuma

State of California.

Action Bastardy

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Chs. Hogan

Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1,118.

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1884	
Aug. 17.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & Constable.

No. 337.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Butte

State of California.

Action assault.

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

S. Biazza.

Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1884		PROCEEDINGS
Aug.	17.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court. Writ dismissed.
Sept.	2.	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises - Defendant pleads Not guilty. Defendant held for his appearance for trial in the sum of $25.00 Bond approved and filed -
	14.	This Cause set down for trial at 9. O.C. A.M. Aug 19th 1884. Issued Subpoenas for the People and defendant -
	19.	2. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court and says he is ready for trial - Witnesses sworn and examined pro and Con Cause Submitted. The Court after hearing fully considered the matter. finds that the defendant is guilty - and Orders that the Defendant appear for Sentence at 9. O.C. A.M. Aug 20th 1884 -
	20.	9. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court, this being the hour fixed for Sentence. Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you S. Biazza be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $5.00 Defendant at once paid said fine and is thereupon discharged -

Paid Supt. Court Gillespie - $5.00 - E. H. Hill. J.P.

No. 338.

In the Justice's Court of 5. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Grand Larceny

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

O. V. Blom.

Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 118.

DATE. 1884		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug 19th	19.	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given the officer.
Oct.	9.	Issued alias Warrant of arrest against Court this day-
1887, April	28	Issued alias Warrant of arrest against Sheriff O.J. Made-
" June	3.	Issued alias Warrant and gave Dept Sheriff F. J. White
"	7.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his right in the premises— Cause set down for Examination at 10. O.C. A.M. June 10th 1887.— Defendant held for his appearance for Examination in the Sum of $500— Bond approved and filed—
		Issued Subpoenas for the People & Defendant
"	10.	~~10. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court and says his ready for trial E. B. Benhard appointed Short hand reporter in this Cause— Witnesses Sworn and Examined as witnesses for the prosecution— Counsel for Cause on Court Submitted— after which— The Court ordered that the Defendant be discharged upon the grounds that~~
"	11.	2. O.C.A.M. Defendant in Court and by Consent of the Defendant— This Cause is Continued until 10. O.C.A.M. June 16th 1887.
"	15.	Issued Subpoenas for the Defendant.
"	16.	Cause Continued until 2. O.C.A.M. June 16th 1887.
"	2.	O.C.A.M. Defendant in Court; and says he is ready for Examination— The Court appointed E.B. Benhard Short hand reporter in this Cause—
		W. B. Patterson— W. M. Hughes— W. J. Kirby, & O.J. Rudsfield Sworn and Examined as witnesses for the prosecution— People Closed— Defendant moved a nonsuit and asked that he be discharged— Motion overruled Defendant Excepts— O.V. Blom Sworn and Examined in his own behalf— Cause Closed argued & Submitted The Court took the Matter under advisement until 10— O.C.A.M. June 18th 1887—
"	18.	10. O.C.A.M. Defendant in Court this being the time fixed for the finding of the Court—
		Dec Page— 44

No. 339

In the Justice's Court of S. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

Action account for board

Walter Simmons Plaintiff

vs.

S Bisazza

Defendant

Demand $4.13

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1886	PROCEEDINGS
Aug. 19.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given in Court: Gillespie
20.	Summons returned Showing personal Service and Defendant together with a Copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 20th day of Aug. 1886. and filed—
	Cause Paid in full and dismissed—
	D. H. Hill J. P.—
Trans. Page 311.	
Aug. 31.	Defendant Chas. Bunbury in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises, Defendant held to appear for examination on his own recognizance—
Trans. Page 24.	The Court after having fully considered this matter— Trans orders that the Defendant O. V. Blum— be discharged upon the ground that the evidence is insufficient to Commit—
	D. H. Hill J. P.—

No. 344

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Trespassing

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Timothy Brandy
Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1,118.

DATE. 1884	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 10th	23 Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Constable to arrest Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the bar Defendant pleads not guilty and Committed for trial to the Sheriff of Fresno County.

No. 341.

In the Justice's Court of T. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Vulgar & Profane Language

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Dmitsky Bradley

Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1886.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug 23	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const: Wm Shell-
paid	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the Court Defendant pleads not guilty - and Committed for trial to the Sheriff of Fresno County.

No. 342

In the Justice's Court of ..... Township,

County of .....

State of California.

The People of California — Plaintiff vs. Joe. Pendergast — Defendant	Action ..... Demand $ ..... Attorney ..... for Plaintiff Attorney ..... for Defendant

No. 1108.

DATE. 1884	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 23	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court this cause.
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises. Defendant plead guilty
"	whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that he Said Defendant Joe Pendergast be and he is hereby fined in the sum of $5.50 Defendant allowed until Tuesday the 27th day of August 1884 in which to pay Said fine.
"	Fine Paid Costs also Charged Paid Cost $7.50

J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 343

In the Justice's Court of 5. Township,

County of Butte

State of California.

Action Note & Account

Col. Gann — Plaintiff

vs.

J. H. Walton — Defendant

Demand $ 60 ⁰⁰

Dupuy & Dupuy — Attorney for Plaintiff

Bagley & Harris — Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1884	PROCEEDINGS
Aug. 24.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Plaintiff Counsel
"	Affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved and filed Writ of attachment issued & given Plff's Counsel.
25.	Summons returned showing personal Service on the Defendant together with a Copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 24th day of Aug 1884 and filed
"	Writ of attachment Execution returned and filed —
31.	Now Comes the defendant by his attorney Bagley & Harris and for answer denies each and every material allegation in the Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein Contained —
"	Summons Issued for argument at 9. O.C. A.M. Aug 31st 1884 —
"	9. O.C. A.M. Summons Submitted and overruled
"	Defendant files his answer to the Plff's Complaint on file herein —
Sept. 6.	This Cause dismissed at Plaintiff's Cost —

D. H. Hill, J. P.

No. 344.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Yuba

State of California.

The People of California

Plaintiff

vs.

Charles Burleigh & H. G. Sayler

Defendant

Action Grand Larceny.

Demand $

C. C. Truman
Attorney for Plaintiff

McBride & Sayler
Attorney for Defendant

No. 1118.

DATE. 1886	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug 25	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Policeman McBride.
" "	Defendant H. G. Sayler in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court - Defendant committed to the Sheriff of Yuba County in default of Bond of $500 for his appearance for Examination -
"	Issued alias Warrant for the arrest of Charles Burleigh -
26.	This Cause by Consent set down for examination at 2. O.C.P.M. August 27th 1886 -
	Issued Subpoena for the People.
" 27.	2. O.C.P.M. Defendant H. G. Sayler and says he is ready for Examination - J. H. Gearhart appointed Short-hand Reporter for this Cause - H. J. Circo. P. LeBlanc - John Miller - Wm Logan - H. C. Howard & J. Cabrera - Sworn and Examined as witnesses for the Prosecution - People closed - J. H. Smith and H. G. Sayler Sworn and examined as witnesses for the defendant - Defense closed. Cause Submitted - The Court after having fully Considered the Same - and it appearing to me that the offense Charged in the Complaint on file herein has been Committed and that there is sufficient Cause to believe the above named defendant H. G. Sayler guilty thereof - Do Order that he be held to answer to the Same and Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Yuba - And that he be admitted to bail in the Sum of One Thousand dollars - and is Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Yuba until he give such bail -
	S. H. Hill.
	Justice of the Peace
	& Ex officio Magistrate
	in and for the County of Yuba
Aug. 31,	Sent Depy 25 -
Sept 1.	Handed the Papers in this action to the Clerk Atty J. H. Beard -

No. 345

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Note of hand

A. Jenkhausen & Co. — Plaintiff

vs.

D. C. Harris — Defendant

Demand $46.75

S. S. Wright — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1886		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug.	25.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Plaintiff Constable
Oct.	12.	Summons returned showing personal Service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 12th day of October 1886, and filed
	26.	In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of said defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law
	"	In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law — Now at this day on application of S. S. Wright attorney for Plaintiff — It is ordered that judgment be entered herein against the said defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein —
	"	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendant the sum of Forty-Six and 75/100 Dollars amount of Note sued on and the sum Twenty-three and 74/100 Dollars interest thereon together with the additional amount of Seven and 5/100 Dollars — Ten percent on the amount found due — and for the sum of Six and 5/100 Dollars Costs necessarily incurred in the prosecution of this action — all in gold Coin of the United States —
	"	Judgment for the principal Sum on — 46.75
	"	" " Interest thereon — 23.76
	"	" " Ten per cent on amount — 7.05
	"	" " Justices fees — 4.50
	"	" " Constables " 2.00
	"	Total amount of judgment — $84.06

S. H. Hill J.P.

Amended Plaintiffs Attorneys Affidavit of Judgment Oct. 26th 1886

No. 344.

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Writ of Attachment

J. C. McCombs, Plaintiff

vs.

C. S. Duncan & R. G. Barrows, Defendants

Demand $125.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1118.

DATE. 1887	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 26.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Constable. Affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Constable.
1887. June 6.	This cause is hereby dismissed without prejudice to Plaintiff. S. H. Hall J.P.

No. 347.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Buena

State of California.

Action Malicious Mischief

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

John Hardwick and
Olive Friel —
Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1884		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug.	28.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court Winchell —
Sept.	3.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant Committed to the Sheriff to await his trial —

No. 348.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Butte

State of California.

Action Grand Larceny

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Olin Briel — Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug 28th 1886	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const Kindall.
" 30.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant Committed to the Sheriff of Butte County to await his examination
Sept. 8.	Case set down for Examination Sept. 17th 1886, at 10. o'c. A.M. Issued Subpoenas for the People & Defts and gave Const.
" 17.	10. o'c. A.M. Defendant in Court and says he is ready for trial — Chas Kepfer and E. Mosp — Sworn and examined as witness for the People — Prosecution closed —
	W. M. Woody Sworn and examined as a witness for Defendant.
	Defense Closed — Cause Submitted to the Court —
	The Court after having fully Considered the same. Orders that the defendant be discharged — upon the ground that the evidence is insufficient to Convict —
	J. H. Hill. J.P.

No. 349

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Vagrancy

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Michael Hughes

Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1869	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. Dated 28	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given in Const. to Michael

No. 350

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

John Hood alias Jay Ball Defendant

Action Obtaining Money by false pretenses

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1118.

DATE. 1886		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug.	30.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & Policeman McInnes-
Sept.	1.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises - Defendant asks for Examination - Cause set down for Examination at 2. O.C. P.M. Sept. 1st 1886.
"		2. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court - Parties ready for Examination Defendant demands that the evidence be taken down The Court appointed. J.H. Gearhart Short-hand Reporter for this Cause — Robert Hall, Willis Parker Carrie Morgan and Dan Maloney - Sworn and Examined as witnesses for the People - Prosecution Closed
		Jay Ball the Defendant - Sworn and examined in his own behalf - Defense Closed Cause Submitted, The Court took the Same under advisement - until 2. O.C. P.M. Sept 2. 1886 —
"	2	2. O.C. P.M. This being the time fixed for the finding of the Court - It appearing to me that the offence mentioned in the Complaint filed herein has been committed and that there is sufficient Cause to believe the above named Defendant - Jay Ball guilty thereof -
		& order that he be held to answer to the Same and Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno - And that he be admitted to bail in the Sum of five Hundred ($500.00) Dollars - and is Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno until he give Such bail —
		S.H. Hill. J.P. & Ex-Officio Magistrate - in and for the County of Fresno
"	11	Handed the Papers in this action to the District Atty. J.H. Gay.
Sept.	11.	Defendant gave Bond for his appearance for trial — Bond approved and filed —
		S.H. Hill. J.P.

No. 351

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

The Fresno Lumber Company Plaintiff

vs.

J. Hedrick Defendant

Action Account for Lumber doors and Sash

Demand $299.99/100

Dudgeon & Duggan Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1886	PROCEEDINGS
Aug. 31st	Complaint filed Summons issued and given Plff. Conrad-
"	affidavit for an attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attm Demand
	approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Plffs Atty-
Sept. 2.	Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant-
	together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the
	1st day of Sept. 1886 - at 3 o'clock P.M. - and filed -
	Writ of attachment Recorded returned and filed -
23.	In this action the defendant having been regularly served with
	process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's Complaint
	on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having
	expired the default of said defendant in the premises is hereby
	duly entered according to law
"	In this action the defendant having been regularly served with
	process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's
	Complaint herein and the legal time for answering having
	expired and the default of the said defendant in the premises
	having been duly entered according to law - Now at this day
	on application of Dudgeon and Duggan attorneys for the said Plaintiff
	It is ordered that judgment be entered herein against
	the said defendant - in accordance with the prayer of said
	Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein -
"	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid
	it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have
	and recover of and from the said defendant a judgment
	for the sum of $299.99 amount sued for, and the further
	sum of $61.50 Cts - costs accruing incurred in this action
	in favor Thereof of the United State -
"	Judgment for the Principal sued for 299.99
	" " Justices fees - 8.50
	" " Constable " 53.00
	Total amount of Judgment " $361.49

E. H. Hill J.P.

$8.50 Fees — Paid by Plff.

No. 352

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Abduction

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Frank Lyon — Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1418

DATE. 1884	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 31.	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given officer
Sept. 6	" Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Cause Examined and Defendant discharged —

D. H. Hill, J.P.

No. 35-3.

In the Justice's Court of S. Township,

County of Butte

State of California.

Action Note

Louis Einstein & Co — Plaintiff's

vs.

A. B. Butler & W. L. Hedrick — Defendant's

Demand $55.40/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1884.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 1st	Complaint filed Summons issued and given Const. for service. Affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Const. for service.
	This action settled and dismissed — J.P. fees paid
	S. A. Hall J.P.

No. 354.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Account

Louis Einstein & Co. — Plaintiff

vs.

J. W. Kuefer — Defendant

Demand $160.98/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No 1108.

DATE. 1884.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 1st	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Hall affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Const. Hall
" 2.	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 2d day of Sept 1884. at the 4th Judicial Township and filed — Writ of attachment Executed returned and filed —
" 16.	9. O.C. A.M. On this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired and the default of said defendant in the premises is duly duly entered according to law —
"	On this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law — Now at this day on application of Sutphen and Sutphen attorneys for said Plaintiff It is ordered that judgment be entered herein against the said defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein —
	Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that said Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendant the sum of One Hundred and Sixty and 98/100 dollars in Gold Coin of the Government of the United States the Principal sum for and the further sum of $22.40 Cents necessarily incurred in the prosecution of this action in Like Gold Coin —
"	Judgment for the Principal sum for — 160.98
	" " " Costs for — 8.50
	" " " Constable " — 13.90
"	Total amount of Judgment — $182.38
	J. H. Hill J.P. —
Sept. 27.	Issued Execution returned Satisfied — J. H. Hill J.P.
Oct. 2d 1884.	Execution returned Satisfied — J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 355.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Note of Hand.

Louis Einstein & Co — Plaintiffs

vs.

Joseph Knight — Defendant

Demand $291.44

Dupuy & Dupuy — Attorneys for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1886	PROCEEDINGS
Sept. 1st	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Constable. Affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved & filed Writ of attachment issued & given Constable.
" 3.	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein — on the 2d day of Sept 1886 in the E. Township — and filed this day —
" 16.	C. O. C. A. M. On this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of said defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law —
"	On this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to Law — Now at this day on application of Dupuy and Dupuy attorneys for Plaintiff — It is ordered that Judgment be entered herein against the said defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein — Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendant the Sum of Two Hundred and Seventy Eight and 77/100 Dollars the Principal sued for after giving all just Credits together with the interest thereon at the rate of 1% per month from due until rendition of Judgment herein — and for the Sum of Sixteen Dollars Costs necessarily expended in the prosecution of this suit, in Gold Coin of the Government of the United States —
	Judgment for the Principal and interest thereon — 278.77
	" " " Justices Fee — 8.50
	" " " Constables " 7.50
	Total amount of Judgment — $294.77
	D. M. Hill J.P.
Sept. 27.	Issue Execution and give Constable Certificate —

Issue 16th Oct 1886

No. 356.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Jan Yon Defendant

Action Assault with intent to Murder

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1418.

DATE. 1884 PROCEEDINGS.

Sept. 3. Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Constable

4. Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law — Defendant Committed to the Sheriff of Inyo County to await his Examination, in default of bail —

Paid 11

6. Defendant in Court and gave Bond in the Sum of Three Hundred Dollars for his appearance for Examination — Bond approved and filed —

10. Defendant in Court, and allowed to plead guilty to a Simple Assault — and then and there waives the time for Sentence —

Whereupon by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence the Said Jan Yon — be and he is hereby fined in the Sum of $50.00 — Defendant at once paid said fine and is thereupon discharged — Paid Court $2.50 —

B. H. Hill J.P.

No. 357.

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Grand Larceny

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Joe Evans Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1886	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 11.	Complaint and affidavit made and filed – Search Warrant issued and given to Const. Gillespie
paid	Cause dismissed –
	D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 358.

In the Justice's Court of Z. Township,

County of Butte

State of California.

Action Grand Larceny

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Joe Enos — Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1418.

DATE. 1884	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 4th	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given to Const. Gillespie —
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises —
	Defendant discharged —
	Z. H. Hill J.P.
Dec	
Page 43 —	for the sum of $150.37 — amount of Principal and interest due on — and the sum of $10 &c. Costs necessarily incurred in the prosecution of this action — all in Gold Coin of the United States of America —
"	Judgment for the Principal and interest — 150.37
" " "	Justices fees — 7.00
" " "	Constable " 3.80
	Total amount of Judgment — $161.17
	Z. H. Hill J.P. —
"	Defendant allowed a stay of proceedings for ten days —
Dec 4.	Issued Execution and gave to Const. Gillespie —
"	Execution recalled —
6	Defendant files his notice and undertaking on appeal to the Superior Court —
Dec 7.	Handed Defendant attdg U.S. Supp. the transcript in this action to the Superior Court — accompanied by $3.00
	Z. H. Hill J.P.
" 11.	Handed the papers and transcript in this action to the County Clerk —
	Z. H. Hill J.P.
1887. April 7.	This cause dismissed by order of Plaintiff —
	Z. H. Hill J.P.

No. 359.

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Contract.

Chas. Schroder — Plaintiff

vs.

Lam Lee — Defendant

Demand $133 1/3 — & 14 9/100 —

R. B. Jary — Attorney for Plaintiff

Dupper & Dupper — Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1886	PROCEEDINGS
Sept.	4. Complaint filed Summons issued & given in Court. Writ of Attl —
"	6. Summons returned showing personal Service on the Defendant — together with a Copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 6th day of Sept. 1886. and filed —
"	11 Now Comes the Defendant by his attorneys Dupper & Dupper and for demurrer denies each and every material allegation in the Plaintiff's Complaint contained —
	17. Demurrer Set down for argument at 10 O.C.A.M. Sept. 18th 1886 —
	18. 10 O.C.A.M. Demurrer submitted and argued Sept. 18th —
"	Defendant files his answer to the Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein —
Nov.	9 This Cause Set down for trial at 1 O.C.A.M. Nov 15th 1886 —
"	15. Plaintiff files his affidavit and undertaking for an Attachment Attachment issued and given Court Writ of Attl —
	22 This Cause is Set down for trial at 9 O.C.A.M. Nov 26th 1886 —
"	26. This Cause having been Set down for trial on this 26th day of November 1886 at 9 O.C.A.M. of Said day at which time time W. B. Dupper attorney for the Defendant was informed and assented to the Said day, and been fixed for Said trial — The Plaintiff and R. B. Jary his attorney appeared in Court on this 26th day of Nov 1886 at 9 O.C.A.M. and Remained in waiting for the Commencement of Said trial until 10 O.C.A.M. of Said day being one full hour after the time fixed for the Commencement of Said trial — And the Defendant and his attorney having failed to appear to proceed with the trial of Said Cause Now thereupon Chas Schroder was Sworn and examined as a witness in his own behalf and upon Motion of R. B. Jary attorney for Plaintiff the default of the Said defendant for not appearing at the time fixed for the trial of Said Cause is duly entered — according to law
"	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid and the pleadings and papers on file herein — It is ordered adjudged and decreed that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant a judgment

See Page 42 —

No. 364

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Nuisance

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

W. H. Rose Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1118

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 4.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court Def'dt in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Defendant pleads not guilty Cause set down for trial at 2. oc P.M. Sept. 7th 1886
Sept 7th	

No. 361.

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

A. J. Laurina, Defendant

Action Assault with intent to commit Murder

Demand $

McDonald, Attorney for Plaintiff

Grady & Ward, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1884	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 6.	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Policeman McDonald.
Paid. "	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law.
	Cause set down for Examination at 11 o'c. A. M. Sept. 8th 1884.
	Issued Subpoenas for the People and Defendant.
	Defendant Committed to the Sheriff to await his examination.
" 8.	Cause Continued until One o'c. P. M. Sept. 9th 1884.
" 1.	O'c. P. M. Defendant in Court and Says he is ready for his Examination. E. F. Benham appointed Short-hand Reporter for this Cause. Geo Diamond, Edward Dan ford Chas. Anderson and G. H. McDonald. Sworn and Examined as witnesses for the People. Defendant Offered no testimony. Cause Submitted. The Court took the Matter under advisement.
10.	O'c. A. M. Defendant in Court. The Court having fully Considered this Matter. And It appearing to me that the offence Charged in the Complaint on file herein has been Committed. and that there is sufficient Cause to believe the above named defendant A. J. Laurina guilty thereof. I Order that he be held to answer to the same. and Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Inyo. And that he be admitted to bail in the Sum of One Thousand dollars. and is Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Inyo. until he give such bail. Handed papers to Dist. Atty.

S. H. Hill. J. P.
Ex Officio Magistrate
of the County of Inyo

No. 362.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action for Trubling the Peace

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Wallie Henderson — Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1118.

DATE. 1884	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 7.	Compl and filed Warrant issued and giv to Dept Sheriff Barker
Sept. 8.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge gainst him and of his rights in the premises. Defendant pleads guilty Thereupon by reason of the Law the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for Said offense that you Wallie Henderson be and you are hereby fined in the Sum of $5.00 — Defendant at once paid Said fine and thereupon was discharged — Paid Dept. Sheriff — $2.50 —

D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 343.

In the Justice's Court of Z. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

L. Poponich, Defendant

Action Battery

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1884 Sept. 8.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Gillespie
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his right in the premises - Defendant pleads not guilty - Cause set for trial at 2. o'c. P. M. Sept. 9th 1884 -

No. 364.

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

County of Yuma

State of California.

Action An Indictment - Assault

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Michael Cryan - Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1115.

DATE. 1884

PROCEEDINGS.

Sept. 9th Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Policeman McDonald-
" 10th Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him
and of his rights before the law. Defendant pleads Not guilty-
Cause set for examination - The Court after fully considering
the same orders that the defendant be discharged upon the
the ground that the evidence is insufficient to commit -

J. W. Hill J.P.

No. 365.

In the Justice's Court of F. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Joe Silva - & Joe. Rodrigues - Defendants

Action Battery -

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 12 paid.	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Policeman L. Blanc -
13.	Defendant Joe Silva in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Law. Defendant pleades guilty and waives the legal time for Sentence.
	Wherefore by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Joe Silva be and you are hereby fined in the Sum of Five and 50/100 dollars Defendant at once paid said fine and thereupon discharged — — — Paid Court $2.50 c

S. H. Hill. J. P.

No. 364.

In the Justice's Court of S. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Grand Larceny.

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Frank Ralph and Wm. Scher — Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1118. DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 1st 1884	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Constable.

No. 347.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Petit Larceny

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe - alias
Timothy Healy -
Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1886	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 17.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Ch. Marshall Bartlett - Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Law. Defendant plead Not guilty Cause Set down for trial at 2. O.C. P.M. Sept. 20th 1886 -
Page 7	
20.	2. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court and says he is ready for trial. John Pelt. Jack Young Ben. Lopez - and B. Jelich - Sworn and Examined as witnesses for the People. Prosecution closed Timothy Healy - Examined as a witness in his own behalf - Cause closed and Submitted to the Court — The Court after fully considering this matter, finds that the Defdt. is Guilty — Defendant - named the legal time for sentence - Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you Timothy Healy - be and you are hereby fined in the Sum of Twenty Dollars - and in default of the payment of said fine that you be and you are hereby Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno - and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County - in the proportion of one day imprisonment for every dollar of said fine -
"	J. H. Hall. J.P.

No. 348.

In the Justice's Court of S. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action On Promissory Note

George McCollenyhe — Plaintiff

vs.

Eli Comber and D.F. Halbert — Defendants

Demand $200.00 — Interest & atty fees —

E.C. Hunsdell — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1118.

DATE. 1884.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept 17.	Complaint Filed —
1884. Sept 16.	Summons issued —

No. 349.

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township Township,

County of Tuolumne

State of California.

Action Battery

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe Bostic. alias
J.K. Foster.
Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1884	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept: 18	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given to Const: Gillespie
same "	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Law — Defendant pleads not guilty Cause set down for trial at 1. O.C. A.M. Sept: 20th 1884
" 19.	10. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court: and asks to withdraw his plea of Not guilty and to plead guilty as charged in the Complaint on file herein —
	Defendant ordered to appear for Sentence at 9. O.C. A.M. Sept: 21st 1884.
21	9. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court: This being the time fixed for Sentence
"	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you J.K. Foster be and you are hereby fined in the sum of One Hundred dollars and in default of the payment of said fine that you be and you are hereby committed to the custody of the Sheriff of the County of Tuolumne and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County in the proportion of one days imprisonment for every dollar of said fine —
	E.H. Hill. J.P. —

No. 374.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

B. B. Alford Plaintiff

vs.

Joe Gomez. Defendant

Action Damages

Demand $150 cc

Ward & Swaney. Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1108

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 18.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. for Clerk.
" 21.	Summons returned showing personal Service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 20th day of Sept 1884 and filed

No. 371

In the Justice's Court of Bruceville Township,

County of Yolo

State of California.

Action Assault

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Ed. Smith
Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1864	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 21,	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given to Deputy Sheriff Barthen
Paid	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him. and of his rights in the premises. Defendant pleads guilty and waives the legal time for Sentence
"	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Ed. Smith be and you are hereby fined in the Sum of Six and 50/100 Dollars Defendant at once pays said fine and thereupon discharged — Paid Costs $3.00 —
	J. H. Hill. J.P.

No. 372.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

Action Account

Martha Gordon, Plaintiff

vs.

Chung Ling, Defendant

Demand $120. cy/gen.

Knight & Stuart. Attorney for Plaintiff

J. J. Bush & Jeffs Attorney for Defendant

No. 1118.

DATE. 1884 PROCEEDINGS.

Sept. 21. Complaint filed Summons issued and given Const. Findhall affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & giv Const. Findhall

21. Summons returned Showing personal Service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 20th day of Sept. 1884. and filed.

Writ of attachment Deputy returned and filed.

" Now Comes the Defendant by his attorneys Jeffs & Jeffs and for answer denies each and every Material allegation in the Plff Complaint Contained

30. This Cause by Consent of Parties is Set down for trial at 9 O.C. A.M. Oct. 2d. 1884

Oct. 2. 10. O.C. A.M. Parties in Court. Plaintiff asked Leave to Amend the Complaint Leave granted

Defendant allowed ten days in which to answer

" " Plaintiff filed her Amended Complaint.

" 5 Motion of Defendant to Strike out filed

" 2 O.C. P.M. Parties in Court. Motion argued. Plaintiff asked Leave to Amend. Leave granted. Defendant allowed ten days in which to plead.

Full satisfaction of above Claim is hereby acknowledged and said action is hereby dismissed.

Stuart & Knight

Atty for Plaintiff

J. P. fees — Paid.

J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 373.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Petit Larceny

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Vesta Hall Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1886-	PROCEEDINGS
Sept. 20th	Complaint filed affidavit made Warrant issued Sheriff [illegible] and gives Court Recognizance Cause dismissed — B. H. Hill J. P. —

58

No. 374.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Petit Larceny

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Vesta Hall

Demand $ 25.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1118.

DATE. 1884	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 20	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Const. hands
Sept. 21	" Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against her and of her rights in the premises. Defendant pleads not guilty Cause set down for trial at 10 O.C. A.M. Sept. 21st 1886
21	10 O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court and by her Atty. D. J. Hinds demands trial by jury — Same issued — Cause Continued until 2 O.C. P.M. Sept 21st 1886
"	Defendant gave Bond in the Sum of $50.00 for her appearance for trial - Bond approved and filed —
"	2 O.C. P.M. Parties in Cause same returned and filed — Parties ready for trial. Jury Sworn to answer questions as to qualification after which 12 Men were Sworn to try the Cause — Witnesses Sworn and Examined for and Cause Cause Submitted to the Jury for their deliberation and verdict — After a short retirement the jury were returned in to Court by the proper officer of this Court with a verdict of Not guilty — Defendant discharged

D. H. Hicks J.P.

No. 375.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Yuma

State of California.

Action to Enjoin the Peace

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

John Gregg — Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1884	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 20.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Policeman McDonnell
Sept. 21.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises Defendant pleads guilty and waives the legal time for Sentence —
"	Whereupon by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for Said offence that you John Gregg be and you are hereby fined in the Sum of Five and 50/100 dollars Defendant at once paid Said Five and was thereupon discharged Court Fee $2.50

B. H. Hill J. P.

No. 376.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

S. S Wright — Plaintiff

vs.

E. H. Burke and C. G. Hutchinson — Defendant

Action Account.

Demand $26.00

Ford & Gracy. Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1148.

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1884	
Sept: 21st	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Constable.

No. 377.

In the Justice's Court of S. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Grand Larceny

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Charles Ledbetter Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1866 Sept 22.	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Const. Winchell
Oct 8"	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises—
"	Now comes the District attorney J. H. Bulger and moves the Court to discharge the defendant upon the ground that the evidence is insufficient to convict the defendant. Whereupon the Court ordered that the defendant be discharged—
	S. H. Hill J. P.

No. 378.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Yuma

State of California.

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

L. B. Tenny jr — Defendant

Action Insanity

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1,118.

DATE. 1884	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 23.	Complaint and affidavit made and filed. Warrant of arrest issued returnable before Hon. J. B. Campbell, Judge of the Superior Court. County of Yuma State of California. J. H. Hale J.P.

No. 377.

In the Justice's Court of T. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Account

Coon Cruthers, Plaintiff

vs.

W. A. Burnside, Defendant

Demand $20.60/100

R. B. Gray, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1884		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept.	24	Complaint filed Summons issued & given to Sheriff. Coon Gillespie
"		Affidavit for attachment made & filed, undertaking on attachment approved and filed Writ of attachment issued & given to Sheriff. Coon Gillespie
"	28	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the complaint on file herein on 25th day of Sept 1884 and filed —
"		Writ of attachment Executed returned and filed
Oct.	2.	In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of the said defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law
"		In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs complaint herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law, Now therefore on this day on application of R. B. Gray attorney for Plaintiff it is ordered that judgment be entered herein against the said defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiff on file herein — Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendant the sum of Twenty and 60/100 dollars the amount sued for and the sum of Twelve and 25/100 dollars costs necessarily incurred in the prosecution of this action in favor of the said
"		Judgment for the principal sued for — 20.60
		" " " Justices fees — 8.50
		" " " Constables " 3.75
"		Total amount of judgment — $32.85
		S. H. Hill. J.P.

No. 384.

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

County of Yuma

State of California.

Action Grand

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

S. Carroll

Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1118.

DATE. 1884		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept.	27	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Const Gillespie
"	30	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises —
"	"	Cause set down for Examination at 3. o'c. P.M. Sept. 30th 1884 Issued Subpoena for the People —
"	"	Defendant files his demurrer to the Plaintiff's Complaint herein
"	"	Cause dismissed —

J. P. Hill
J. P.

No. 381

In the Justice's Court of Eberud Township,

County of Buena

State of California.

Action Account.

Statham & Co — Plaintiffs

vs.

Louis Babidu

Defendant

Demand $58 35/100 —

Sayle & Harris
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1884	PROCEEDINGS
Sept. 27.	Complaint filed — Summons issued & given to Sh. Court. Gillespie affidavit — for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved & filed — Writ of attachment issued & given to Sh. Court — Gillespie
" 30.	Summons returned & Clearly personal Service on the Defendant — together with a Copy of the Complaint — on the Same on the 27th day of Sept — 1884 — and — filed —
"	Writ of attachment Executed returned and filed —
	J. P. Fees — Paid by Plaintiff —

No. 382

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

County of Yuma

State of California.

John A. Blunt — Plaintiff

vs.

Geo. Baber & Clarence Berry — Defendants

Action Damages

Demand $275.00

Tuttle & Tuttle & Daggett & Haas
Attorney for Plaintiff

E. J. Hinds
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1884	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 27.	Complaint filed Summons issued agn Defts Court Gillespie.
Oct 2.	Summons returned Showing personal service on the Defendants together with a Copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 2d day of Oct 1884 in the 4th Township and Yuma
" 5.	Defendant filed his answer to the Plaintiff Complaint herein
" " "	his affidavit for Change of Venue and in said affidavits
" 27.	Motion Submitted to the Court —
"	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered that said Action be and the same is hereby transferred to the Court of J. H. Blunt a Justice of the Peace of the 3d Judicial Township in and for the County and State aforesaid —

E. H. Hill J. P.

No. 383—

In the Justice's Court of 3° Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Battery

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Manuel Bispo

Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885	PROCEEDINGS
Sept. 27.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & Marshall Bartlett
Sept. 29.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his right to Counsel Cause dismissed—

E. A. Hall J. P.—

No. 384-

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Butte

State of California.

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

John A. Brotherton
Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Striking a woman-

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1118.

DATE. 1884-	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 28.	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Policeman La Blanc-
paid	29. Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises- Defendant plead guilty- and waived the legal time for Sentence-
"	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you John A. Brotherton be and you are hereby fined in the Sum of One Hundred Dollars and in default of the payment of said fine that you be and you are hereby committed to the Sheriff of the County of Butte and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County in the proportion of One day imprisonment for every dollar of said fine-

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 385—

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

County of Yuba

State of California.

Anthony Wagner — Plaintiff

vs.

Guy Long — Defendant

Action Account of Claim and delivery

Demand $45.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Supps & Supps

Attorneys for Defendant

DATE. 1886—	PROCEEDINGS
Sept. 25.	Complaint on claim and delivery filed — Summons issued and given Constable Gillespie
" 27.	Came Guy the defendant by his attorneys Supps and Supps and for answer denies each and every material allegation in the Plaintiff Complaint contained —
" 29.	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a Copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 25th day of Sept. 1886. and filed —
Dec. 6.	This Cause set down for trial at 9 O.C. A.M. Dec 9th 1886—
9.	By Consent this Cause is continued until 2. O.C. P.M. Dec 9th 1886.
2.	O.C. P.M. This Cause is continued until 2. O.C. P.M. Dec 13th 1886—
" 14.	11. O.C. A.M. Parties in Court — and agree to now take up and try this Cause — Anthony Wagner — sworn and examined as a witness in his own behalf — Cause submitted —
"	The Court after fully considering the same — Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged — (that in this action personal Service cannot be had —) that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant — the sum of $45.00 — the value of the personal property — sued for — and for the sum of $5.00 Cost necessarily — incurred in the prosecution of this action in lawful money of the United States —
"	Judgment — for amount — above — 45.00
	" " Justices fees — 4.50
	" " Constables " 1.30
"	Total amount of Judgment — $10.30

D. H. Bell J.P.

No. 284

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Account.

Kutner Goldstein & Co. Plaintiffs

vs.

J. F. Dell Defendant

Demand $42.48/100.

Duffy & Duffy Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1,118.

DATE. 1884	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 30.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Constable.
Oct. 11.	Complaint filed. Summons returned Sheriff personally Service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on 11th day of Oct. 1884 and filed.
" 20.	In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of the said defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law.
"	In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer Plaintiffs Complaint herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law. Now at this day on application of Duffy and Duffy attorneys for the Plaintiff. It is ordered that judgment be entered herein against the said defendant in the premises in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiffs Complaint herein.
"	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid It is ordered and adjudged that the said Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendant the sum of Forty two and 48/100 dollars the amount found due and for the sum of Seven and 20/100 dollars Costs accruing incurred in the prosecution of this action in lawful money of the United States of America.
"	Judgment for the principal — 42.48
	" " " Justices fees — 4.80
	" " " Constables " 2.70
"	Total amount of Judgment — $49.98
	E. B. Hill J.P.
Dec. 9.	Handed Plaintiff abstract of Judgment.

No. 367.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Account—

Kutner Goldstein & Co— Plaintiffs

vs.

H. Baggett Defendant

Demand $29.31/100

Suppe & Suppe Attorneys for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1884		PROCEEDINGS
Sept.	30.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Court Fee Chall—
Oct.	12.	Summons issued returned Showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 12th day of Oct 1884— and filed—
	20.	In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of the said defendant is hereby duly entered according to law—
"		In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint filed herein— and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law— Now at this day on application of Suppe and Suppe attorneys for the said Plaintiff— It is ordered that judgment be entered herein against the said defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein—
		Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendant the sum of Twenty Nine and 31/100 Dollars— the amount found due and the sum of Six and 39/00— Costs necessarily incurred in this prosecution of the action in favor of the United States of America—
"		Judgment for the principal sum due— 29,31.
		" " " Costs fee— 4.50
		" " " Constable— " 1,80
"		Total amount of Judgment— $35,61
		D. K. Hill. J.P.—
Dec	9.	Issued Plaintiff abstract of Judgment—
1887. Aug.	24.	Issued Execution and gave Const. C. P. Watkins—

Aug. 27, "1887. Executed returned fully Satisfied in full— $35,61

No. 388.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Green

State of California.

Action Action Account

Rubin Goldstein & Co — Plaintiffs

vs.

M. Farrell — Defendant

Demand $85.15/cc.

Duffy & Duffy Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1,118.

DATE. 1886		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept.	30	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Constable
Oct.	7	Summons returned showing personal Service on the defendant together with a Copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 6th day of Oct. 1886. and filed —
"	16.	In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of the said defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law —
"		In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law. Now at this day on application of Duffy and Duffy attorneys for said Plaintiff. It is ordered that judgment entered herein against the said defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein —
"		Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said Defendant the Sum Eighty five and 15/cc Dollars the amount found due and the Sum of Six and 30/cc Dollars the Costs necessarily incurred in the prosecution of this action in compliance with the Statute —
"		Judgment for the Principal — 85.15
		" " " Costs fees — 4.50
		" " " Constables " 1.80
		Total amount of Judgment — $91.45
		B. B. Hill J.P. —
Nov	9.	Issued Plaintiff abstract of Judgment
1887. May	21.	Issued Execution and gave Const: J. A. Barker

No. 389.

In the Justice's Court of Z. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Account

Rubin Goldstein & Co. Plaintiffs

vs.

G. W. Fuller & Mercer Defendants

Demand $42.29

Dutton & Dutton Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS
Sept.	30	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. to Mitchell
1887. Jan	18.	Summons returned showing personal Service on one of the Defendants Viz; G. W. Fuller together with a Copy of the Complaint - on file herein on the 18th day of Jan 1887 - and filed -
"	24"	Now Comes the Defendant G. W. Fuller and for answer denies each and every material allegation in the Plaintiffs Complaint contained —
Jan "	21.	This Cause set down for trial January 31. 1888 - at 10. O.C. A.M. -
1888. Jan	31.	10. O.C. A.M. This Cause by Consent Continued until 2. O.C. P.M. Jan 31. 1888
"		2. O.C. P.M. Plaintiffs in Court and after hearing more than one full hour for the appearance of the Defendant and he having failed to appear for trial - and on application of the Plaintiffs attorney Dutton and Dutton It is ordered that Judgment be entered herein against the said defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein —
"		Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the said Plaintiffs do have and recover of and from the said Defendant the sum of forty two and 29/100 dollars the amount said on account the sum of Six and 50/100 dollars Costs necessarily incurred in the prosecution of this action in Consideration of the premises — Stet -
"		Judgment for the Principal sum due — 42.29
		" " " Justices fees — 4.50
		" " " Constable fee — 2.00
"		Total amount of Judgment — $ 48.79
		S. H. Hill J.P.
"	31	Issued abstract and gave Plaintiffs

No. 390

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

County of Butte

State of California.

Action Assault

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe - John Smith - Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1,118.

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1884 Dec 4.	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Const -

No. 391.

In the Justice's Court of [illegible] Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Assault

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Jas. Freeman Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1884	PROCEEDINGS
Oct. 9th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Policeman McBride
"	Defendant in Court arrested informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises Defendant pleads not guilty — Defendant deposits the Sum of $50.00 for his appearance for trial —
15	Cause dismissed — the undersigned being insufficient to commit —
	J. H. Hall J.P.

No. 371

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Note & Guard

Browning & Ziegler Plaintiff

vs.

W. M. & F. A. Smith — Defendant

Demand $135 00

H. S. Dixon
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1,118.

DATE. 1886	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 14	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Constable Affidavit for Attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Constable —
18	This cause is dismissed by order of Plaintiff — J. P. fees — Paid —

D. H. Hill J. P.

No. 393–

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Account–

Willis Abbey– Plaintiff

vs.

L. Posnick– Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1886–	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 4.	Complaint filed Summons, issued Copy in Court- Warrant-
6.	Summons returned showing personal Service on the Defendant together with a Copy of the Complaint- on file herein on the 5th day of Oct– 1886– and filed–
11.	This action settled in full– & dismissed–

D. H. Hill. J.P.

No. 394.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

W. W. Bunt — Plaintiff

vs.

Dennis Hanley — Defendant

Action Account

Demand $36.00

Griffin & Griffin Attorney for Plaintiff

Wharton & Webb Attorney for Defendant

No. 1,118.

DATE. 1886		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	14.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Con. Warshall —
	15.	Summons returned showing personal Service on the Defendant together with a Copy of the Complaint — on file herein on the 14th day of Oct — 1886 — and filed —
	"	Now Come the Defendant by his attny Wharton & Webb and files his answer to the Plaintiffs Complaint herein —
	20	This Cause is Set — down for trial at 2. Oc P.M. Oct. 20th 1886
	"	Issued Subpoenas for the Plaintiff —
	22.	Parties in Court and file a Stipulation of agreement Plaintiff asked leave to amend his complaint — leave granted — amended Complaint filed —
	23.	Issued Subpoenas for Plaintiff —
	"	Cause Set — down for trial at 2. O.C. P.M. Oct. 25th 1886 —
	25.	2. O.C. P.M. Parties in Court and Say they are ready for trial — Parties waive trial by jury —
	"	W. W. Bunt Sworn and Examined in his own behalf — Plaintiff Closes — by leave —
	"	Dennis Hanly Sworn and Examined as a witness in his own behalf — Defen Closes — W. W. Bunt Called in rebuttle — Cause Closed — Argued and Submitted —
	"	The Court Took the Matter under advisement until 2 P.M.
Nov.	6th	The Court having fully Considered this Matter —
	"	Therefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the Sum of Thirty six dollars amount allowed by the Court and the Sum of $14.75/100 Costs necessarily incurred in the prosecution of this action in lawful money of the United States —
	"	Judgment for amount allowed — 36.00
	"	" Constables " 4.50
Witness		Engin Bunt 2 days & 8 Miles — 5.60
"		Paul Bunt — 2 " " " " 5.60
"		Jim Hanly — 1 " " 8 " 3.60
		Total amount of Judgment — $ 42.95

E. H. Hill, J.P.

Defendant allowed a Stay of Execution for two days — 1886 Nov 8th Plaintiff files his Cost-Bill $14.95 100.

" 13. Defendant files his Motion and Notice to Retax Costs on appeal — Nov 8th 1886. Hanly & Webb Defendants Attorneys

No. 395

In the Justice's Court of 3 Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Account

The Fresno Agricultural Works
Plaintiff

vs.

B. Boullenghausen
Defendant

Demand $39.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1886	PROCEEDINGS
Oct. 15	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Plaintiff agnt.
" 16	Summons returned showing personal Service on the Defendant together with a Copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 15th day of October 1886 and filed
" 24	In this action the defendant having been regularly Served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of the said defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law
"	In this action the defendant having been regularly Served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint herein and the legal time allow by for answering having expired and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law, Now at this day on application of the Plaintiff It is ordered that Judgment be entered herein against the said defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein
"	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said Defendant the Sum of $39.00 amount Due on and the Sum of $9.50 Costs necessarily incurred in the prosecution of this action in compliance of the United States
"	Judgment for the amount Due on 39.00
	" " " Justices fee 4.50
	" " " Constable " 5.00
"	Total amount of Judgment $48.50
	S. H. Hall J.P.

J. P. fees Paid by Plff

No. 376.

In the Justice's Court of [illegible] Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Assault

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe - [illegible] Wily -
Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1118.

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1884 Oct. 1st	Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given Const. Winchell -

No. 397

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Tuolumne

State of California.

Action Vagrancy

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

John Williams

Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1884	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 6.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Winchell
same	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises - Defendant plead guilty and waived the legal time for Sentence - The Court ordered that the defendant be allowed to leave the Town at once - S. A. Hill J. P.

No. 398.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Tuerne

State of California.

Action Vagrancy

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Thomas Anderson

Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1,118.

DATE. 1886	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 6.	Complaint - Warrant - issued and given Const - to which - Defendant in Court - and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the law. Defendant pleads guilty and waives the legal time for Sentence - The Court ordered that the defendant - be allowed to leave the Town at once -
" 10th	E. H. Hill - J.P.

No. 377

In the Justice's Court of [illegible] Township,

County of Tuolumne

State of California.

Action Battery

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Jack Knowlton

Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1886		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	6"	Complaint filed Warrant issued & Constable Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises. defendant plead guilty and waived the legal time for Sentence — Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid that you Jack Knowlton be and you are hereby fined in the Sum of $5.00 — Cent — & Cost
Paid	6"	E. H. Hill J.P.

No. 400

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Tuolumne

State of California.

Action Disturbing the Peace

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Joe Kingsbury
Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1118.

DATE. 1884	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 6.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Policeman McBride
" 7.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant plead guilty and waive the legal time for Sentence —
	Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Joe Kingsbury be and you are hereby fined in the Sum of Forty Dollars and in default of the payment of said fine that you be and you are hereby Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Tuolumne and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County in the proportion of one day imprisonment for every dollar of said fine

E. A. Hill J.P.

No. 401.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Account

Wm. Denike
Plaintiff

vs.

W. R. Brown
Defendant

Demand $24.65/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1886	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct 7.	Complaint filed Summons issued and given the Plaintiff-
"	affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment
"	approved and filed Writ of attachment issued & given the Plaintiff
11.	Ret. Fees Paid —

D. B. Hicks

No. 402

In the Justice's Court of T. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

S. H. Kuran Defendant

Action Assault with intent to Rob

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1118

DATE. 1884		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct 6	7th	Complaint filed Warrant Issued & given Policeman McDonnell
	8.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises - Cause set down for trial at 2. o'c. P.M. Oct 8th 1884 - Defendant Committed to the Sheriff of Fresno Co. to await his examination -
		Issued Subpoena for the People -
	"	This Cause is dismissed upon the ground that the evidence is insufficient to Commit the Defendant of the Charge preferred against him -
		D. H. Hill. J. P. -
Fresno.		
Oct 8th.		all in County Fresno of the United States of America -
	"	Judgment for the Municipal Court fee - 2 1 4. 5 5
		" " " Justices fees - 12.00
		" E. McGinnis Constable 1 day - 1 mile - 9.80
		Total amount of Judgment - 2.20 $228.55
		D. H. Hill. J. P.
		Plaintiff filed his Cost Bill - $24.00 -
Oct.	9.	Defendant allowed a stay of proceeding for five days -
Oct.	21st	Judgment Satisfied in full Costs disputed -
		D. H. Hill. J. P.

No. 403.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Account

Allen Harris Plaintiff

vs.

W. M. Williams Defendant

Demand $204.57/100

Dayle & Harris Attorney for Plaintiff

J. R. Webb Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1884	PROCEEDINGS
Oct. 8th	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Constable Winchell
"	Affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved and filed Writ of attachment issued & given Const. Winchell.
11.	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 9th day of October 1884 and filed
	Writ of attachment Executed returned and filed
13th	Now comes the defendant in proper person and for answer denies each and every material allegation in the Plaintiffs Complaint contained
22	This Cause set down for trial at 10. O.C. A.M. Oct 25th 1884
Nov 16	This Cause set down for trial at 10. O.C. A.M. Nov 25th 1884
	Plaintiff demands trial by jury unless issued
17.	Issued Subpoena for Plaintiff and gave Const. Winchell
22	Issued Venire and gave Const. Winchell
" 23.	This Cause having been set down for trial on this 25th day of November 1884 at ten O'clock A.M. of said at which time J. R. Webb attorney for the defendant was present and assented to the said day and hour fixed for said trial
	The Plaintiff and C. G. Dayle his attorney appeared in Court on this 25th day of November 1884 at 10. O.C. A.M. and remained in waiting for the Commencement of said trial until 11. O'clock A.M. of said day being one full hour after the time fixed for the Commencement of said trial and the defendant and his attorney having failed to appear to proceed with the trial of said Cause Now thereupon upon Motion of C. G. Dayle attorney for Plaintiff The default of the defendant for not appearing at the time fixed for the trial of said Cause is duly duly entered
	Whereupon by reason of the premises aforesaid and the pleadings and papers on file herein It is ordered adjudged and decreed that the Plaintiff have and recover judgment against the the defendant for the sum of One Hundred and four and 57/100 Dollars damages and for the sum of $24 Cts.

(See Page 88

No. 404

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Butte

State of California.

Action Assault with a deadly weapon

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

S. H. Harman Defendant

Demand $

Hinds & Bruyn Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1118

DATE. 1886	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 8	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Policeman McDonald
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises —
	Cause by consent of Parties set down for Examination at 4 O.C. P.M. Oct. 8th 1886 —
	Defendant Committed to the Sheriff to await his examination —
"	4. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court — Parties ready to proceed with the Examination
	E. H. Bumstead appointed Short-hand reporter for this Cause —
	Paul Mayer — Sworn and examined as a witness for the People —
	Court adjourned until 9. O.C. A.M. Oct. 9th 1886 —
9.	9. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court — Parties ready to proceed — Paul Mayer on the Stand — Frank Roll — John Bliner — D. G. H. McDonald. Sworn and examined as witnesses for the People — Prosecution Closed — S. H. Harman — Sworn and examined in his own behalf — Defense Closed — Cause argued and Submitted —
	The Court took the Matter under advisement until 9. O.C. A.M. Oct 11th 1886 —
11.	9. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court — This being the time fixed for the finding of the Court, and it appearing to me that the offense charged in the Complaint on file herein has been Committed — and that there is sufficient Cause to believe the above named defendant S. H. Harman guilty thereof — I Order that he be held to answer the same — and Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Butte — And that he be admitted to bail in the Sum of Five Hundred dollars — and is Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Butte until he give such bail —
	S. H. Hill. J.P. and Ex Officio Magistrate.
	Hereunto the Papers in this action to the District Attorney.

No. 405

In the Justice's Court of [illegible] Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Misdemeanor

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Charles Cole Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1884	PROCEEDINGS
Oct. 9th	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given the officer.
" 10th	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court Defendant pleads Not guilty as Charged Defendant discharged $20.00 for his appearance for Trial.
" 17th	This Cause is dismissed upon the ground that the evidence is insufficient to convict.

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 406.

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Damages - on possession

Angelo Varilis

Plaintiff

vs.

Reuben Barton

Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1,118.

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1884	
Oct. 11.	Complaint filed Summons issued and given Constable

No. 407.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Buena

State of California.

Action Account-

Herman Kiling Plaintiff

vs.

Wm Anderson-

Defendant

Demand $17 cts

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1886-	PROCEEDINGS
Oct. 12th	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Constable Winchell-
13.	Summons returned Showing personal Service on the Defendant together with a Copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 13th day of October 1886- and filed-
" 18	Jury Cause the Defendant in proper person answer and demurred each and every allegation contained in the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein - and paid into Court $8.15 cts and the Defendant
21st	This Cause set down for trial at 2 o'c P.M. Oct. 22d 1886-
21	This Cause Continued until 10. o'c A.M- Oct- 25th 1886-
111.	O.C. A.M- Defendant in Court and after waiting one full hour for the appearance of the Plaintiff- and the said Plaintiff having failed to appear within said full hour- Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid- It is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the Defendant the amount due and Confessed said Plaintiff before the Commencement of this action - in Lawful Money of the United States -
	Judgment for Plaint - $8.15 cts
	E. H. Hill - J.P.
	Paid in full-

No. 448.

In the Justice's Court of Z. Township,

County of Yuma

State of California.

Action Account-

Lottie Common — Plaintiff

vs.

Frank Schell — Defendant

Demand $25.00

Philip Stuart. — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1118.

DATE. 1884	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 13.	Compl and - filed Summons issued and given Const: Winchell
"	affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved and filed - Writ of attachment issued & given Const: Winchell -

No. 409.

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Assault with a deadly weapon

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

A. J. Adley — Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1886		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	13.	Complaint filed. Warrant issued and given Court Bail Clerk
"	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant called for his appearance for Examination in the sum of Five Hundred Dollars defendants Bond approved and filed —
Nov.	13.	This Cause is set down for examination at 10. O.C. A.M. Nov 15th 1886 —
"	15.	Cause Continued until 10. O.C. A.M — Nov 16th 1886 —
	16.	Cause Continued until 9½ O.C. A.M. Nov 17th 1886 —
"	17.	10. O.C. A.M — Parties in Court — Now Comes the Prosecuting attorney and moves the Court to dismiss this Cause of action upon the ground of the insufficiency of the Complaint on file herein — and it is so ordered —
		S. H. Hill
		J. P. —

No. 410.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Yuba

State of California.

Action Account

J. L. Vanderlip Plaintiff

vs.

John Foy Defendant

Demand $148.00/100

Ward & Gray Attorneys for Plaintiff

Inspa & Supper Attorneys for Defendant

No. 1,118.

DATE. 1884		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	15.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Kimball —
Nov.	22.	Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant at the 4th Township County and State aforesaid together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 20th day of Nov 1884 and filed —
	24.	Now comes the Defendant by his attorney Inspa & Supper and demurs to the Complaint filed herein and says that said Complaint fails to state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action —
Dec.	3.	Defendant fails his answer to the Plaintiff Complaint herein —
"	4th	This cause set down for trial at 10. O.C. A.M. Dec 14th 1884 —
"	14.	10. O.C. A.M. Parties in Court and say they are ready for trial Both parties waive trial by Jury — John Foy sworn and examined as a witness for the Plaintiff — Cause closed argued and Submitted — The Court took the same under advisement —
1885. Jan.	13th	The Court having fully considered this matter — Therefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendant a judgment for the sum of Eighty four and 80/100 dollars the amount allowed by the Court in this action and the sum of $17.90 Cts necessarily incurred in the prosecution of this action in Campbell Henry of the United States —
	"	Judgment for the Principal allowed by the Court $84.80
		" " " Costs for — 8.00
		" " " Constable " — 7.70
		Witness. W. J. Bixby 1. day — and 1. Mi. 2.20
	"	Total amount of judgment. $102.70
		E. H. Hill J.P. —
		Plaintiff fils his cost bill — $17.90
	14.	Plaintiff fils his notice and undertaking on appeal to the Supreme Court —
		Hereof the Clerk the Papers in this action

No. 411-

In the Justice's Court of [illegible] Township,

County of Butte

State of California.

Action Claim and deliv-

Isaac Anderson — Plaintiff

vs.

A. J. Alley — Defendant

Demand $200 00 and Damages $50 00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Hinds & Boyle

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1856	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct 15th	Complaint on Claim and delivery filed - Summons issued and gin Court - Writ Replevin - affidavit and undertaking on Claim and delivery made approved & gin Court - Writ Issued -
Nov 4	Defendant - files his demurrer to the Plaintiff Complaint - on file Com -

No. 412

In the Justice's Court of Township,

County of Yuma

State of California.

Action Account

Jay Anderson — Plaintiff

vs.

A. J. Alley — Defendant

Demand $37.40

Attorney for Plaintiff

Hicks & Berger

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1118

DATE. 1884		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	15.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given in Court to Sheriff affidavit for attachment made and filed Undertaking on attachment approved & filed Writ of attachment issued & given Court to Sheriff
"	18"	Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein the 16th day of October 1884. and filed at the Court of Record. Leave
Nov	4.	to Defendant files his demurrer to the Plaintiffs Complaint " " " Answer " " " "
"	"	This cause is set down for trial at 9 o.c. A.M. June 18th 1884 Defendant demands trial by jury venire issued
"	18	10. o.c A. M. Parties in Court Venire and Subpoena returned and filed Summons Submitted and accepted Parties ready to proceed with the trial of this action Jury sworn to answer questions as to qualification after which the following jurors were sworn to try the Cause as follows viz: J. S. Shanklen P. J. Lynn C. H. Ashley E. Spannhauer & Thos. Nash H. J. Burleigh A. S. Clark Ed. McDonald & Jas. Price. Jay Anderson sworn and examined as witness in his own behalf Plaintiff Closed direct
"		A J. Alley sworn and examined as witness in his own behalf Defence Closed Mack Rasmussen and Maria Anderson sworn and examined in rebuttle by the Plaintiff A. J. Alley Examined in rebuttle by the Defendant Cause Closed argued and Submitted to the jury for their deliberation and verdict After a short retirement the jury were returned in to Court by the proper officer of this Court with the following verdict We the jury find for the Plaintiff in the sum of $37.40 J. S. Shanklen foreman
"		Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendant the sum of Thirty Seven and 40/100 Dollars as allowed by the jury and the Sum of $ Costs necessarily incurred in the prosecution of this action in Lawful Money of the United States (See Pay. 100-

No. 413

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

County of Butte

State of California.

Action Grand Larceny

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

A. J. Ally — Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1884		PROCEEDINGS
Oct.	15,	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Winchell —
Oct.	18	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant asks for Examination in about of Five Hundred Dollars — Bond approved and filed —
Nov.	16.	10. O.C. & M. Parties in Court — and by Consent of Parties this Case is taken up out of the regular order for Examination — Parties ready to proceed — C. N. Gearhart appointed as Short-hand reporter for this Case —
		L. Anderson and Mamie Anderson sworn and examined as witness for the Prosecution — Rest Closed —
		L. N. Winchell and A. J. Ally Defendant examined as witness for the defense —
		Court took a recess until 1. Oclock P. M. this day —
"		1. O.C. P.M. Parties in Court and ready to proceed — A. J. Ally on the Stand as witness — A. N. Cavit sworn and examined for the defense — Defense Closed —
		Mamie Anderson — Examined in rebuttle by the People
		Case Closed argued and Submitted —
		The Court took the Same under advisement —
17.		The Court having fully Considered this Matter — and being of the opinion that the evidence is insufficient to hold the Defendant it is therefore ordered that the Defendant be discharged from further Custody under this Charge

J. H. Hill
J. P. —

No. 414-

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

County of Inyo-

State of California.

Action Burglary-

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe- Ah Sam- alias Ah Yun- Defendant

Demand $

J. H. Daly Attorney for Plaintiff

E. F. Griffith- Attorney for Defendant

No. 1118

DATE. 1886		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	17.	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Policeman McDonald
19th	19.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant Committed to the Sheriff of Inyo County to await his examination in the Sum of One Thousand Dollars —
	20.	This Cause set down for Examination At 2. O.C. P.M. Oct. 23d. 1886 — Issued Subpoena for the People and gave Const. Waddell —
	23.	This Cause is Continued until 2. O.C. P.M. Oct 25th 1886 —
"	25.	4. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court and says he is ready for Examination —
"		A.G. Johnson — Carl Johnson and Sophia Johnson — and G. H. McDonald & Chas Helchman Sworn and Examined as witnesses for the People Prosecution Closed — Ah Yun — Sworn and Examined as a witness in his own behalf — Cause Closed — and Submitted to the Court — took the Same under advisment —
"	26	The Court having fully Considered this Matter — And it appearing to me that the offence mentioned in the Complaint filed herein has been Committed and that there is sufficient Cause to believe the above named Defendant Ah Yun guilty thereof & order that he be held to answer to the Same and Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Inyo — And that he be admitted to bail in the Sum of Five Hundred Dollars and is Committed to the Sheriff of Inyo County until he give Such bail —

J. B. Hill
Justice of the Peace
& Ex Officio Magistrate
in and for the County of Inyo

No. 415

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Butte

State of California.

Action Petit Larceny

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Frank Breese & Ben-Breese, Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1864	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 1st 2 P.M.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & Ben arrested

No. 416

In the Justice's Court of S. Township,

County of Guern-

State of California.

Action Vagrancy

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Wm. Johnson, John H. Swift and Frank Barker
Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1418.

DATE. 1884	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 22	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court- Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against- them & their rights in the premises- Defendant plead guilty and ordered to appear for Sentence on this day & year at 9. O.C. A.M. Oct 23d. 1884
	D. H. Hill. J.P.
Sum Page 94	Judgment for the Principal 37.40
	" " " Justices fees- 14.20
	" " " Constable " 7.50
	" " " Juris " 18.00
	Total amount of Judgment- $77.10-
	D. H. Hill. J.P.

No. 417-

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Vagrancy

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Geo. Brush, Harry McCormack
William Hale & Wm. Gomez
Defendants

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1884	PROCEEDINGS
Oct 22	Complaint - filed Warrant - issued and given Const: Mitchell -
paid	Defendants - in Court - and informed of the charge against
"	them and of their rights in the premises - Defendants plead guilty -
	Defendants ordered to appear for Sentence at 9. O.C. A. M. -
	Oct - 23. 1886 - on their own recognizance

102

No. 418.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Assumpsit.

The People of California

John Welsh - Chas. Hatch - Van Valen - John Smith 4 John Mincy - Ed. White - Ge. Thompson - Wm. Brown - Geo Clark 5 H. Hutchen - Jas. Wilson - Jas. O'Brien - Geo. Dinsby 13 - Thos Miller -

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

PROCEEDINGS.

Oct. 22. Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Wm Shull -

23. Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the Court. Defendants plead guilty - and waived the legal time for Sentence -

Defendants fined five dollars each - costs - to jail - in default of the - Court - five days each -

S. H. Hill. J.P.

1

No. 419.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Butte

State of California.

Action Disturbing the Peace

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Jack Patterson

Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1884	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 22.	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Marshall. J. A. Bustalle

102

No. 418.

In the Justice's Court of Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Defendant

Action Larceny.

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 118.

DATE. 1884	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 22.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Wm Shull —
23.	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the Law. Defendants plead guilty — and waived the legal time for Sentence —
pd $5.	Defendants fined for selling each — cost — & Jail — in default of the — Law — for days each —
	E. H. Hill. J.P.

No. 419.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Butte

State of California.

Action Disturbing the Peace

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Jack Patterson

Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1884	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 22.	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Marshall J. H. Bartell

No. 424

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Tuolumne

State of California.

Action Battery.

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Peter Garment

Defendant

Demand $

Griffin & Griffin Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1,118

DATE. 1884.	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 25th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Deft. Const. Gillespie
" 26	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises – Defendant held for his appearance for trial in the Sum of Three Hundred Dollars. Bond approved & filed –

No. 421.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Petit Larceny

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

J. J. Shepherd

Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1884	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 25th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Dept. Const. Gillespie-
Oct 25	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises - Defendant pleads not guilty. Cause set down for trial at 9 O.C. A.M. Oct 26th 1884 -
26.	9 O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court and says he is ready for trial - Cause dismissed for want of Prosecution -

E. A. Hill J.P.

No. 422.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Tuolumne

State of California.

The People of California

Plaintiff

vs.

Alfred Wright

Defendant

Action Grand Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1418. DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1884 Oct 27th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Sheriff Meade —

No. 423-

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

County of Butte

State of California.

The People of California

Plaintiff

vs.

John Kelly & R. Gordin & Frank Gayle

Defendant

Action Felony

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS
1884 Oct. 27.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Constable

No. 424.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Writ of Attachment

W. H. Chance — Plaintiff

vs.

W. S. Gray and J. McCormick — Defendants

Demand $150 00/100

Haight & Harris — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1118.

DATE. 1884		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	27.	Complaint filed Summons issued and given Constable.
	"	Affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved and filed Writ of attachment issued & given Constable
Nov.	3.	Now comes the Defendant by his attorneys Hinds & Bonyo & pro tempore demurs each and every material allegation in the Plaintiff's Complaint contained —
	29.	This Cause is dismissed by order of the Plaintiff D. H. Hillyer

No. 425.

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

County of Butte

State of California.

Action Grand Larceny

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Gavinti Costa

Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1884	PROCEEDINGS
Oct. 1st & 27.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court Warrant returned

No. 426

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Butte

State of California.

Action Murder

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Indian Charley, Defendant

Demand $

J. H. Daly Attorney for Plaintiff

Ed. S. Edwards Attorney for Defendant

No. 1,118.

DATE. 1884. PROCEEDINGS.

Oct. 28. Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Dep. Sheriff Lewis —
" " pd. Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises —
The People and Defendant ready for Examination —
Indian Jane and Indian Polecat — Sworn and Examined as witnesses for the People — Defense rested and rest evidence — Cause Submitted —
The Court orders that the Defendant be discharged upon the the grounds that the evidence is insufficient to hold the defendant —

B. H. Hill J.P.

No. 427.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Tuolumne

State of California.

Action Grand Larceny

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe—alias Frank Woodson—
Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1886.	PROCEEDINGS
Oct. 29.	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given to Const: Gillespie
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant Committed to the Sheriff to await his examination —
Nov. 5.	This Cause Set down for examination at 10 O'C. A. M. Nov 8th 1886. Issued Subpoena for People and Defendant —
8.	10 O'C. A. M. Defendant in Court — and Says he is ready for trial — Jas. Dunn — B. H. Gillespie, & L. B. Trinchett Sworn and Examined as witnesses for the prosecution — People Closed — John McCauley — & Frank Woodson Sworn and examined as witnesses for the defense — Defense Closed — B. H. Gillespie and Jas. Dunn — Called in rebuttle — Cause Closed and Submitted — The Court — took the Same under advisement —
" 9.	The Court — having fully Considered this Matter — finds that the defendant is guilty of the Crime of Petit Larceny Committed on or about the 29th day of Oct — 1886 —

D. H. Hale J. P.

No. 428.

In the Justice's Court of T. Township,

County of Butte

State of California.

Action Damages

W. A. Garner — Plaintiff

vs.

J. H. Caren — Defendant

Demand $160 00

Ward & Brady — Attorney for Plaintiff

Wharton & Webb — Attorney for Defendant

No. 1118.

DATE. 1884	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 29.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Constable-
Nov. 26.	Now comes the Defendant by his attorneys Wharton & Webb and files his answer to the Plaintiffs Complaint. Issue- Defendant files his affer to allow judgment.-
Dec- 4.	This Cause is set down for trial at 11. O.C. A.M. Dec 13th 1884-
" 9.	Issued Subpoena and gave Plaintiff
" 13.	11. O.C. A.M. Parties in Court Subpoena returned and filed- parties waive trial by jury- and they are ready to proceed with the trial- W. A. Garner- by Consent of Parties the Copy of the Complaint is filed and substituted for the original Complaint-
"	Plaintiff asked leave to amend his Complaint- leave granted-
"	Plaintiff filed his amended Complaint- W. A. Garner W. E. Clive Wm. W. E. Clive E.A. Dholbrook- W. A. Garner. Peter Hanson- Wm Hill & Benson McCabes- Sworn and examined as witness for the Plaintiff Prosecution Close Court-
"	Defendant moved the Court to dismiss said action upon the ground that the plaintiff in this action was a free person and not entitled to an action against the Defendant- Matter under advisement with the whole matter-
"	J. H. Caren. Peter Hanson- John Burn- R. Pope- Sworn and Examined as witness for the defense- Defense Close- W. E. Clive. called in Rebuttle- Sworn Closed- Argued and Submitted. The Court took the Cause under advisement-
Dec. 20.	The Court having fully considered this action from the Law and the Evidence as adduced on the trial of said action-
"	Whereupon by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the Defendant the Sum of Four hundred dollars the amount allowed by the Court and the Sum of $56.45/100 Costs necessarily incurred in the prosecution of this action- all in Gold Coin of the United States-

See Page 113-

No. 429.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

Action Assault

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Ah Chung — Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887	PROCEEDINGS.	
Oct. 31.	Complaint filed Warrant issued defendant Const. Gillespie	
Inyo		
Page 112, —	Judgment for the Principal allowed by the Court —	42.00
" " "	Justices fees —	9.20
" " "	Constable — "	13.25
Witness	H. Barnes — 1 day — 22 Miles —	6.40
"	C. F. Shumate — " " " "	6.40
"	H. C. Chin — " 11 — 16 — "	4.00
"	Jennie Chin " " " "	4.00
"	John. Hauser — " " 12 — "	4.40
"	Bill Hill — " " " "	4.40
"	R. McCabe " " " "	4.40
"	Total amount of Judgment —	$98.45
	D. H. Hill J.P.	
"	Plaintiff filed his Cost Bill. $66.45/100 —	
"	Defendant allowed a stay of proceedings for ten days —	
23.	Defendant filed his Motion to retax Cost — in this action —	
"	Parties in in Court — and agree that $15-4/11 be and the Same is hereby deducted from the original Cost Bill — leaving a Judgment in favor of the plaintiff in the Sum —	$83.00.
	D. H. Hill J.P.	
1887. June 3.	Stay of Execution issued and given Plaintiff's Attorney W. C. Gray.	
" 6.	By order of Plaintiff this Cause is dismissed having been Paid in full —	
	D. H. Hill J.P.	

No. 430.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Yuma

State of California.

Action Note of hand.

Kutner Goldstein & Co — Plaintiffs

vs.

J. S. Ellior — Defendant

Demand $162 00/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. Items. DATE. 1884	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 30.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Constable —
" 30.	Affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Constable —

No. 431.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Butte

State of California.

Action Writ of Attachment

Butler & Johnson Plaintiffs.

vs.

Ray Tibbets

Defendant.

Demand $55.99/100

Horace Hawes
Attorney for Plaintiffs.

Attorney for Defendant.

DATE. 1886	PROCEEDINGS.
Nov.	1st Complaint filed Summons issued and given Court to mitchell.
"	Affidavit for attachment made and filed undertaking on attachment approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Court to mitchell.
Dec.	4. By order of the Plaintiff this action is dismissed.

D. H. Hall
J. P.

No. 432

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Battery

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

S Biazzza

Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1115

DATE. 1884 PROCEEDINGS.

Nov. 3. Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const Winchell
" Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Defendant held for his appearance for trial in the Sum of One Hundred Dollars Bond approved and filed —
" Cause set down for trial at 10. O.C. A.M. Nov 4th 1884.
4. Nov Comes the Pltf by Attorney and acknowledges that Deft has received Satisfaction for the injury done him and prays the Court to be allowed in the prosecution of Said action — Whereupon the defendant be and he is hereby discharged —

S. H. Hill. J.P.

No. 433.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Tuolumne

State of California.

Action Account-

G. B. Mahurin Plaintiff

vs.

Wm A. Sacks.

Defendant

Demand $ 33 10/100

Edwards & Kirby
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1886	PROCEEDINGS
Nov 3.	Complaint- filed Summons issued and given Const: Gillespie
" 4.	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a Copy of the Complaint- on file herein on the 3d day of Nov. 1886. and filed-
8.	Now Comes the defendant in proper person and for answer denies each and every material allegation in the Plaintiffs Complaint- Contained —
10	This Cause Set- down for trial at 2. O'C. P. M. Nov 11th 1886-
11.	2. O'C. P. M. Parties in Court- and Say they are ready for trial B. Jelich and G. B. Mahurie- Sworn and examined as witness for the Plaintiff- Prosecution Closed- A Sacks and Wm. M. Christal. Sworn and Examined as witness for the defendant- Defense Closed- Cause Submitted- Court- with the Same under advisement.
13.	The Court having fully Considered this matter- Therefor by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it- ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendant- the Sum of Thirty-one and 85/100- dollars- and for the Sum of Three and 80/100- dollars Cost- of Suit- all in lawful money of the United States-
	Judgment- for the Principal — $31.85-
	" " " Justices fees — 4.00
	" " " Constable " 1.80
	witness "B Jelich" 1. day — 2.00
	Total amount of Judgment — $39.65-
	S. H. Hill J.P.
16-	Plaintiff filed his Cost bill- $7.80-
27.	Judgment Same in full-
	S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 434

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Account

Isaac Hale
Plaintiff

vs.

J. S. Dyar.
Defendant

Demand $118.00

Jay Calhoun
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 118

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1884	
Nov 4.	Complaint filed —

No. 435

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Yuma

State of California.

Action Petit Larceny

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe – alias Pat – Maloy – Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1884	PROCEEDINGS.
Nov. 5.	Complaint filed Warrant issued upon Policeman McBride –
" 6.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises – Defendant plead guilty –
"	and waived the legal time for Sentence –
	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Pat Maloy be and you are hereby fined in the Sum of Twenty Dollars and in default of the payment of said fine that you be and you are hereby Committed to the Sheriff of Yuma County – and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County – in the proportion of one day imprisonment for every dollar of said fine
	D. A. Hill. J.P.
Trans. Pg. 122.	
Nov 29.	Summons returned Showing personal Service on the defendant together with a Copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 29th day of Nov 29th 1884 and filed –
Dec. 4.	Defendant by his attorney E. C. Hendricks filed his demurrer to the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein
" 23.	2. O.C.P.M. Demurrer argued Submitted & Overruled Defendant Excepts –
"	Defendant allowed all day Dec 24th 1884 in which to file his answer.
24.	Defendant filed his answer to the Plaintiffs Complaint herein –
1885. April 5.	This Cause is set down for trial at 10. O.C.A.M. April 13th 1885 –
" 13.	Issue joined and Jury Court C. P. Walker.
" 14.	10. O.C.A.M. Jurors returned and filed –
"	11. O.C.P.M. Parties in Court and ready for trial – Jurors drawn to answer questions as to qualification – after which the following jurors were sworn to try the cause to wit:
"	John Dennis – S. Clark – J. A. Cook – D. H. Brady – J. D. Busby. W. W. Irwin. Chas Larson. D. L. Reed. C. Dowd. N. F. Pickle. J. M. Kirby W. Baithelemew.
"	L. Lamb sworn and examined as a witness for the Prosecution Case Closed – Defendant waived the Examination Jury sworn Defendant sworn and the Cause to Stand a new Trial – Motion overruled Defendant Excepts – See Page 125 –

No. 436.

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Appeal

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Peter F. Barnum Defendant

Demand $

Duffy & Duffy Attorney for Plaintiff

Doyle & Harris Attorney for Defendant

No. 1,118.

DATE. 1884	PROCEEDINGS.
Nov 6. "Paid"	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant asks for Trial on the 8th of Dec. Bond approved and filed —

No. 437.

In the Justice's Court of S. Township,

County of Yuma

State of California.

Action account

H. W. Dyckerhoff Plaintiff

vs.

L. Poponich — Defendant

Demand $120 cts

H. S. Grey. Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Nov. 8th	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Plaintiff attorney
"	affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment
	approved and filed Writ of attachment issued & given Plaintiff attorney
" 9th	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant
	together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 8th day
	of Nov 1886. and filed —
"	Writ of attachment Executed returned and filed —

No. 438

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Damages

S. Sheerin, Plaintiff

vs.

E. Lamb, Defendant

Demand $50.00/100

W. S. Grady
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1118

DATE. 1884	PROCEEDINGS.
Nov. 9.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Plaintiff's attorney —
"	Summons returned & sheriff personal service & the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 9th day of Nov 1884 and filed —
" 14.	In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the plaintiff's complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of the said defendant in the premises is hereby entered according to law —
"	In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's Complaint herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendant in the premises have been duly entered according to law — Now at this day W. S. Grady Esq. came and testified as to the amount of plaintiff's claim — on application of W. S. Grady Plaintiff's attorney — It is ordered that judgment be entered herein against the said defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein —
"	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendant the sum of fifty dollars amount fixed for and allowed and the sum of six and 80/100 dollars cost of suit — all in lawful money of the United States —
"	Judgment for the principal sum for $50.00
	" " " Justices fee — 4.00
	" " " Constable " 1.80
"	Total amount of judgment " $55.80
	E. H. Hill J. P.
" 18.	Defendant files his Motion for a New trial in said Action
" 29.	Motion argued and sustained and Summons issued against the defendant and Sam Cook — Sheriff's return

No. 439.

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

County of Yuba

State of California.

J. C. Herrington — Plaintiff

vs.

J. Hutchings — Defendant

Action Note & Account

Demand $113.00/100

H. S. Brian
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1864	PROCEEDINGS
Nov 9.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Plaintiff's attorney
a	Affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved and filed Writ of attachment issued & given Plaintiff's Attorney.

No. 444

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

County of Tuolumne

State of California.

The People of California

Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe, Jas Williams, Jas. Downey, Frank Pattison and Arista Salizar, Jas. Roby, John Smith & Jas. Hanks Defendants

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 118

DATE. 1884	PROCEEDINGS.
Nov 10 paid	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Wm Chase
"	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the Law Defendants plead guilty and name the Legal time for Sentence -
"	Whereupon by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you and each of you be and you are hereby committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of the County of Tuolumne and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of Tuolumne County for a period of Ten days each of you -
	D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 441

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Tuolumne

State of California.

Action Petit Larceny

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Frank Woodson Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1884	PROCEEDINGS
Nov 11.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const Wm Shull
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the Court Defendant committed to the Sheriff of the County of Tuolumne to await his trial.
"	Cause set down for trial at 1 O'Clock P.M. Nov 15th 1884
15.	Defendant files his Motion to dismiss
18.	This Cause is set down for trial at 2 O'Clock P.M. Nov 22d 1884
	Issued Subp and gave Const Wm Shull
24.	Defendant in Court and says he is ready for trial Witnesses sworn and Examined Cause argued and Submitted Court found the Defendant guilty Defendant Remanded in Custody to await Judgment Court thought the punishment Sufficient allowed the Defendant 6 days to move for a new trial
	D. H. Hill J.P.
Nov Page 119	Cause argued and submitted to the Jury for their deliberation and verdict after a short retirement the Jury came returned in to Court by the Keeper of the Court with the following verdict: We the Jury find for the Defendant; D. L. Reed. Foreman
"	Whereupon by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Defendant do have and recover of and from the Plaintiff the sum of Fifteen and 10/100 Dollars and the sum of Twenty three and 75/100 dollars Costs necessarily expended in this action all in Gold Coin of the United States as allowed by the Jury
"	Judgment for Defendants Fine appeal 15-10
	" " " " Justices fees 3.75
	" " " " Jury " 24.00
"	Total amount of Judgment $42.85
	D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 442.

In the Justice's Court of T. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Embezzlement

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

L. Posnich and John Maloney

Defendants

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1118.

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Nov 8th 1887	Complaint filed Warrant issued & Constable

No. 443.

In the Justice's Court of Ukiah Township,

County of Mendocino

State of California.

Action Misdemeanor

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Manuel S. Souza and
Manuel M. Augustine
Defendants

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1884	PROCEEDINGS.
June 10.	Complaint filed Warrant issued thereon. The defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights in the premises. Defendants bail for their appearance for trial in the Sum of $200 each. Bonds approved and filed.
Paid.	

No. 444

In the Justice's Court of S. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action to Disturbing the Peace

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Charles Bert Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1,118.

DATE. 1884	PROCEEDINGS.
Nov 11.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Policeman Le Blanc
" 12.	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the Court Defendant pleads guilty and waives the legal time for Setting — Defendant fined $5.00 — and cost to be paid in default of the payment of said fine in the penalty of one days imprisonment for every dollar of said fine
	S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 445.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Butte

State of California.

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe - Jacob Bothum

Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1884	PROCEEDINGS
Nov 12.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Policeman McDonell.
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge & Courts time and of his rights in the premises - Defendant - Ask to answer to the Same -
17.	Defendant in Court and pleads guilty as charged and waives the legal time for Sentence - Defendant having been in jail 6 days - was thereupon allowed to leave him at noon -

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 446.

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

Action Petit Larceny

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

John Lapo

Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1118.

DATE. 1864	PROCEEDINGS.
Nov 15.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant pleads Not guilty and held for his appearance Defendant files his Bond in the Sum of $50 00 for his appearance for trial —
" 18.	9 O'Clock A. M. — Defendant in Court and says he is ready for trial — Parties say they are ready to proceed — Witnesses sworn and Examined for the Prosecution Prosecution Closed —
"	Defendant asking from the Court to discharge the Defendant upon the ground that the evidence is insufficient to Convict the Defendant — The Court do ordered —

J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 447.

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Grand Larceny

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Anna A Darment-
Defendant

Demand $

Dunpa & Dunpa
Attorney for Plaintiff

Nash & Harris-
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1884	PROCEEDINGS
Nov 15th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Hinchliff-
Nov 18.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises- Defendant asks to enter in the sum of Three Hundred Dollars- Bond approved and filed-
"	This Cause set down for Examination at 2. O.C. P.M. Nov 24th 1884- Issued Subpoena for the People and defendant-
24.	2. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court and says he is ready for trial- Anna Darment- Manuel Gaspar- and Mattie Hunt- Sworn and Examined as witness for the People-
"	Peter Darment and A A Darment- Sworn and Examined as witness for the defense- Cause closed argued and Submitted- The Court took the same under advisement-
27th	The Court having fully considered this matter- Now Orders that the Defendant be discharged upon the ground that the evidence is insufficient to convict-

S. H. Hill. J.P.

No. 448.

In the Justice's Court of S. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Assault with a deadly weapon

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

A. J. Alley, Defendant

Demand $

Wheaton & Webb Attorney for Plaintiff

Hinds & Guyer Attorney for Defendant

No. 1118.

DATE. 1884	PROCEEDINGS.
Nov. 17.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Court. Def'dt arrested.
Nov 21st	" Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises. Defendant says he is ready for Examination and by consent of parties the Court at once proceeds with the Examination of said cause.
	C. H. Gearhart, Sheriff, Thos. Hume, Reporter.
	Isaac Anderson and Wade Rasmussen Sworn and Examined as witnesses for the People. Prosecution closed.
	" The Defendant offers no defense. Cause argued and Submitted.
	The Court after having fully considered this matter and it appearing to me that the offence of an assault with a deadly weapon was Committed on or about the 13th day of October 1884. and that there is sufficient Cause to believe the above named A. J. Alley guilty thereof. & Order that he be held to answer to the Same and Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno. And that he be admitted to bail in the Sum of Five hundred Dollars. and is Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno until he give such Bail.
	Bond filed and Approved.
	S. B. Hill. J.P.

No. 442

In the Justice's Court of S. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

E. Hall — Plaintiff

vs.

Geo H. Kohler — Defendant

Action Note & Mortgage

Demand $570 00

E. E. Calhoun — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1886	PROCEEDINGS.
Nov 17.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Plaintiff attorney —
"	Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant — together with a Copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 17th day of November 1886 — and filed —
23.	In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein, and the time allowed by law for answering having expired — the default of the said defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law.
"	In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's Complaint herein — and the legal time for answering having expired, and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law —
"	Now at this day on application of E. E Calhoun the Plaintiff's attorney — It is ordered that Judgment be entered herein against the said defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein —
"	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the Defendant the sum of fifty-nine Dollars being in full of the principal and interest due for and the sum of Six and 50/100 Dollars Costs necessarily incurred in the prosecution of this action and in Said Court of the United States of a Total of —
"	Judgment for the Principal and interest $57,00
	" " " Justices fees — 4,50
	" " " Constable " 2,00
"	Total amount of Judgment — $65,50

S. H. Hall. J. P. —

No. 452.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Granite

State of California.

Action Petit Larceny

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Mary J. Lopas Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1118.

DATE. 1884 PROCEEDINGS.

Nov 18. Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court Defendant arrested
" " Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against her and of her rights in the premises - Defendant pleads not guilty - Defendant held for her appearance for trial - on her own recognizance -
Cause set down for trial at 9 O.C. A.M. Nov 19th 1884 -
19. 11 O.C. A.M. Parties in Court and say they are ready for trial - Witnesses sworn and examined by the respective parties - Cause argued and submitted -
The Court having fully considered the same doth order and adjudge that the defendant be discharged upon the ground that the evidence is insufficient to convict -

D. H. Hill J.P.

From Page 135:

" Judgment for the amount of damages claimed - 100.00
" " " Justice fees - 9.50
" " " Constable " - 13.25
" " " Sheriff " Sum Municipal - 2.50
" Witness. H. H. McClung - 1 day - & - 1 Mile 2.20
" Chas Endicott " " " 20 - " 6.00
" A. A. Smith " " " " " " 6.00
" W. Madsen - " " " 1 - " 2.00
" E. Gilmore " " " " " " 2.00
" Total amount of Judgment - $143.85

D. H. Hill. J.P.

No. 451.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Damages on Contract.

W. D. Grady — Plaintiff

vs.

D. B. Paige & Co — Defendant

Demand $299.00/100

W. D. Grady
Attorney for Plaintiff

J. G. Rhodes
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1886	PROCEEDINGS
Nov 19.	Complaint filed Summons issued and gen Plaintiff,
24.	Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant in the County of San Francisco and State of California together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 22d day of November 1886 — and filed —
Dec. 10.	Now comes the Defendants by their attorney J. G. Rhodes and file their demurrer to the Plaintiffs Complaint herein filed —
" 15.	Demurrer set down for argument at 9 O'Cl. A.M. Dec 20th 1886 —
20.	Demurrer argued submitted and overruled — Defd. Excepts.
" 21st	Defendant filed his Answer to the Plaintiffs Complaint herein —
"	This Cause by Consent of Parties is set down for Trial at 11 O'Cl. A.M. Jan 10th 1887.
June 1887. 7.	Issued Subpoena for Plaintiff and gen Court —
" 8.	This Cause is Continued until 10 O'Cl. A.M. Jan 8th 1887 —
8.	Issued Subpoena and gen Court for the Defendant,
" 10th	Subpoenas returned and filed —
" "	10 O'Cl. A.M. Parties in Court — and say they are ready for trial Parties waive trial by Jury — tried by the Court — Sitting as a Jury —
"	W. D. Grady Sworn and examined as a witness in his own behalf — Exhibits — A — B. C. & E — filed in evidence — W. H. McKinzie Chas Endicott. M. Madsen — E. Gilman — and A. A. Smith Sworn and Examined as witnesses for the Plaintiff — Plaintiff Closed —
"	M. Moan Sworn and Examined for the Defendant — Defence Closed — Cause argued and Submitted. The Court took the matter under advisement —
" 13.	After hearing the evidence in this action and the argument of Counsel for the respective parties and having fully Considered the Same —
	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the Defendants D. B. Paige & Co a judgment for the Sum of One Hundred Eighty three dollars and 85/100 Cents in Gold Coin the damages allowed by the Court in this action and the Sum of $43.85/100 Cents in Gold Coin Costs incurred and expended

See Page 134 —

No. 452

In the Justice's Court of Z. Township,

County of Butte

State of California.

Action Grand

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

A. Hebbard

Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1118.

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Nov 8th 1886	17. Complaint filed Warrant issued & Ofr affirmed
From Page 137.	
1886. Dec 14	9½ O.C. A.M. Defendants in Court and plead as follows;
"	Now Come the Defendant and each of them and severing each and all objections to the proceedings of the Court herein in Compelling the Defendants to appear for arraignment and to plead herein and not waiving any rights or accepting herein but expressly reserving the same The defendants and each of them pleads that he is not guilty of the offense charged. Each of them pleades that he has already been convicted of the offense charged by the judgment of the Justice Court Z Township Butte County rendered at Butte California on the 8th day of December 1886
	Each of them pleads that he has already been acquitted of the offense charged by the judgment of the Justice Court of Z. Township Butte County rendered at Butte California on the 8th day of Dec 1886
	Each of said defendants pleades that he has been once in jeopardy for the offense charged herein on the 6th & 7th and 8th days of December 1886 in the Town of Cherry of Butte State of California in the Justice Court Z. Township in said County before D H. Hill Esq Justice of the Peace of said Township
" "	This Cause set for hearing by the Court at 10 O.C. A.M. Dec 21st 1886
	As to the said Defendants Capt and A. Hebbard Amount
20.	Issued Subpoena for the People & Cam Court
21st	10 O.C. A.M. Defendants in Court Jury waived by both parties and Cause tried by the Court sitting without a jury Parties ready to proceed with the trial of this action Ah Sam China Andy Ah Sam & Lee Jim Sworn and examined as witnesses for the People Prosecution Closed direct
"	H. D. Snyder Sworn and testified on behalf of defendants Defense Closed will be argued and submitted on the early future

See Page 141

No. 453

In the Justice's Court of Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

Action Battery

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

B. Jelich Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1884	PROCEEDINGS.
Nov. 21.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Policeman De Blanc
" "	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises – Defendant plead guilty and waived the legal time for sentence – Defendant fined and paid $5.00 — Paid Court $2.50
	J. H. Hill J.P.
Inyo Page 138.	Inyo Cal. Dec. 8th 1884 – We the Jury find the Defendant guilty as charged – J. C. Watts foreman –
"	The Defendant was ordered to appear for sentence at 7. O.C. P. M – Dec – 8th 1884 –
Dec. 8	7. O.C. P. M. Defendant in Court and appear for judgment – this being the time fixed by the Court for sentence – Defendant informed of the nature of the charge against them – of their trial and of the verdict of the Jury who tried them – and asked whether they had any legal Cause to Show why judgment should not be pronounced against them –
"	Defendant at this time filed two Motions acting as set out in said motions on file herein – Nos – 1 & 2 – Motions argued and Submitted – Motion No – 1 & 2 – denied – Defendant Excepts –
"	The Prosecution now moved the Court to pronounce judgment against the said above named Defendants, Tuck Hook Wy. Sa – Chun Jung – Ah Dim – Ah Hung – & Quen Sui – Motion denied – Exception –
"	Further action Continued until 10. O.C. A.M. Dec – 13th 1884 – at which time defendants are ordered to appear for arraignment and plea – to all of which the Defendants Except –
13	Arraignment and plea Continued until 10 O.C. A.M – of this day –
"	1. O.C. P.M – Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights in the premises – Defendants allowed until 2 O.C. A.M. Dec – 14th in which to plead – Cause Continued until 2 O.C. A.M. Dec – 14th – 1884 –
	See Page 139 –

No. 454.

In the Justice's Court of Township,

County of Yuba

State of California.

Action Disturbing the Peace

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Yung Sui-Ah Yuck. Ah Sing
Ah Lung, Yung Chin-Hook Way La-
Defendants

Demand $

Reid & Sawyer
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1884	PROCEEDINGS.
Nov 22	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their right in the premises Defendants held for trial in the sum of One Hundred dollars each Bond approved and filed
Dec 3	This Cause set down for trial at 10 O.C.A.M. Dec 6th 1884
" 6	Parties in Court Defendants demand Trial by Jury Venire issued Cause Continued until 1 O.C.P.M. Dec 6th 1884
"	1 O.C.P.M. Defendants in Court Venire returned and filed Parties ready for trial Jurors drawn & sworn question as to qualification as Jurors after which Wm Jones & J. M. Statham were drawn to try the Cause Issued a Special Venire Cause Continued until 9 O.C.A.M. Dec 7th 1886
7	9 O.C.A.M. Defendants in Court Special Venire returned & filed Seven Jurors drawn & sworn question as to qualification after which Ten additional Jurors were drawn to try the Cause as follows Viz: J.S. Walton, D. Griffith, M. Newell, C.M. Jones, J.J. Bentley C.P. Hines Frank Burleigh Wm Parker, Harry Cobb & S.S. Hutchinson Witnesses for and Con Drawn and put under the rule of the Court Lee Williams and J. Lee Drawn as interpreters Lee Jen Drawn and put on the Stand on behalf of the People Court took a recess until 1½ O.C.P.M this day
"	1½ O.C.P.M. Defendants in Court Jury all present Parties ready to proceed Lee Jen on the Stand Chas. Watson China Andy Ah Soon Ah Chun Drawn and Examined as witness for the People
"	Court adjourned until 9½ O.C.A.M. Dec 8th 1884
8	9½ O.C.A.M. Defendants in Court Jury all present Parties ready to proceed Ah. E. G.W. McDowell L.F. Mitchell & Ah Soon Drawn and Examined as witness for the People Prosecution Closed Lee Jen Put on the Stand by the defendants Defense Closed
"	Cause argued and submitted to the Jury for their deliberation and verdict The Jury after a short retirement were returned into Court with the following verdict Viz the People of the State of this Court Guilty See page 137

No. 455

In the Justice's Court of Ba Township,

County of Tuolumne

State of California.

Action Disturbing the Peace

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Lee Jan & China Andy

Defendant's

Demand $

Hinds & Boyn
Attorney for Plaintiff

Zuppa & Zuppa
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1886		PROCEEDINGS
Nov	22.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & ppn Policemen Le Blanc
Paid	"	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights in the premises — Defendants held for trial in the Sum of One Hundred dollars each Bond approved and filed —
	9.	This Cause is set down for trial at 10. o'cl. A. M. Dec 11th 1886 —
	"	Issued subpoena for the Defendant and Jan Sotto Counsel —
1887. Jan	18th	This Cause set down for trial Jan 20th 1887 — at 1. o'cl. P. M. —

No. 456

In the Justice's Court of [illegible] Township,

County of Yuba

State of California.

Action Assault

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Quong Jim Ah Jim Chung Young Ah Sing Young Ching Chin Hook Wa Lee & Ah Hung & Ah Guck
Defendants

Demand $

Hinds & Lamm
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1118.

DATE. 1884	PROCEEDINGS.
June 22	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights in the premises — Defendants called for trial in the sum of One Hundred dollars each — Bond approved and filed —
"	

No. 457.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Note & Claim

D. A. Witt — Plaintiff

vs.

W. L. Grady & A. J. Shum — Defendants

Demand $100.00 & int. & atty. fee & cost

E. S. Edwards
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1884	PROCEEDINGS.
Nov 23.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Plaintiff's attorney —
"	affidavit for attachment made and filed Undertaking on attachment approved and filed — Writ of attachment issued & given Plaintiff's attorney —
Dec. 10.	This cause dismissed by order of Plaintiff — J. P. fees paid —
	S. H. Hill J. P.
Fresno. 134.	
1887. Jan.	3rd. 9. O.C. A.M. Parties in Court. Cause argued by respective Counsel and submitted to the Court for its deliberation and decision. The Court after having fully considered this cause of action in all of its parts from the law and the evidence. It is now ordered and adjudged that Defendants Hock Hy Lee & Yee Chin be discharged upon the grounds that the evidence is insufficient upon which to find the defendants guilty. That the defendants Ah Hung & Moy Ja Sim are beyond any reasonable doubt guilty as charged and that the Court orders that the said Ah Hung and Moy Ja Sim appear for sentence at 10. O.C. A.M. January 4th 1887 —
"	4. 10. O.C. A.M. Defendants in Court. This being the hour fixed for sentence. Defendants were informed by the Court of the nature of the charge against them and of their plea and the verdict of the Court — and asked whether they had any legal cause to show why judgment should not be pronounced against them —
"	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you Ah Hung & Moy Ja Sim be and each of you fined in the sum of $40.00 each of you and in default of the payment of said fine that you and each of you be and you are hereby committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of the County of Fresno and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County in the proportion of one day's imprisonment for any dollar of said fine —
	S. H. Hill J. P.
"	Defendants file their notice and undertaking on appeal to the Superior Court —

No. 458.

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Note & Lien

Hunt & Mitchell — Plaintiffs

vs.

P. B. Donahue — Defendant

Demand $50.00/100 & Interest —

Attorney ____ for Plaintiff

Attorney ____ for Defendant

DATE. 1884	PROCEEDINGS.
Nov 27.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Plaintiff's agent.
"	Affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Plaintiff's agent.
Dec. 3.	This Cause dismissed by and of Plaintiff's. J. P. Gen. Pond
	E. H. Hill J.P.

No. 459

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Robbery — Assault to

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Frank Bass Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1886	PROCEEDINGS
Nov 27.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Constable Winchell —
Nov 29th	" Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises Defendant goes Bond for his appearance —
	" Cause set down for Examination at 2. O.C. P.M. Nov 29th 1886 —
29.	2. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court and says he is ready for Examination Witness Sworn and Examined for and Com— and Cause Submitted — The Court after fully Considering the Same — orders that the Defendant be discharged upon the grounds that the evidence is insufficient to hold Him —

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 460.

In the Justice's Court of Fiskdale Township,

County of Tuolumne

State of California.

Action Vagrancy

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Ed. Welch & Chas. McMullen

Defendants

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1118.

DATE. 1884	PROCEEDINGS.
Nov 3rd	Complaint filed Warrant issued and Constable arrests Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights in the premises — Defendants plead guilty and waive the legal time for Sentence —
"	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you and each of you be and you each and severally be committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of the County of Tuolumne and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of Tuolumne County each of you in the proportion of one days imprisonment for any dollar of said fine — each of you —

D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 461.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Yuba

State of California.

Action Grand Larceny

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

W. S. Davis
Defendant

Demand $

R. B. Bury
Attorney for Plaintiff

E. J. Griffith
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1886 PROCEEDINGS.

Dec 2d Complaint filed Warrant issued &c — Court — Constable

1887. Jan. 11. Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant held for his Examination in a Bond of $500 and Committed in default of said Bond to await his Examination to the Sheriff of Yuba County.

" This Cause Set down for Examination at 10. O.C. A. M. January 20th 1887 —

20. 10. O.C. A. M. This Cause Continued by the Court until 10. O.C. A. M. Jan 25th 1887.

" 10. O.C. A. M — Defendant in Court and says he is ready for Trial — The Court issued an attachment for the bodies of R. G. Harrell A. J. Williamson J. R. Williamson Isaac H. Walker and Henry Jordan defaulting witnesses in this action — who have been regularly sworn with notice by a legal officer of this Court to be in attendance before this Court at 10. O.C. A. M. Jan — 20th 1886 — and then and there to show Cause why they should not be punished for Contempt in disobeying the mandate of said Court —

Cause Continued until 1. O.C. P. M — Jan — 21st 1887 — It is further Ordered that the witnesses present be present at said hour

21. 1. O.C. P. M — attachment returned — defaulting witnesses in Court.

" Viz R. G. Harrell. A. J. Williamson — J. R. Williamson — and E. H. Walker

It is ordered and adjudged that R. G. Harrell be and he is hereby fined in the sum of $20.00 for Contempt of Court — and that A. J. Williamson, J. R. Williamson — and E. H. Walker — be discharged upon the grounds that they have a reasonable excuse for failing to Comply with the reasonable demands of the Court —

" 1/2. O.C. P. M. Defendant W. S. Davis in Court — and says he is ready for trial — Witnesses sworn and examined pro and Con. Cause argued and Submitted — The Court after having fully considered the same — orders that the defendant be discharged upon the grounds that the evidence fails to show that the defendant had the intents to Commit the Crime of Grand Larceny

E. B. Tibbe. J. P.

No. 462.

In the Justice's Court of S. Township,

County of Butte

State of California.

Action Petit Larceny

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

J. Sheerin - Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1118

DATE. 1886-	PROCEEDINGS.
Dec. 2nd	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Policeman McDonnell-
" "	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law - Defendant - says he is ready for trial - Now comes the Dist Atty and asks that the trial of this action and acknowledges that he has received Satisfaction for the injury done him and on payment of the Costs incurred & that he order all proceedings to be stayed upon the prosecution and the Defendant to be discharged

S. A. Hill J.P.

No. 463.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Petit Larceny

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Chs. Furlong

Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1884	PROCEEDINGS
Dec. 1st	E. Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Policeman McConnell

No. 464

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

Action Account-

M. K. Davis — Plaintiff

vs.

Chs. Blasingame (Chinaman) — Defendant

Demand $25.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1,118.

DATE. 1884.	PROCEEDINGS.
Dec. 6.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given to Const. Gillespie
"	affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & given to Const. Gillespie
" 14.	By order of the Plaintiff this cause is dismissed
	D. H. Hill. J.P.

No. 465

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

County of Yuba

State of California.

William Russeau Plaintiff

vs.

C. R. Brown Defendant

Action Note & Mortgage

Demand $250.00 & interest

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1886		PROCEEDINGS
Dec.	4th	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Constable. Hereof
	21st	Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 21st day December 1886 — and filed
1887. Jan.	5th	In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein, and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of the defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law
"		In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's Complaint herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said Defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law — Now at this this day on application of the Plaintiff it is ordered that judgment be entered herein against the said defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein —
"		Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendant the sum of Two Hundred and fifty Dollars the amount of the Principal Sued on, and the sum of Two Hundred and Eighty-Dollars and 64/100 Dollars the interest thereon according to the tenor of said promissory Note and for the sum of Seven and 40/100 dollars Costs necessarily incurred in the prosecution of this action in Gold Coin of the United States —
"		Judgment for the amount of the Note sued on 250.00
		" " " Interest thereon — 280.04
		" " " Justices fee — 5.00
		" " " Constable " — 2.40
"		Total amount of Judgment $537.44

S. St. Hill J. P. —

No. 466

In the Justice's Court of Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Petit Larceny

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

James Daly
Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1,113.

DATE. 1886	PROCEEDINGS.
Dec. 9th	Complaint filed Warrant issued to Geo Policeman C. H. Fresno
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises - Defendant pleads Not guilty and says he is ready for trial -
	C. H. Fresno and A. L. Kimball sworn and examined as witness for the people Prosecution rests -
	James Daly sworn and examined in his own behalf -
	Cause Closed and Submitted - The Court after having fully considered the same finds the defendant guilty - and orders that he appear for Sentence at 9 O'C A.M. Dec - 11th 1886 -
" 11th	11. O'C A.M. Defendant in Court; this being the time fixed by the Court for Sentence - Defendant informed of the charge against him of his plea and trial - and if he had any legal Cause to show why Judgment should not be pronounced against him -
"	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you James Daly be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $50 00 - and in default of the payment of said fine that you be and you are hereby committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of the County of Fresno and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County with the proviso of one days imprisonment for any dollar of said fine
	S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 467

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Disturbing the Peace

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Peter Frantz alias Jack Rhoden — Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Dec 10th 1884	Complaint filed Warrant issued to Policeman C. H. Fresno

No. 468

In the Justice's Court of 3 Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

Action Vagrancy

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

E. Thompson - Guy Morgan, Wm Clifford

John Cummell - Ed. Welch Wm C. Hayes

E. Anders & Wm McGloflin Defendants

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1,118.

DATE. 1886	PROCEEDINGS.
Dec. 9th	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Policeman C. W. Brown
" "	Defendants in Court and ~~plead Not guilty~~ informed of the charge against them and of their rights in the premises — Defendants plead guilty as charged — and waive the legal time for Sentence —
"	Whereupon by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you and each of you be and you are hereby committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of the County of Inyo and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of five days each of you — E. H. Hill J.P.

No. 469. 1-3-

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Grand

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Sackett- Cornell-
Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1884	PROCEEDINGS.
Dec. 9th	Complaint filed Warrant issued and same affiant-
paid	" Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him
	and of his rights in the premises - Defendant asked for
	Examination in a Bond of $200 - Bond approved and filed -
	" This Cause dismissed on Motion of the Prosecution -
	S. H. Hall. J.P.

No. 471

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Bond

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Duckett-Connell.

Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1,118.

DATE. 1884	PROCEEDINGS.
Dec. 3rd/11	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Const. Gillespie
" "	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant asked for Examination in about 5 days Bond approved and filed —

No. 471.

In the Justice's Court of [illegible] Township,

County of [illegible]

State of California.

Action Battery

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

John Williams
Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Dec 11th 1844	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Policeman W. H. Harris.

No. 472.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Suit of Land & Eviction

Plaintiff — Geo. Rupert

vs.

Defendant — W. L. Grady

Demand $57.00

Attorney for Plaintiff — C. C. Calhoun

Attorney for Defendant

No. Lis. DATE. 1884	PROCEEDINGS.
Dec. 13.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const: Winchell
"	affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved and filed Writ of attachment issued & given Const: Winchell.
16.	Defendant files his demurrer to the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein.
" 17.	Summons returned Showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 14th day of December 1884 and filed — Writ of attachment Executed returned and filed —
" 22.	Demurrer set for argument at 10. O.C. A.M. Dec 23d 1884.
23.	9. O.C. A.M. Parties in Court: Demurrer submitted & overruled —
"	Defendant files his answer to the Plaintiffs Complaint herein —
1885. Jan. 5th	This Cause is set down for trial January 8th 1885 - 10. O.C. A.M. — Defendant demands trial by jury — Venire issued — and given Constable —
" 8.	10. O.C. A.M. Parties in Court — This Cause continued until 2. O.C. P.M. —
" "	2. O.C. P.M. Parties in Court — Jury Court — having heard that the Defendant has filed his voluntary notice of insolvency — It is therefore ordered that all proceedings in this action be stayed — until further notice —

No. 473.

In the Justice's Court of Ukiah Township,

County of Mendocino

State of California.

Action Assault with a deadly weapon

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Jacob Sabel Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1884	PROCEEDINGS
Dec. 15th	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given to Const. Gillespie
" 20th	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights upon the same — Cause set down for examination at 10 A.M. Dec. 24th 1884.
" 10 A.M.	Defendant in Court and says he is ready for trial. Geo. Gallagher appointed Clerk pro tem.
"	A. M. Copeland sworn and examined as a witness by the People — Jacob Sabel sworn and examined on his own behalf — Cause Continued until 10 A.M. Dec. 24th 1884 —
" 24th 10 A.M.	Defendant in Court Parties ready to proceed with the Examination — Solomon Lehman & Henry Cotten sworn and Examined as witnesses for the defense — Cause Continued until 1 P.M. Dec. 24th 1884 —
" 1 P.M.	Defendant in Court Parties ready to proceed A. E. Brooks sworn and examined as a witness — Cause Closed argued and Submitted — The Court having fully Considered the same and it appearing to me that the offence Charged in the Complaint on file herein has been Committed and that there is sufficient Cause to believe the above named defendant Jacob Sabel is guilty thereof. Order that he held to answer to the same and Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Mendocino and that he be admitted to bail in the sum of $300 and is Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Mendocino until he give such Bail — Bond approved & filed —

D. H. Hill J. P.

158

No. 474.

In the Justice's Court of ..... Township,

County of .....

State of California.

Action Misdemeanor

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Arthur Hendricks, Defendant

Demand $ .....

Attorney ..... for Plaintiff

Attorney ..... for Defendant

No. 118. DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1884	
Dec-	15: Complaint filed Warrant issued thereon Constable B. H. Gillespie
paid	" Came the plaintiff in person before the trial of this action and acknowledges that he has received Satisfaction for the injury done him — and on payment of the costs accrued in this action — it is ordered that this action be dismissed
	B. H. Hitchcock J.P.

No. 475

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Butte

State of California.

Action Burglary

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Jas Carrell. W. H. Harris Thos. Purdy and Chas. Reynolds Defendants

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1884	PROCEEDINGS.
Dec. 16.	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given to Const. Gillespie
"	Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights in the premises. Defendants plead guilty and waive the legal time for Sentence.
"	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you and each of you be and you are hereby committed to the custody of the Sheriff of the County of Butte and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of five days each of you.

E. H. Hall J. P.

No. 474

In the Justice's Court of [illegible] Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Vagrancy

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

John Sullivan Ch. Stevens. Ch. Brown
John Hennington and Frank. Pfehner
Defendants

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1118.

DATE. 1884-	PROCEEDINGS.
Dec. 16.	Complaint filed Warrant issued Deft. Court B. H. Gillespie,
"	Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights in the premises - Defendants plead guilty and waive the legal time for Sentence -
"	Wherefor by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you and each of you be and you are hereby committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno, and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of Fresno County for a period of five days each of you -
	B. H. Gill J. P.

No. 477.

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Sluicade & Murder

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Solomon Lehman -

Defendant

Demand $

J. H. Baly -
Attorney for Plaintiff

Lough & Hall -
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1886	PROCEEDINGS.
Dec. 16.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court Defendant
" 21"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises - Cause set down for Examination on the 24th day of Dec - 1886 - at 11 - O.C. A.M.
Paid	
24.	11. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court - and in Consequence of absent witness this Cause is Continued until 11. O.C.A.M. Jan 4th 1887. Defendant bound in the Sum of $100 - for his appearance for Examination - accepted and filed -
1887. Jan. 4"	11. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court and says he is ready for examination - The Court ordered that the defendant be discharged for want of prosecution - without prejudice to the People on any further prosecution of the defendant upon the offence Charged -

S. H. Hall, J. P.

No. 478.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Account.

Union Economic Co — Plaintiffs

vs.

Geo. Rupert — Defendant

Demand $58.75/100

F. E. Shaw
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1118

DATE. 1884	PROCEEDINGS.
Dec. 17.	Complaint filed Summons issued and given Plff's agent.
"	Affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved & filed Writ of attachment issued and given Plaintiffs agent.
"	Issues to the Defendant by his attny E. E. Calhoun and pays into Court the Sum of $58.75 on this acct.

No. 479.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Claim and delivery of two mares.

Maria Vernon
Plaintiff

vs.

O. J. Meade Sheriff
Defendant

Demand $200 00

H. C. Grady
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1884	PROCEEDINGS.
Dec. 17.	Complaint and Claim and delivery filed Summons issued and given Const. for Service
18"	Summons returned showing personal Service on the Defendant together with a Copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 18th day of Dec. 1884. and filed.

No. 484

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Vagrancy

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Peter Olesen - Ed. Chamberlain et al

Thomas White Defendants

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1115.

DATE. 1884	PROCEEDINGS.
Dec. 18	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Policeman W. H. Harris - Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights in the premises - Defendants plead guilty - and waive the legal time for Sentence -
" "	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence you and each of you be and you are hereby committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County of Fresno for a period of five days each of you -
	J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 481

In the Justice's Court of [illegible] Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Petit Larceny

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Ah Dam — Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1886	PROCEEDINGS.
Dec. 18.	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Peterman McDonald.
20. Paid	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant pleads Not guilty. Defendant Committed to the Sheriff of Fresno County to await his trial —
21.	This Cause Set down for trial at 10. O.C. A.M. June 22nd. 1886 —
22.	10. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court — Jury trial waived by both parties — Cause Continued until 2. O.C. P.M. this day Dec 22nd. 1886 —
"	2. O.C. P.M. Parties in Court and ready to proceed — Witnesses sworn and examined for and on — Cause Continued until 9. O.C. A.M. June 23rd 1886 —
23	9. O.C. A.M. — Parties in Court. Cause argued and submitted — The Court after having fully considered the same — orders that the Defendant — be discharged — upon the ground that the evidence is conflicting and insufficient to convict. —

D. H. Hice J.P.

No. 482.

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

County of Butte

State of California.

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Jack Patterson
Defendant

Action Assault ~~and battery~~

~~Battery~~ —

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1,118.

DATE. 1884	PROCEEDINGS.
Dec. 19.	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Policeman No Bennett
" 21.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises —
"	Jury Sworn the Jury impaneled before the trial of this action and acknowledged that the hand marked on this Docket for the injury done him — paid the Costs in Court in the prosecution of this action —
	J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 483–

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Misdemeanor

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe & Rich Roe –
Defendant

Demand $

J. H. Baly
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1884 Dec. 9th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Constable –

No. 484.

In the Justice's Court of T. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Misdemeanor

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe & Richard Roe
Defendant

Demand $

J. B. Bailey
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1,118. DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1884	
Dec 8th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court Bail fixed

No. 485

In the Justice's Court of [illegible] Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Misdemeanor

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe & Richd. Roe
Defendant

Demand $

J. H. Bahy.
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1884 Dec 20th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Hinchliff

No. 484

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Battery -

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Michael Dunlin

Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1118.

DATE. 1884		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec.	20	Complaint filed Warrant issued & Constable Mc Connell Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court Defendant pleads guilty and waives the legal time for Sentence —
"		Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you be and you are fined in the Sum of $5.00 — Defendant at once paid said fine & Costs discharged Fine Cost $2.50
		D. H. Hill J.P.
Fresno Page 173 —		Witness W. L. Sharp — 1 day 1 — mile 2.20
		" Wm Garvin — " " " " 2.20
		" W. C. Gard — " " " " 2.20
	"	Total amount of Judgment — $ 231.55
		D. H. Hill — J.P.
1887. June	20	Plaintiff files his Act — Bill $31.25 —
" Feb.	10.	Plaintiff files a transcript on behalf of the above judgment to W. L. Sharp —
		D. H. Hill J.P.
April	4.	Issued Execution and given Pltf's atty —
"	6.	Execution returned Satisfied —
		D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 487

In the Justice's Court of [illegible] Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

John Frank Davila
Plaintiff

vs.

M. L. Williams
Defendant

Action Account

Demand $32 cts

Gruppe & Gruppe
Attorney for Plaintiff

W. S. Grady
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1886	PROCEEDINGS.
Dec. 21st	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Wm Shull
" 27.	Defendant files his answer and Cross Complaint to the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein —
1887. Jan. 4.	This cause set down for trial at 10. O.C.A.M. January 18th 1887 —

No. 488.

In the Justice's Court of 3 Township,

County of Butte

State of California.

Action Petit Larceny

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Jerry Daly Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1118.

DATE. 1887	PROCEEDINGS.
Dec. 21st	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given officer.
1887. Jan. 3	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Law — Defendant pleads not guilty as Charged — Defendant held to answer for trial in the Sum of $300. and Committed to the Sheriff in default thereof —

No. 489.

In the Justice's Court of Township,

County of Sierra

State of California.

Action Damages —

Thomas Nolan Plaintiff

vs.

A. J. Peters, P. K. Peters and John Doe Peters — Defendant

Demand $299 00/100 —

W. S. Grady Attorney for Plaintiff

H. S. Dixon Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1886		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec.	23.	Complaint filed Summons issued Sheriff Nevada Co. Cal.
1887. Jan.	3.	Now Come the defendant by his attorney H. S. Dixon and files his Demurrer to the Plaintiff's Complaint herein filed — Summons returned —
"	4.	Now Comes the defendant by his attorney H. S. Dixon and for answer denies each and every material allegation in the Plaintiff's Complaint Contained —
	12.	By Consent of Parties this Cause is set down for trial at 10 O.C.A.M. Jan 14th 1887.
	13.	Subpoenas issued for the Plaintiff's —
"	14.	10. O.C.A.M. Parties in Court — and upon trial by jury — Cause tried by the Court sitting as a jury — Thomas Nolan, A. J. Peters Alexander Garcia — Wm Garcia and W. S. Grady Sworn and Examined as witnesses for the Plaintiff —
		Court took a recess until 1. O.C.P.M. Jan 14th 1887.
"		1. O.C.P.M. — Parties in Court and ready to proceed — D. M. Brooks — E. Runyon Wm Garcia and J. W. Bradley Sworn and Examined as witnesses for the Plaintiff, Pursuant Clerk —
"		A. J. Peters and Jacob Gardner Sworn and Examined by the defendant — Cause Closed — Argument and Submitted — The Court took the same under advisement —
14"		10. O.C.A.M. The Court having fully Considered this matter —
"		Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is Ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendants A. J. Peters and P. K. Peters a judgment for the sum of Two Hundred Dollars damages allowed by the Court in this action and the further sum of $39 95/100 Cents necessarily incurred in the prosecution of said action — in Gold Coin of the United States —
"		Judgment for the Principal allowed by the Court — 200,00
		" " Justices fees — 11,25
		" " " Constable " 7,50
		Witnesses — W. J. Bradley — 1 — day — 1 — Mile 2,20
		" D. M. Brooks — " " " " 2,20
		" E. Runyon — " " " " 2,20

See Book 170 —

No. 490

In the Justice's Court of 3? Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Ejectment of home

Bertha Sketon
Plaintiff

vs.

John N. Pool
Defendant

Demand $42.00 with interest

F. D. Craig
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1115.

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1884	
Dec. 23	Complaint filed Summons issued & given in Court to Mitchell—

No. 491

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Burglary

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Frank Hutchison, John Von Rob-
ber & Sam Von-alias J.H. Jones- and
Chas Stevens — Defendant

Demand $

R.B. Jones
Attorney for Plaintiff

E. E. Calhoun
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1886		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec.	27,	Complaint filed Warrant issued again Court-Fresno
1887. Jan. paid	3.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights in the premises — It appearing to the Court from information acquired by the Court, that J.H. Jones is the innocent party — he was therefore discharged — Defendants Frank Hutchison and Chas. Stevens — Committed to the Sheriff of Fresno County — to await their examination —
"	24,	This cause set down for examination at 2. O.C. P.M. Jan. 24th 1887 — Issued subpoena for the People again Court —
"	2. O.C. P.M.	Defendants Frank Hutchison and Chas Stevens in Court and say they are ready for Examination — C.W. Irwin and W.H. Stevens sworn and examined as witnesses — on the part of the People prosecuting Defendants sworn and examined their own behalf — Defendants Close Cause submitted — The Court after hearing fully counsel the same and it appearing to me that the offence Mentioned in the Complaint on file herein has been committed — and that there is sufficient Cause to believe the above named defendants Frank Hutchison and Chas Stevens guilty thereof order that they be held to answer to the same and Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno — and that they be admitted to bail in the sum of $500.00 each of them and are Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno until they give such bail —

B.H. Hill. J.P.
and Ex Officio Magistrate

Handed the Papers to the Virtual attorney in this Action —

No. 491.

In the Justice's Court of [illegible] Township,

County of [illegible]

State of California.

Action Disturbing the Peace

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe — Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No.	DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
	Dec. 10th 84	Complaint filed Warrant issued & to Const Brown

No. 201

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action by Libel

The People of California
Plaintiff.

vs.

John McFarley
Defendant.

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887.	PROCEEDINGS.
June 1st	The People's Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given to Const. Court Fresno
"	Defendant John McFarley in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law — Defendant pleads not guilty — and Committed to the Sheriff to await trial —
" 3.	John McFarley in Court and asks to withdraw his plea of Not guilty and to plead guilty — and waives the legal time for Sentence —
"	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid — it is ordered and adjudged that for said Offence that you John McFarley be and you are hereby fined in the Sum of $5.00 Cts and in default of the payment of said fine that you be and you are duly Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County jail of said County — in the proportion of One days imprisonment for every dollar of said fine —

S. H. Hall J. P. —

No. 2.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe — alias

J. A. Lamb — Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1118.

DATE. 1887	PROCEEDINGS.
Jan 5	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Const Barker
Panel	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him
"	and of his rights in the premises — Defendant plead guilty
	and waived the legal time for Sentence —
	Defendant was fined and paid $5.00 and Costs
	of Charge — Paid Const Wallin — $2.00
	D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 5.

In the Justice's Court of [illegible] Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Disturbing the Peace

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

S. H. Haream

Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887-	PROCEEDINGS.
June 5.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court Sheaman McConnell
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant held to trial in a Sum of $100 — for — his appearance — and committed to the Sheriff in default of Bond —
6th	Defendant in Court and pleads not guilty as charged Cause set down for trial at 2. O.C.P.M. June 6th 1887. Defendant gave Bond $500 for his appearance Bond approved & filed —
" 6.	2. O.C.P.M. Defendant in Court and files his demurrer to the Plaintiffs Complaint Cause Demurrer argued and Submitted and on refusal —
	Defendant files his affidavit - asking a Change of venue granted —
	The Court ordered that Said Cause be transferred to the Court of Philip Swart — a Justice of the Peace of Centerville Township County of Fresno State of California —
	S. H. Hall. J.P.

No. 4.

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action On Contract.

Chas. Schroder Plaintiff

vs.

Sam Lee Defendant

Demand $147.50

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1118.

DATE. 1887.	PROCEEDINGS.
Jan 4th	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Constable —
"	Affidavit for attachment made and filed Undertaking in attachment approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Constable —
"	This cause is dismissed having been paid in full —
	D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 6.

In the Justice's Court of ..... Township,

County of Yuba

State of California.

E. J. Lowry — Plaintiff

vs.

Jas. C. Humphrys & J. H. Humphrys — Defendants

Action Note & book

Demand $234.37½

Attorney ..... for Plaintiff

Attorney ..... for Defendant

DATE. 1848	PROCEEDINGS.
Jan 5th	Complaint filed —
1848. Jan 4.	Issued Summons - and gave Const - Cowan. C. P. Wallis.

No. 4.

In the Justice's Court of Knights Township,

County of Merced

State of California.

M. F. Silva — Plaintiff

vs.

Central Rail Road Company a Corporation — Defendants

Action Damages

Demand $299.00/100

Crispin & Crispin Attorney for Plaintiff

Rhodes Borden Attorney for Defendant

No. 1,118.

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Jan.	6th	Complaint filed — Summons issued & given Court Barton
"	24.	Summons returned showing personal Service on the defendants together with a Copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 17th day of January 1887. at the County of San Francisco State of California and filed this day —
Feb.	5th	Defendant files its motion to the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein —

No. 7

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Embezzlement

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Ch. Ellis

Defendant

Demand $

R. B. Benz
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887	PROCEEDINGS.
Jan 8th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const Barker

No. 8.

In the Justice's Court of S. Township,

County of Butte

State of California.

Action Grand Larceny

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe, Chinaman, Ah Gee — Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1118.

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1887 Jan 5th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & to Const. Walton

No. 9.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

Action Malicious Mischief —

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe, (Chinaman) A. Dunn — Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887	PROCEEDINGS.
Jan. 8th	J. Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Walker —

No. 10–

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Marin

State of California.

Action Disturbing the Peace

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

S. A. Karcan

Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1,115.

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Jan. 8	Complaint filed Warrant issued & Constable Barker
" 14	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises – Defendant pleads guilty and waives the legal time for Sentence.
"	Defendant fined $5 3/4 – and allowed all day to pay Same fine – Paid – and Paid Court $2 3/4
	D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 11 15951

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Note of Hand

John C. Hewitt — Plaintiff

vs.

E. J. Griffith & E. Hall — Defendants

Demand $225.00

Sayle & Caldwell Attorneys for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Jan	8th	Complaint filed —
1889 Jan	8.	Issued Summons —
1889 Aug	7	Summons returned & filed showing personal service on said Defts E J Griffith by delivering to him a copy of said Summons attached to a copy of the Complaint in said 3rd Township on Aug 6th 1889
Dec	27	It appearing from the officers return that Defendant E.J. Griffith was personally served with a copy of said Summons attached to a copy of the Complaint in said 3rd Township on August 6th 1889 and the time allowed by law for answering having expired and said Deft E J Griffith not having appeared or answered in any way his default is hereby entered according to law.
"	"	It appearing that Defendant E.J. Griffith was personally served with attached copies of the complaint and Summons in said 3rd Township on August 6th 1889 & the legal time for answering having expired and Deft E J Griffith not having appeared or answered in any way and his default having been duly entered according to law.
"	"	Now in this day on motion of Sayle & Caldwell Attorneys for Plaintiff, and on the production of the original note and the [illegible] thereof, that judgment be rendered & entered it is ordered & adjudged that the same be and it is hereby rendered and entered in favor of Plaintiff John C. Hewitt & against Defendants E. J. Griffith & for the sum of one hundred fifty nine & 5/100 Dollars ($159 5/100) being $100 principal & 59 5/100 interest according to the prayer of the complaint Constables costs $2 00/100 Total amount of Judgment $165 5/100 Justices costs $4 00/100 Alvin Walcott J P.
1890 January	11th	abstract given

No. 12.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

John L. Ashley — Plaintiff

vs.

M. Lemike — Defendant

Action Account

Demand $40 cts

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1118.

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

Jan. 8th Complaint filed Summons issued & given Constable

" Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 8th day of Jan. 1887 — and filed —

" Now comes the Defendant and says — the sum of $40 cts on the account — settled in full. & dismissed —

E. H. Hill J.P.

No. 13

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Petit Larceny

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe — Ah Cum — Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1867	PROCEEDINGS.
Jan. 9th	8" Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court Bail

No. 14—

In the Justice's Court of [illegible] Township,

County of Fresno.

State of California.

Action Petit. Larceny

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

John Ecuy — Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1116.

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Jan 8th 1887	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Policeman McDonald

No. 15

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Butte

State of California.

Action Account-

S. W. Henry Plaintiff

vs.

L. Banks- and L. P. Morris Defendant

Demand $ 3.00/100

W. L. Knox
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887	PROCEEDINGS
Jan- 11.	Complaint- filed Summons issued & given Const- Barker
"	Affidavit- for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved & filed Writ- of attachment issued & given Const- Barker
12.	Return in full of Const- C. P. Walker the sum of $85/100 in favor of Plff- action-
	E. B. Hill J. P.

No. 16

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

J. J. Murray and Frank Murray Defendants

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1118

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Jan 1887 12	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Wallin —
" "	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights in the premises — Defendants plead guilty and waive the legal time for Sentence —
	Defendants attorney to have the time at once —
	B. H. Hill J.P.

No. 17–

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Petit Larceny

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe – Wm. Wood –
Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1887 Jan 8th	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Sheriff Meade

No. 181

In the Justice's Court of 3 Township,

County of Butte

State of California.

Action Petit Larceny

The People of California Plaintiff

vs

James L. Sullivan Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1,118.

DATE. 1887. PROCEEDINGS.

Jan. 13. Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Policeman Harris

" " Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights on the plea Defendant pleads Not guilty Defendant Committed to the Sheriff to await his trial

15. 11. O.C.A.M. Defendant in Court and with draws his plea of Not guilty and pleads guilty and waives the legal time for Sentence

" Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that you David Offenis that you Jamy Sullivan be and you are hereby fined in the Sum of $5.00 and in default of the payment of said fine that you be and you are hereby Committed to the custody of the Sheriff of Butte County and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of Said County for in the Interim of one days imprisonment for each dollar of said fine

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 19

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Yuba

State of California.

Action Petit Larceny

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

James [illegible] Sullivan - and

Nelson Olof - Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
June	13th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Policeman W. H. Harris
Nov 1	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises - Defendant pleads Not guilty - Defendant Committed to the Sheriff - to await his trial -
"	17.	Cause set down for trial June 19th 1887 at 1 O.C. P. M. -
"	19.	1 O.C. P. M. Parties in Court and say they are ready for trial - Witnesses sworn and examined for and on - Cause Submitted - The Court took the same under advisement until 1 O.C. P. M. June - 20th 1887 -
"	20	1 O.C. P. M. Defendants in Court - This being the time fixed for the Rendering of the Court - The Court having fully considered this matter. finds that the defendants are guilty - as charged - Defendant, name the legal time for Sentence - Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it - is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you James Sullivan and Nelson Olof - be and you are each of you fined in the sum of Ten Dollars each of you and in default of the payment of said fine that you and each of you be and you are hereby each of you Committed to the custody of the Sheriff of the County of Yuba and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County - in the proportion of one day imprisonment for every dollar of said fine you and each of you -

D. B. Hall. J.P.

No. 20

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

Action Bagrancy.

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Wm. Smith & John Brown

Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 118.

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
June 17 1889	17th Complaint filed Warrant issued & sent Dept Const. C. C. Bailey
"	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the Court Defendants plead guilty
"	Defendants ordered to appear for Sentence at 11 A.M. Saturday June 22nd 1889

No. 21

In the Justice's Court of Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Disturbing the Peace

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Geo. Schneider

Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887	PROCEEDINGS.
June 16th 17th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Baxter
17.	Defendant gave bond in the sum of $50 for his appearance for trial.
18	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant pleades guilty.

No. 22.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Account

Mary Jones Plaintiff

vs.

Charles Packard Defendant

Demand $110.00

E. E. Calhoun
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1118.

DATE. 1887	PROCEEDINGS.
Jan 18	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Plaintiff Attorney —
"	Summons returned & having personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 18th day of June 1887 and filed —
"	Defendant having failed to file his answer is duly defaulted —
	D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 23.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Yuba

State of California.

Action Vagrancy

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Frank Cooper John Smith and Thomas Collins Defendants

Demand $ —

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887.	PROCEEDINGS.
Jan. 14th	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Sheriff to Execute
"	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights in the premises, Defendants plead guilty and waive the legal time for Summons —
"	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you and each of you be and you are hereby committed to the Sheriff of the County of Yuba and by said Sheriff confined in the County Jail of said County for a period of Ten days each of you

J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 24

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Sierra

State of California.

Action Vagrancy.

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Pat Maley Chs. Grance and Henry Kahn Defendants

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. Line. DATE. 1887.	PROCEEDINGS.
Jan 19	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court C. P. Walker Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights in the premises Defendants plead guilty and waive the legal time for Sentence — Defendants allowed at once to leave the town — S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 25

In the Justice's Court of Z. Township,

County of Yuma

State of California.

Action Battery

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

John Ploy

Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887	PROCEEDINGS.
Jan 20.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court C. P. Walker —
Paid	" Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him
	and of his rights before the Court — Defendant pleads not guilty
	Defendant filed his bond for appearance in the Sum of $50 —
	This Cause set down for trial at 1 O.C. P. M. Jan 22. 1887 —
	22. Now Comes the Plaintiff in person before the trial of this action
	and acknowledges that he has received Satisfaction for
	the injury done him and pays the Costs — and Comes
	Thereby dismissed —

E. B. Hill J. P.

No. 26th

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Disturbing the Peace

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Louis Kastner Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. Line. DATE. 1887	PROCEEDINGS.
June 21st	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const C. P. Hallin —
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant pleads Not guilty —
"	Defendant gave Bond in the Sum of $50 for his appearance for trial Cause set down for trial at 1 O'Clock P M June 22d 1887 —
22.	Now Comes the Jury impanneled for the trial of this action and acknowledge that he has heard Satisfactory for the trying above him and find the Defendant guilty in Manner charged in Complaint — Cause thereupon dismissed —

E. H. Hall J. P.

No. 27

In the Justice's Court of S. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Vagrancy

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Thomas Dee Moon
Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887	PROCEEDINGS.
June. 21.	Complaint filed warrant issued & given Policeman G. H. McDonald-
Page 1	" Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him
	and of his rights in the premises - Defendant pleads guilty
	and waives the legal time for sentence -
	Defendant allowed at once to leave the town -
	S. B. Hall. J.P.

No. 28.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Butte

State of California.

Action Account

Kutner Goldstein & Co — Plaintiff's

vs.

Buck Sing

Defendant

Demand $ 25-75/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1118. DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1887 Jan - 21st	Complaint filed Summons issued & 2nd Court - Failure
"	affidavit - for attachment made & filed Undertaking on Attachment
	appeared and filed. Writ of attachment issued & 2nd Court Failure -
22.	His action dismissed having been paid in full.
	D. H. Tibbe J.P.

No. 29—

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

Action Account—

Kutna Goldstein & Co— Plaintiffs

vs.

M Bunlan— Defendant

Demand $90.43/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS
Jan	22	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. C. P. Walker.
"	24	Summons returned Showing personal Service on the Defendant— together with a Copy of the Complaint— on file herein on the 22d day of Jan— 1887— and filed this day—
"	27	Defendant files his demurrer to Plaintiffs Complaint filed herein
"	"	" " Demand for an itemized account—
Feb	21st	Demurrer Set down for argument at 10 O.C. P.M. Feb 23d. 1887.
	23	10. O.C. A.M— Demurrer Submitted and overruled—
	26.	In this action the defendant having been regularly Served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint— on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having Expired the default of the said defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law—
"		In this action the Defendant having been regularly Served with process— and having failed to answer the Plaintiffs Complaint— herein— and the legal time for answering having Expired— and the default of the said defendant— in the premises having been duly entered according to law— Now at this day on application of the Plaintiff— It is ordered that Judgment be entered herein against the said Defendant— in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiffs Complaint— on file herein—
"		Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is Ordered and adjudged that the said Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendant the sum of Ninety and 43/100— Dollars— the Principal sum for and the sum of Six and 70/100— Dollars Cost necessarily expended in the prosecution of said action in Lawful money of the United States—
"		Judgment for the Principal sum for— $90.43
		" " " Justices fee— 4.50
		" " " Constables " 2.20
"		Total amount of Judgment— $97.13
		J. H. Hill. J. P.
April	27.	Handed Plaintiff an abstract of said Judgment—
Jan	2.	Paid in full and discharged— J. H. Hill J. P.—

Issued Execution— attached 6 Horses

Execution returned May 2d 1887— Levied Plaintiff

No. 31–

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

Action Vagrancy

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

John Sullivan & James Battles –
Defendants

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1118.

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
June. 24	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Const C. P. Watkins to Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights in the premises. Defendants plead guilty and waive the legal time for Sentence — Defendants allowed to at once leave the town. — B. F. Tobler J.P.

No. 31.

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

County of Butte

State of California.

Action Petit Larceny

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe — John Welsh —
Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887	PROCEEDINGS.
Jan. 14th	Complaint filed Complaint and affidavit filed Search Warrant issued and Const: C. P. Walker —

No. 32.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Vagrancy

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

John Cunningham and
James Hamblebin -
Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1,118.

DATE. 1887.	PROCEEDINGS.
June 24	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Policeman G. H. M. Donnell - Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights in the premises - Defendants plead guilty and waive the legal time for sentence - Defendant allowed one week to leave the town -

E. H. Tibbe J.P.

No. 33.

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

Action Sci Tenbing the Peace

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Henry Smith
Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887	PROCEEDINGS.
June 25	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Const C. P. Hall Con-
Paid "	stable Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law. Defendant pleads guilty and waives the legal time for Sentence — Defendant fined and Paid $5 00. and Sentence dis Charged — Paid Court $2 00 —
	J. K. Hill J.P.

No. 34

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Account

J. H. Oates — Plaintiff

vs.

Geo. Dopheser — Defendant

Demand $8 cts

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1,118.

DATE. 1887	PROCEEDINGS.
Jan- 25.	Complaint filed Summons issued and given Const. C. P. Walton
"	Summons returned showing personal Service on the Defendant together with a Copy of the Complaint on file Same on the 25th day of Jan 1887. and filed —
26.	By order of Plaintiff this Cause is duly dismissed Money been paid in full —

J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 35.

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Disturbing the Peace

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

John Cunningham and
James Hambleton
Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887	PROCEEDINGS.
Jan 25	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Policeman W. H. Harris
"	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the Court. Defendants plead guilty and ask the legal time for Sentence —
"	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you and each of you be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $15 you and each of you — and in default of the payment of said fine you and each of you ~~be~~ and you are hereby committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County in the proportion of one day imprisonment for every dollar of said fine you and each of you —

D. H. Hill
J. P.

No. 36

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Leon Wah — and Ah Gim — Defendant 8

Action Maintaining a place where opium is smoked

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1,118.

DATE. 1887.	PROCEEDINGS.
Jan. 27	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const: W. L. Hill —
"	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights in the premises — Defendants plead Not guilty —
"	Cause set down for trial at 10. O.C. A.M. Jan 31st 1887 —
	Defendants give Bonds in the Sum of $100 each for their appearance for trial — Bonds approved and filed —
"	Issued Subpoena for the People and given Const: W. L. Hill
31st	10. O.C. A.M. Defendants in Court — and on Motion of the District Attorney this Cause is dismissed without prejudice to the People — upon the grounds of the insufficiency of the Complaint — W. L. Hill J.P.

No. 37.

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Ah Jim - Ah Govt - Ah Doon - Ah Sing Ah Jung - Sig Chin - Ah Ben - Ah Sook - Ah Leung - Ah Kaun - [illegible] - Sig Kaudea & Ah Jim — Defendants

Action Writing of Place when Crime is

Bracket

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887.	PROCEEDINGS.
June 27.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court - W. B. Hill -
"	Defendants in Court, and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the Court - Defendant plead Not guilty - Cause set - down for trial at 10. O'C. A. M. June - 31st 1887 - Issued Subpoenas for the People and gave Costs -
"	Ah Sing and Ah Jim - gave Bonds in the Sum of $100 each for their appearance for trial - Bonds approved and filed
28.	Sing Chin gave a Bond in the Sum of $100 for his appearance for trial - Bond approved and filed -
"	Seven remaining defendants - gave bonds in the Sum of $100 each for their appearance for trial - Bond approved & filed -
" 31.	10. O'C. A. M Defendants in Court - and on Motion of the District Attorney this Cause is dismissed without prejudice to the People - upon the grounds of the insufficiency of the Complaint - W. B. Hill J. P.

No. 38.

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Account

Kutner Goldstein & Co — Plaintiffs

vs.

H. Banta

Defendant

Demand $9.24

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1418

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Jan	24.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Court. Waiver —
Feb.	10.	Summons returned showing personal Service on the defendant together with a Copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 10th day of February 1887 — and filed this day —
March	2.	In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein — and the time allowed by law for answering having Expired the default of the said defendant herein is hereby duly entered according to Law
"		In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint herein — and the legal time for answering having Expired and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to Law. Now at this day on application of the Plaintiff. It is ordered that Judgment be entered herein against the said defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein —
"		Whereupon by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiffs do have and recover of and from the said defendant the sum of Nine and 24/100 — Dollars the amount — sued for and the sum of Five and 30/100 — Dollars — the Costs — necessarily Expended in the prosecution of this action in compliance of the Statute —
"		Judgment — for the principal sum for — $9.24
		" " " Justices fees — 4.50
		" " " Costabb " .80
"		Total amount of Judgment — $14.54

J. H. Hills. J.P.

No. 29.

In the Justice's Court of [illegible] Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Vagrancy

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Chas. Wilson, Jas Collins - J. H. Holland, John Smith, Geo. Sillis, John Coppla - Henry Gunnagan, Wm Barker - Frank Anderson - and John Wallis — Defendants

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887.	PROCEEDINGS.
Jan- 29.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Policeman G. W. McDonald —
"	Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights before the law Defendants plead guilty as charged in the Complaint - and waive the legal time for Sentence —
"	Therefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Frank Coppa - John Smith and Thomas Collins be and you are hereby committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno and by said Sheriff in the County Jail of said County for a period of Forty days each of you and that you Chas. Wilson J. H. Holland, Geo. Sillis - Henry Gunnagan, Wm Barker - Frank Anderson and John Wallis be and you are each of you hereby committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of ten days each of you

D. H. Hill. J.P.

No. 40-

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

Action Assault - with intent to kill -

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Geo. B. Smith
Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1118.

DATE. 1887	PROCEEDINGS.

June 29. Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Constable W. L. Hill

" " Defendant in Court - and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises - Defendant asks for his appearance for examination in a Bond of Four Thousand ($4000.) Dollars - Bond fixed -

" 31. Hrs Court met - came for Examination at 10. O.C. A.M. June 30. 1887 Issued Subpoenas for the People & given Constable Hill -

July 5. 10. O.C. A.M. - Defendant in Court and says he is ready for the Examination - The Court appointed J. M. Gearhart - Short hand reporter for this Cause - Witnesses sent under the rule of the Court - sworn and Com - A. G. Anderson - L. M. C. Hodge & R. M. Wood - sworn and examined the witnesses - for the People - Court took a recess until 1/2 - O.C. P.M. this day -

" 1/2 O.C. P.M. - Defendant in Court and ready to proceed with the examination of this Cause - R. M. Wood on the stand - L. C. Smith - M. A. sworn J. M. Elmore sworn and examined as witnesses on the stand and examined for the People -

The People Closed -

Geo. Hyde - W. L. Hill - D. Hunkeman Joseph Eckels - sworn and Examined as witnesses for the Defense

Defense Closed - Court took a recess until 7. O.C. P.M. this day -

" 7. O.C. P.M. - Defendant in Court and ready to proceed Eckels - on the stand for the defense - Cause Closed - Cause argued and submitted - for the consideration and finding of the Court - - The Court after having fully considered - and it appearing to me that the offence Charged in the Complaint - on file herein has been and that there is sufficient Cause to believe the above named defendant George B. Smith guilty thereof - & order that he be held to answer to the same and committed to the Sheriff of this County of Inyo - And that he be admitted to bail in the sum of $5000. - and is Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Inyo until he give such bail -

Bond approved and filed -

W. L. Hill. J.P.

1887. Hearing at Keeler on this 5th day of July - Bail 5th -

No. 46.

In the Justice's Court of 5th Township,

County of Yuba

State of California.

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Ah Jim Defendant

Action Maintaining a place where opium is smoked

Demand $

R. B. Lucas Attorney for Plaintiff

Henry & Knight Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Jan.	31.	Complaint filed warrant issued & given Const. W. L. Hill
"		Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Defendants Counsel moved the Court to discharge the defendant upon the grounds that the defendants name had been discharged for the same offense. Motion denied defendant excepts. Defendant pleads plead not guilty as charged in the Complaint. Cause set down for trial at 10. O.C. A.M. February 4th 1887. Defendant held for his appearance in the sum of $100 Bond approved and filed.
Feb.	3.	Defendant demands trial by jury. Venire issued & given Const.
"	4th	10. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court. Venire returned and filed Parties ready to proceed with the trial of this action. Jurors drawn to answer questions as to qualification after which by Consent of Parties the following jurors were drawn to try the cause to wit: C. Christiana, W. J. Bixby, J. H. Smith, Ed. Frame, John Dennis, G. A. Burkland, A. N. Battu, Geo. Burpee, C. H. Bruce, D. Griffith, and John Morgan. Geo. Bernard and W. L. Hill, sworn and Examined as witnesses for the Prosecution. People Rest. Defendant Ah. Jim sworn and examined on his own behalf. Cause Closed. Argued and submitted to the Jury for their deliberation and verdict. The Jury without retirement found as follows. Yuba Feb. 4/87. We the Jury find the defendant guilty as Charged W. J. Bixby Foreman. The Court ordered that the defendant appear for Sentence at 9. O.C. A.M. Feb. 5th 1887. and remanded to the Custody of the Sheriff to await his Sentence.
"	5.	Sentence continued until 2. O.C. P.M. Feb 5th 1887.
"		2. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court. for Judgment and was informed by the Court of the nature of the Charge against him and of his plea and the verdict of the Jury who tried him and asked whether he has any legal Cause to show why judgment should not be pronounced against him.
		Now comes the defendant by his Counsel and moved

See Page 221.

No. 42.

In the Justice's Court of [illegible] Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Sean Kah
Defendant

Action Maintaining a place where
Opium is Smoked

Demand $

[illegible]
Attorney for Plaintiff

Harris & Knight
Attorney for Defendant

No. 1118.

DATE. 1887	PROCEEDINGS.
June 21.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. W. S. Hill
paid	" Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant Counsel moved the Court to discharge the defendant upon the ground that the defendant has been discharged for the same offense. Motion overruled Defendant Pleads —
	" Defendant pleads not guilty as charged in the Complaint. Cause set down for trial at 10. O'C. A. M. February 4th. 1887 — Defendant held for his appearance for trial at 10. O'C. A. M. in a Bond of $100. — Bond approved and filed —
Feb.	4. 2. O'C. P. M. Defendant in Court ready for trial. both parties waive trial by Jury — Louis Bernard and W. S. Hill Sworn and examined as witnesses for the People Prosecution Closed — Sean Kah Sworn and examined on his own behalf — Cause Closed argued and submitted — The Court after hearing fully considered the same finds that the defendant is guilty as charged — and orders that the defendant will appear for Sentence at 2. O'C. A. M. February 5th. 1887 —
	5. Sentence Continued until 2. O'C. P. M. Feb 5th. 1887
	" 2. O'C. P. M. Defendant in Court for Judgment, and was informed by the Court of the nature of the Charge against him and of his plea and the verdict of the Court; and asked whether he has any legal Cause to show why judgment should not be pronounced against him —
	" Upon Cause shown by the defendant by his Counsel — and Moves the Court in arrest of Judgment in this action, and for a new trial in said action. Motion overruled Exception —
	" Whereupon by reason of the Cause and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you Sean Kah be and you are hereby fined in the Sum of Fifty ($50.00) Dollars — and in default of the payment of said fine that you be and you are

See Page 222 —

No. 43

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

County of Tuolumne

State of California.

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Lee Ding, Ah Jim, Ah Gut and Ah Dow Defendant

Action Breaking open at a place where opium is sold & given away

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
June	31.	Complaint filed Warrant issued and gin Court Warm Hill Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the Court Defendants ask time Summons the Complaint and file Same Defendants Counsel argued Submitted and remanded Defendants Counsel Cause set down for trial at 10. O'C. A.M. July 4th 1887 Defendants bailed to answer for trial in the Sum of $100 each Bonds approved and filed
July	4.	2. O.C. P.M. Defendants in Court Lee Ding now asks to withdraw his plea of Not guilty and to plead guilty Do allowed Defendant warned the legal time for sentence
"		Whereupon by reason of the Case and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for Said offence that you Lee Ding be and you are hereby fined in the Sum of $10.00 and in default of the payment of Said fine that you be and you are hereby Committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of the County of Tuolumne and by Said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of Said County in the proportion of one day's imprisonment for every dollar of Said fine
"		Now Comes the District Attorney and moves the Court to dismiss Ah Jim, Ah Gut and Ah Dow upon the ground that the evidence is insufficient to convict the defendants it is So ordered by the Court Defendants discharged

S. H. Hill

J. P.

No. 44.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Butte

State of California.

Action Burglary - Petit Larceny

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Liverpool Kutch & John Doe Ayz

Defendants.

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1,118.

DATE. 1887	PROCEEDINGS.
Jan. 31st	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Sheriff Made
Feb. 1.	Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights in the premises --
2nd	Defendants this day upon the ground that there is no evidence against them withdraw Leave to the same —
	D. H. Hale J.P.

No. 45.

In the Justice's Court of T. Township,

County of Yuma

State of California.

Action Assault

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

John Hennigdon. Jas. Sullivan -
J. R. Vivier - O. J. Nelson & John Doe - alias Charles Vivier -
Defendants

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887	PROCEEDINGS.
Jan. 28.	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Sheriff Meade -
Paid	" Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights before the Court Defendants plead not guilty and committed to the Sheriff of the County of Yuma to await their trial - Cause set down for trial at 1. o'c. P. M. Feb. 1st 1887 -
Feb.	1. Defendants discharged upon the ground that the evidence is insufficient to convict the Defendants
	S. B. Hill. J. P.
Yuma	
Aug. 27.	the Court in arrest of judgment in said action and for a new trial in said action -
	Motion overruled Defendant Excepts -
	" Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you the said Jim be and you are hereby fined in the sum of Sixty ($60.00) Dollars - and in default of the payment of said fine that you be and you are hereby committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of the County of Yuma and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County in the proportion of one days imprisonment for every dollar of said fine -
	S. B. Hill - J. P.
	" Defendant filed his notice and undertaking on appeal to the Supreme Court -
Feb.	14. Defendant Committed to the Sheriff - and afterwards paid into Court the sum of $59.00 and thereupon discharged - Paid J. P. $45 - in Jail one day $1.00 - Paid Co. $5.00
	S. B. Hill. J. P. -

No. 46

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Yuma

State of California.

Action to Enjoining the Peace

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Wm. Strasher

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1,118.

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Feb 1877	1. Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Const: C. C. Baby—
" Paid	" Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises - Defendant pleads not guilty - Defendant held for his appearance in sum of $50.00—
	" Parties in Court and say they are ready for trial — Witnesses sworn and examined for and Com Cause Submitted, The Court after hearing fully considered the same orders that the defendant be discharged upon the ground that the evidence is insufficient to commit—
	S. H. Hall. J.P.—
Yuma Page 218.	~~~~~
	hereby committed to the custody of the Sheriff of the County of Yuma and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County - in the prescribed manner for the period of ~~ten~~ days, for any dollar of said fine —
	S. H. Hall. J.P.
"	Defendant filed his notice of appeal and his undertaking to the Superior Court—
"	17. Defendant Committed to the Sheriff and afterwards paid into Court the sum of $49.00 - and thereupon discharged — Paid - J.P. $4.00 - in Jail 1 day $1.00 - Paid Const $45.00
	S. H. Hall. J.P.

No. 47.

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Examity-

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Yin Chin -

Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov 8	Filed	Affidavit of C. P. Walton filed - Warrant of arrest issued - returnable before Hon J. B. Campbell - Supervising judge of Fresno County - and Bail affirmed -
		J. H. Wells Jr.

No. 48.

In the Justice's Court of S. Township,

County of Marin

State of California.

Action Grand Larceny

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe — alias
Morris Drimmer
Defendant

Demand $

R. B. Gray
Attorney for Plaintiff

Wheaton & Short
Attorney for Defendant

No. 1118

DATE. 1887.	PROCEEDINGS.
Feb. 3.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court — W. L. Hill
" 7.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Cause set down for Examination at 1. O'C. P. M. February 17th 1887 — Defendant held for Examination in a Bond of $500 — Bond approved & filed —
" 17.	1. O'C. P. M. Defendant in Court and says he is ready for trial — James Gallagher appointed Short hand Reporter for this Cause —
	Witness sworn and examined for and Con — Cause Submitted — The Court — after having fully considered this matter — orders that the Defendant be discharged — upon the ground that the evidence is insufficient to Convict —
	S. H. Hill Jr. J. —

No. 49.

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

County of Tuolumne

State of California.

Action Vagrancy

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Edward Daly

Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887.	PROCEEDINGS.
Feb. 4.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walton — Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant pleads guilty and waives the legal time for sentence — Defendant allowed until 6 o'clock P.M. this day to examine him — S. H. Hill J.P.
Paid.	
Mem.	
Page 229.	
1887. Feb.	9th 10 o'clock A.M. — Defendant in Court. This being the time fixed for sentence Defendant was informed by the Court of the nature of the charge against them and of their plea and of the verdict of the jury and was asked whether they had any legal cause to show why judgment should not be pronounced against them. Defendant filed their Motion in arrest of judgment and for a new trial — Motion argued and submitted — Motion overruled Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Eugene Dalton and W. B. Cowan be and you are each of you fined in the sum of $20.00 — and in default of the payment of said fine that you and each of you are hereby committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of the County of Tuolumne and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County in the proportion of one days imprisonment for any portion of said fine — Eugene Dalton at one days said fine and two days for his Costs — Paid Cost $8 — S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 50—

In the Justice's Court of T. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action to Enforce the Penal

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

H. Banta. Eugene Dalton

& John Doe and Joe Brown

alias — H. B. Lowry — Defendants

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1118.

DATE. 1887	PROCEEDINGS.
Feb. 4.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Policeman McDonell
Paid	5. Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights in the premises — Defendants plead Not guilty —
"	7. This Cause set down for trial at 1. O.C. P.M. Feb 7th 1887 —
"	1. O.C. P.M. Defendants in Court — and demand trial by jury — which is had — Cause Continued until 1½ O.C. P.M. Feb 8th 1887 —
"	8. Venire and Subpoena returned and filed —
"	1½ O.C. P.M. Defendants in Court and Say they are ready for trial — Witnesses sworn and put under rule of the Court — Jury and Case Jurors sworn to answer questions as to qualification — venire exhausted — Issue Special venire returnable at 3. O.C. P.M. this day — 3. O.C. P.M. Defendants and Jury present — Parties ready to proceed — after which the following jurors were sworn to try the Cause as follows viz: Frank Swin — H. H. Rose — Thos. Lowry — E. R. Baker — J. F. Reed. J. D. Morgan — H. B. Moore — Ed. McGavock, S. M. Brock & David Summers David Lumbard & Sam Smith —
"	R. R. Mann — Wm Rose — Ed. Dean — Henry Brunnick — L. McNeil — sworn and Examined as witness for the Prosecution — People Rest —
"	Etta Budd — Miss — Packwood — E. Woods — Miss Moody, Joe Brown H. H. Banta — C. P. Hatch — Eugene Dalton — & H. B. Lowry — sworn and Examined as witness for the defense — Defense Closed —
"	Cause argued and Submitted to the Jury for their deliberation and verdict — The Jury after a short retirement were returned into Court by the proper officer of this Court — with the following verdict — viz: We the Jury find the Defendants — Lowry and Dalton Guilty — and the Defendants — Banta and Joe Brown not guilty — Feby 8th 1887 — H. H. Rose Foreman — Jury discharged — Defendants ordered to appear for Sentence at 11. O.C. A.M. Feb 9th 1887 —

See Page 228.

No. 57

In the Justice's Court of Township,

County of

State of California.

Action Disturbing the Peace

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe alias Robert Hall

Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887.		PROCEEDINGS.
Feb.	5th	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Policeman McDonald
"	7.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights by the Court Defendant pleads Not guilty Cause set down for trial at 10. O.C.A.M. Feb 9th 1887
	9.	10. O.C.A.M. Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge again and says he is ready for trial witnesses sworn and Examined for and Con — Cause Submitted — The Court having fully considered the same orders that the defendant be discharged upon the ground that the testimony is insufficient to Convict —

J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 52

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Debt & Damages

Mc. Kinzy & Hamell — Plaintiffs

vs.

Wm Findley — Defendant

Demand $55.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No 148

DATE. 1886	PROCEEDINGS.
Feb. 5	Complaint filed —
1887. Feb. 11	Summons issued and given Const. Plaintiffs agent.

No. 53

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Enclosure - Expenses

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Joseph Adams - Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887	PROCEEDINGS.
Feb. 7th	Complaint filed Warrant issued upon Policeman McDonald
" 8.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises - Defendant pleads guilty and waives the legal time for Sentence - Defendant fined and paid - $6.25 - Paid McDonald $2.50
	S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 54.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Account for labor

J. M. Brothers — Plaintiff

vs.

Wm. Pierce — Defendant

Demand $110 cts.

W. S. Chaney
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1,118.

DATE. 1887	PROCEEDINGS.
Feb 8	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. C. P. Hatton
" 12	Summons returned Showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint - on file herein on the 12th day of Feb 1887. and filed -
"	Now comes the defendant by his attorney Dayle & Harris and demurs to the Plaintiff Complaint - on file herein and for demurrer denys each and every material allegation in the Plaintiff Complaint contained —

No. 5-5-.

In the Justice's Court of 5. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Embezzlement - Expressman

The People of California Plaintiff.

vs.

J. M. Brothers Defendant.

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff.

Attorney for Defendant.

DATE. 1887	PROCEEDINGS.
Feb. 8	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Policeman McConnell —
" 9.	Defendant in Court — and informed of the Charge against him
Pd.	and of his Rights in the premises — Defendant pleads guilty —
	and waives the Legal time for Sentence —
	Defendant fined $10.00 and allowed Five days in which
	to pay said fine — and if not — Be said Defendant will
	then appear for Sentence — said Commitment — in default
	of the payment of said fine —
	D. H. Hill. J.P.

No. 5-6-

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Tuolumne

State of California.

Action Disturbing the Peace

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

A. O. Anderson

Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. Hds.

DATE. 1887.	PROCEEDINGS.
Feb. 8.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Policeman W. Bruce
" 9.	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the Court Defendant pleads guilty and waives the legal time for Sentence —
	Defendant fined and fined $60.00 — Paid $2.50

S. A. Hill J.P.

No. 57.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Account

W. J. Prather — Plaintiff

vs.

J. B. Eisen — Defendant

Demand $49 00/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

H. S. Dyar

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Feb	9th	Complaint filed Summons issued and given Const. Burton
"	25.	Summons returned showing personal Service on the Defendant together with a Copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 23rd day of February 1887 at the Town and County of San Francisco Cala and filed this day
March	14	Demurrer filed by H. S. Dyar Defts Atty
	26.	Demurrer Set down for argument at 10. O.C. A.M. March 28th 1887
	"	Demurrer Submitted and are ruled
	"	Now Comes the Defendant by his attorney and for answer denies each and every material allegation in the Plffs Complaint Contained
April	15.	This Cause is Set down for trial at 10. O.C. A.M. April 25th 1887
	25.	10. O.C. A.M. Parties in Court and cause tried by jury Parties ready for trial W. J. Prather Sworn and examined as a witness in his own behalf Prosecutions Close H. S. Eisen Sworn and examined as a witness in his own behalf Defense Close Cause argued and Submitted The Court took the Same under advisement
June.	1st	By order of the Plaintiff this Cause is hereby dismissed J.P. fees Paid by Plaintiff

D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 56.

In the Justice's Court of Z. Township,

County of Yuma

State of California.

Action account-

Kuhn Goldstein & Co- Plaintiffs

vs.

Joseph Zuckerman Defendant

Demand $152.50/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1,118.

DATE. 1887	PROCEEDINGS.
Feb. 17	Complaint filed Summons issued and given Plaintiffs
"	Affidavit for attachment made and filed. Undertaking on attachment approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Plaintiffs
" 25	J.P. fees Paid by Plaintiffs J. G. Wicks

No. 59.

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Felony

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

W. H. Peers Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887	PROCEEDINGS.
Feb. 12.	Complaint filed Warrant issued ~ C. C. Baly ~
" 10th "	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises ~ Defendant committed to the Sheriff of Fresno County to await his examination ~ Defendant files his bond in the sum of $500; Bond approved ~
"	Ehis Cause is set down for Examination at 11. O.C. A.M. Feb. 14th 1887.
	Issued Subpoena for the Defendant and gave Court ~
14th	10. O.C. A.M. ~ Defendant in Court and ready for trial ~ Jas. Gallagher was appointed Short-hand reporter in this Cause ~ J. M. Brothers ~ Book offered and allowed in evidence, Samuel McGinnis ~ Sworn and examined in behalf of People ~ W. H. Peers ~ and Sam Sam Sworn and examined as witness by the Defense ~ Defense closed ~ Jos Brothers & W. H. Peers examined in rebuttle by the People ~ Sam Sam examined in rebuttle by the Defense ~ Cause argued ~ and Continued until 9. O.C. A.M. Feb 15th 1887 ~
" 15th	9. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court and ready to proceed ~ B. B. Allport and J. J. White ~ Sworn and examined as to the character of Defendant ~ Cause closed and submitted ~ The Court after hearing fully considered this which matter orders that the Defendant be discharged upon the ground that the evidence is so conflicting ~ that it is hard to determine the facts in the Case ~

E. H. Hill ~ J. P. ~

No. 44

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Forcible

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Indian Jeff Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 118

DATE. 1887 PROCEEDINGS.

July 15. Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Dep. Sheriff for Service

" 16 Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Cause set down for Examination at 10. O.C. A.M. July 24th 1887 —

Defendant Committed to the Custody of the Sheriff to await his examination — Issued Subpoena for the People & gave Sheriff —

" 24. 10. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court Cause Continued until 3. O.C. A.M.

" 3. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court — and by Consent this Cause is Continued until 1. O.C. P.M. February 24th 1887 —

Issued Subpoenas for the respective parties & gave to Dep. Con. Baty —

" 29. This Cause by Consent is Continued until 3. O.C. P.M. 1887

" " 3. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court and says he is ready for Examination

J.H. Beckwith appointed Short Hand Reporter for this Cause

James Jones (Indian) Sworn as interpreter — Sam Good Eye — Henry Dorsat. Thomas Jones — & Frank Pumpkin — Sworn and examined as witness for the People Court then recess until 7. O.C. P.M. this day

" 7. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court — Frank Pumpkin on the Stand — Lenora. (Indian) Joaquin Jims Wife — Sworn and Examined as witness for the People — Prosecution Closed — Joaquin Jim Sworn and Examined as witness for the defendant —

Court then an adjournment until 10. O.C. A.M. March 1st 1887.

March 1st 10. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court — Cause argued and and Submitted — The Court having fully considered the Same, And It appearing to me that the offence charged in the Complaint on file herein has been committed at said Indian Jeff — J Fresno County — State of California — on or about the 3d day of July 1887 — did wilfully unlawfully feloniously and with Malice aforethought Kill and Murder one Dorsat — (Indian) and that there is sufficient Cause to believe the above named defendant Indian Jeff thereof — & order that he be held to answer to the Same — And is Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno —

J. H. Hills J.P.

Pct. of Plain Township

No. 61

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Yuma

State of California.

Action Account

John Nelson — Plaintiff

vs.

P. Le Blanc. Geo. Lawson

Chs. Baker & H. Ranchon — Defendant

Demand $60 00/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887	PROCEEDINGS.
Feb 15.	Complaint filed Summons issued Ret Court Baker
" 18.	This Cause is dismissed Costs of Plaintiff —

S. A. Hill J. P.

No. 62.

In the Justice's Court of Linn Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Disturbing the Peace

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

C. N. Lawson

Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1118.

DATE. 1867	PROCEEDINGS.
Feb. 16.	Complaint filed Warrant issued and gone affiant —
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant pleads guilty and waives the legal time for Sentence —
	Defendant fined and pleads $5.00 —
	Paid Court — C. N. Walker — $2.00 —
	D. A. Hill J.P. —

No. 63.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Trespass

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Timothy Buckley

Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Feb.	17.	Complaint filed Warrant issued to Policeman McDonnell —
"	18.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant pleads guilty and waives the legal time for Sentence — Defendant allowed to stand over the term — being held in Jail two days — S. H. Hall J. P. —
June		
Page 246.		Cause argued and submitted — The Court after hearing fully Considered the Same finds that the defendant T. Buckley is guilty as Charged and orders that Said T. Buckley appear for Sentence at 1. O'C. P. M. Feb 24th 1887 —
"	24th	1. O'C. P. M. — Defendant in Court — and informed of the nature of the Charge against him of his plea and of the verdict of the Court and asked whether he has any legal Cause to Show why judgment Should not be pronounced against him — Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for Said offence that you T. Buckley be and you are hereby fined in the Sum of Twenty Dollars and the Court incurred in the prosecution of this action amounting to the Sum of $15.00 — aggregating the Sum of $35.00 — judgment and Cost — and in default of the payment of Said fine that you be and you are hereby committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno and by Said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of Said County for the period of one days imprisonment for every dollar of Said fine — S. H. Hall — J. P.
"		Defendant paid in to Court the Sum of $20.00 — Paid Const. C. Mallin $4.00 Sum due on Said judgment the Sum of $15.00 —

No. 64

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

F. F. Platt Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1108.

DATE. 1887-	PROCEEDINGS.
Feb 18.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Const. C. C. Baly —
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights — in the premises — Defendant pleads Not guilty — Defendant held in a Bond of $200 for his appearance for trial — Bond approved and filed —
	Cause set down for trial at 1. O'C. P. M. Feb 19th 1887.
"	Defendant demands trial by Jury — venire issued returnable at 1. O'C. P. M. Feb 19th 1887 — and given Constable —
	Subpoena issued for the People and given Const.
19.	1. O'C. P. M — Court and Jury met — at the hour set for trial — and after waiting ¾ of an hour for the appearance of the defendant — and the defendant having failed to appear as aforesaid the Court ordered that the Defendant, and J. H. Martin and Frank Abbott — his Bondsmen be Called at the entrance of the Court room door — and defendant still failing to answer or appear — It is now ordered that his bond be and the same is hereby forfeited — and attachment issued and given the Constable — and that said Constable C. C. Baly is hereby Commanded to forthwith attach the body of said F. F. Platt — defaulting Defendant and bring him before this Court — and then to Show Cause why he should not be punished for Contempt in disobeying the mandate of said Court —
"	Defendant — in Court and gave same reason for his absence the same taken under advisement by the Court —
	Defendant — now waives trial by Jury and trial by the Court setting without a jury —
	Cause set down for trial at 3. O'C. P. M — Feb 19th 1887 —
" "	3. O'C. P. M. Defendant in Court: and Deputy Dist Atty ready for trial — C. A. Anderson and Martin Eisen — Sworn and Examined as witness for the People. Prosecution Closed — F. F. Platt — H Rawlins & Chas Emmy Sworn and examined as witness for the Defense —
	Defense Closed —

See page 239 —

No. 65

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Claim and delivery.

L. H. Winchell assignee
Plaintiff

vs.

John Harmon et al
Defendants

Demand $299.00

J. H. Bay
Attorney for Plaintiff

W. S. Grady
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Feb.	18	Complaint filed Summons issued and given Plaintiff attorney
"	24.	Now comes the Defendant by their attorney W. S. Grady and file their Demurrer to the Plaintiff's Complaint and file herein —
Mch.	24.	Demurrer set for argument at 4. O.C. P.M. March 25th 1887 —
"	25.	4 O.C. P.M. Plaintiff in Court Demurrer Submitted & overruled Defendant Exception allowed thereto & Defendant by Consent of Plaintiff allowed until and including the 28th day of March, 1887 in which to answer the Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein —
"	28.	Defendant files his answer to the Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein —

No. 66

In the Justice's Court of T. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Attempted Rape

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe — Isabel —
Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1118.

DATE. 1887	PROCEEDINGS.
Feb 1st	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Policeman McDaniels

No. 67.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Petit Larceny

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

E. G. Platt

Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887.		PROCEEDINGS.
July 19th	19.	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Const. C. N. Walton — Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant pleads not guilty and Says he is ready for trial — Parties ready for trial — Witnesses sworn and examined for and on behalf of the People — Case submitted — The Court after hearing fully considered the Same — orders that the defendant be discharged upon the ground that the evidence is conflicting — and does the presumption of Such a doubt as to forbid the Conclusion that the defendant had the intent to Steal the article mentioned in the Complaint and file herein —
		E. H. Hill. J. P.

No. 46

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Disturbing the Peace

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Joe. Enos, Joe Silva, Jerry Brisco and Phillip Martin — Defendants

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1118.

DATE. 1887	PROCEEDINGS.
Feb. 23rd	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Rollins
24.	Defendant Joe Enos in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant pleads guilty — and waives the legal time for Sentence —
"	Defendant fined and fined $5.00 — Paid Const. Fees Book $2.50
25.	Defendants Jerry Brisco and Phillip Martin in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights in the premises Defendants plead not guilty —
"	Cause set down for trial at 10. O.C. A.M. Feb. 26th 1887 —
	Defendants held in a bond of $20.00 each for their appearance for trial — Issued Subpoenas for the People and defendant —
26.	10. O.C. A.M. Defendants in Court — Const. Jerry Brisco and Phillip Martin — Defendants discharged upon the ground that the Evidence is insufficient to convict of the Charge —
	D. H. Hill J.P.
" 28th	Defendant Joe Silva in Court — and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant plead guilty and waived the legal time for Sentence —
"	Defendant fined & fined $5.00 — Paid Court Costs $2.50 —
	D. H. Hill J.P. —

No. 57.

In the Justice's Court of Ithaca Township,

County of Tuolumne

State of California.

Action Insanity

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Harry Starkie

Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887	PROCEEDINGS.
Feb. 22.	Complaint and affidavit of C. H. Brown made and filed —
	Warrant issued for the arrest of Harry Starkie returnable before Hon J. B Campbell Justice of Peace of Tuolumne County forthwith and one affidavit C. H. Brown —
	J. B. Hill. J.P.

No. 70

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Butte

State of California.

Action Vagrancy

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Thomas Morton, Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. Items. DATE. 1887	PROCEEDINGS.
Feb. 22.	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Const. C. P. Walton —
" 23.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant pleads guilty — and waives the legal time for Sentence —
"	Defendant allowed to stand bail in the Sum —
	D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 76

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Account

Austin and Coffman Plaintiff's

vs.

M. J. Lee

Defendant

Demand $141.52

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887.	PROCEEDINGS.
Feb. 23.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. C. P. Walker
"	Affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Const. C. P. Walker —
"	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 23rd day of February 1887 and filed this day
"	Writ of attachment Executed returned and filed
"	By order of Plaintiffs this Cause is dismissed — J.P. fees paid — J. H. Hall J.P.

No. 72

In the Justice's Court of 3 Township,

County of Yuba

State of California.

Action Vagrancy

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

James Murray Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1118.

DATE. 1887	PROCEEDINGS.
Feb. 23	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Const. C. C. Bailey — Defendant in Court — and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant pleads guilty — and waived the legal time for Sentence — Defendant — allowed to stand over time —
" "	D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 73

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

W. H. Creed — Plaintiff

vs.

B. Jelich — Defendant

Action Note & Loan

Demand $50.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887	PROCEEDINGS.
Feb. 24.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given - Carrie J. A. Barker
"	Affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & given - J. A. Barker
March 1st.	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 1st day of March 1887 and filed —
"	Writ of attachment Executed returned and filed —
" 4	In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of the said defendant is hereby duly entered according to law
"	In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law
"	Now at this day on application of the Plaintiff - It is ordered that Judgment be entered herein against the said defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein —
"	Whereon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that W. H. Creed Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendant B. Jelich the sum of Fifty Dollars the principal sum on - and the sum of One and 00/100 Dollars the interest thereon and the further sum of Thirteen and 00/100 Dollars Costs necessarily incurred in the prosecution of said action - in Gold Coin of the U. S. —
	Judgment for the principal sum on — $50.00
	" " " Interest thereon 1.34
	" " " Justices fees — 8.50
	" " " Constables " 4.60
	Total amount of Judgment — $64.44

D. H. Hills J. P. —

1887. Feb. 24. Note on the Judgment Docket Book 1852.

250

No. 74.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Writ of Attachment

E. J. Griffith & Phillip Stewart — Plaintiffs

vs.

Lucien J. Bruce — Defendant

Demand $260.00

E. G. Calcutt — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1118

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Feb.	24	Complaint filed Summons issued & given to Const. C. C. Baily
"		Affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved & filed Writ of attachment issued & given to Const. C. C. Baily
"		Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 24th day of February 1887. and filed —
"		Writ of attachment executed returned and filed —
March	2.	In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of the said defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered —
"	3.	In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendant having been duly entered according to law Now on this day on application of E. G. Calcutt attorney for the Plaintiff it is ordered that judgment be entered against the said defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein — Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiffs do have and recover of and from the said defendant the sum of Two Hundred and Sixty dollars (balance now due on said Note) together with interest thereon from date as in this action and for the sum of Seventeen and 95/100 dollars Costs incurred in the prosecution of this action in Gold Coin of the United States —
"		Judgment for the Principal and interest now due — 260.00
"		" " " Justices Fees — 8.50
"		" " " Constables " 7.20
"		" " " Records — " 2.25
"		Total amount of Judgment — $277.95

D. H. Hill J. P.

May 28 1889 Execution issued & delivered to D. Morgan Constable

Final Execution Feb-19th 1888 —

Execution returned Unsatisfied May-5th 1889 —

No. 75

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

George Knight

Defendant

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887-	PROCEEDINGS.
Feb. 25th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Policeman W. H. Harris- Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the Court Defendant pleads guilty and waives the legal time for Sentence- Defendant allowed to leave the town at once-

E. H. Hill J. P.

No. 76

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Joe Enos — Defendant

Action Grand Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1118.

DATE. 1887.	PROCEEDINGS.
Feb 10th	Complaint filed Search Warrant issued Defendant arraigned
" 8th	3d Warrant returned by Const C. E. Atkins — Nothing found —

No. 77.

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

County of Butte

State of California.

Action Battery

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Ike Banta Defendant

Demand $

R. B. Gray Attorney for Plaintiff

Gray & Wright Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887	PROCEEDINGS
Mch 3.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court - Ike Banta
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises - Defendant pleads Not guilty
"	Cause set down for trial at 1. O'C. P. M. Mch 4th 1887 -
4.	1. O'C. P. M - Defendant in Court - and demands trial by Jury
"	Defendant now waives trial by Jury - and says he will be tried by the Court - Defendant ready for trial -
"	Witness sworn for and on - Cause closed and submitted The Court after hearing fully considered the same orders that the defendant be discharged upon the ground that the evidence is insufficient to convict -

D. H. Hill. J.P.

No. 78.

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

County of Yuba

State of California.

Action to Forbid the Peace

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Lean Cunnie

Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1,118.

DATE. 1887.		PROCEEDINGS.
March	3	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Constable
8th	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises - Defendant plead not guilty - Cause set down for trial at 1. O'C P.M. March 4th 1887.
	4.	1. O'C P.M. Defendant in Court and asks to withdraw his plea of not guilty and to plead guilty -
	"	Defendant ordered to appear for Sentence at 9. O'C A.M. March 5th 1887 -
	5.	9. O'C A.M. Defendant in Court. Having Returned filed for Sentence - Whereby by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid - it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Lean Cunnie be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $8.00 - Defendant allowed two days in which to pay said fine -

No. 79.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Vagrancy

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Wm. Hutchison

Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887-	PROCEEDINGS.
Mch 4th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Policeman W. H. Harris Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court Defendant pleads guilty and waives the legal time for Sentence — Defendant allowed at once to leave the Room — J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 80-

In the Justice's Court of Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Pat Malony

Defendant

Action Petit Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1,018

DATE. 1887	PROCEEDINGS.
Mch 9th	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given officer -

No. 81.

In the Justice's Court of T. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Petit Larceny

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe — Wm. Smith

Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887	PROCEEDINGS.
March 5th	Complaint and affidavit filed Warrant issued and given Const C. R. Walton —

258

No. 82.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

Action Murder

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Duan Ah Yen - Hor Ah Doe - Yenn Ah Ling & John Doe - Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1118.

DATE. 1887	PROCEEDINGS.
Mch- 6th	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Policeman McDonell.
" 8.	This cause by Consent of Parties pro and Con is set down for Examination at 2. o'c. P. M. Mch 10th 1887.
" 9.	Issued Subpoenas for the People and defendants.
" 10.	This Cause is set down for examination at 2. o'c. P. M. Mch 10th 1887
" 10.	Issued Subpoenia for the People.
" "	2. o'c. P. M. Defendants in Court and Say they are ready for Examination; J. N. Goodhue appointed Short-hand Reporter for this Cause. W. H. Hallin and Ah Duan sworn as interpreters. Geo Kirk, James Smith, C. W. Sabin, Ah Sock and Ah Hoot sworn and examined as witness for the People. Prosecution Closed.
	Defence waived the introduction of any testimony. Cause argued and Submitted. The Court took the matter under advisement until 10. o'c. A. M. Mch 11th 1887.
" 11.	10. o'c. A. M. Defendants in Court. The Court having fully Considered this matter, and it appearing to me that the offence mentioned in the Complaint on file herein has been Committed, and that there is sufficient Cause to believe the above named defendants Duan Ah Yen, Hor Ah Doe and Yenn Ah Ling guilty thereof. Order that they be held to answer to the Same, and Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Inyo without bail. Directed the Papers to be filed &c.

B. H. Hill J. P.
Ex-officio Magistrate

No. 63

In the Justice's Court of Lisbon Township,

County of Yuba

State of California.

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Ah Chin — Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887	PROCEEDINGS.
Nov 4th	Complaint filed Warrant issued to Constable McDaniel —
" 8th	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant held for his appearance for trial in the sum of Five Hundred Dollars — Bond ordered and filed —
" 9	This cause set down for trial at 2 O'Clock P.M. Nov 11th 1887 — Issued subpoenas for the People & Def Court —
" 11	2 O'C P.M. Defendant in Court and says he is ready for Examination — J.N. Graham appointed Short hand reporter for this Cause — H. H. Hatton and Ah Quan — Sworn as interpreter — Louis Gin H. H. Harris Ah Chin & Ah Chee — Sworn and examined as witnesses for the People — Prosecution Closes —
"	Quan Chin — G. W. McDaniel & H. H. Hays — Examined as witnesses for the defendant — Defense Closes — Cause submitted The Court — took the matter under advisement until 10 O'C A.M. Nov 12th/87
" 12	10 O'C A.M. Defendant in Court — The Court after having fully Considered the matter — orders that the defendant be discharged upon the grounds that the evidence is insufficient to Convict

S. H. Hill, J.P.

No. 84.

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

J. M. Smith — Plaintiff

vs.

H. G. Berry — Defendant

Action Account

Demand $37 cts

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1118

DATE. 1887	PROCEEDINGS.
Mch	7. Complaint filed Summons issued and given Const Barker
"	Summons returned showing personal Service on the Defendant together with a Copy of the Complaint - on file Mch 7th day of Mch 1887. and filed —
"	Jno Cavis the Defendant — and deposits in Court the Sum of $37.00 — the amount due the Plaintiff —
"	12. Jno Cavis the Defendant in proper person and for answer denies each and every material allegation in the Plaintiff Complaint Contained —
	25. This Cause set down for trial at 2. O.C.P.M. Mch 26th 1887. Issued Subpoena for the Plff —
"	26. 2. O.C.P.M. Parties in Court — Defendant demands trial by Jury — Issue Issued and given Constable — This Cause Set for trial at 2. O.C.P.M. March 29th 1887 —
"	29. 2. O.C.P.M. Parties in Court — Issue and Subpoenas returned and filed — Parties ready for trial — Jurors Sworn and examined as to qualification — after which the following Jurors were Sworn to try the Cause — Viz — J. A. Barclay — Ray White — L. J. Miller — W. A. Cowan — & E. Dyke —
"	J. M. Smith Sworn and Examined on his own behalf Plaintiff Closed — direct —
"	J. G. Berry — Joseph Cutting — J. C. White. J. A. Beall — for Defendant Sworn and examined as witnesses — R. G. Anderson — S. M. Shaffer — C. H. Cutting — Sworn and examined in rebuttle — by the Plaintiff — Cause Closed argued and Submitted to the Jury for their deliberation and verdict —

No. 85-

In the Justice's Court of 3d. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Petit Larceny

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Jane Doe — Edith Cuny — Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1867	PROCEEDINGS.
Feb. 7.	Complaint and affidavit made and filed — Search Warrant issued and given Const. J. A. Barker —
"	Cause dismissed upon the ground of insufficiency of evidence to convict —

S. H. Hill. J. P.

No. 84

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

County of Tuolumne

State of California.

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Jane Doe — Edeth Curry, Defendant

Action Petit Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1118

DATE. 1887	PROCEEDINGS.
Mch 7.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const Baxter
paid $	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court Defendant pleads Not guilty after examination of this Cause it is ordered that the Defendant be discharged upon the grounds that the evidence is insufficient to convict —
	S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 47.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Yuba

State of California.

Action Felony

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Pat Mullay alias
Chas. La Fontaine
Defendant

Demand $

R. B. Bury
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1867	PROCEEDINGS.
Mch 4th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Sheriff Made-
paid "	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises. Defendant says he is ready for Examination. The People is also ready. The Court appointed E. F. Bernhard Short-hand reporter for this Cause.
	E. J. King and Frank Binford sworn and examined as witnesses for the People. Prisoner Cleared.
	Defendant waived the introduction of any testimony.
	Cause Submitted. The Court after hearing fully Considered the Same. and it appearing to me that the offence in the Complaint mentioned has been Committed. and that there is sufficient Cause to believe the Defendant Charles La Fontaine guilty thereof. It is ordered that he be held to answer to the Same. and Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Yuba. and his admitted to bail in the Sum of One Thousand Dollars. and is Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Yuba until he give such bail.
	E. H. Hill J. P.
	Hereon the papers in the action to Court Atty.

No. 88.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Grand Larceny

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Geo. Schneider

Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1148. DATE. 1887.	PROCEEDINGS.
Feb 4	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Policeman McDonald
" 8	This cause is dismissed for want of Prosecution
	D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 89

In the Justice's Court of S. Township,

County of Yuma

State of California.

Action Arson

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

China Andy and Ah Foot, Defendants

Demand $

E. B. Mannix Attorney for Plaintiff

D. J. Hewes Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887	PROCEEDINGS.
Mch 8.	Complaint filed Warrant issued and giv E B Edmonds Exp
paid "	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights in the premises — Defendants bailed for Examination in sum of $500. Each — Bond approved and filed —
" 23.	This Cause set down for Examination at 2. O.C. P.M. Mch 28th 1887 —
" 25.	Issued Subpoena for the People and Defendants — this Court,
28.	2. O.C P.M — Defendants in Court and ready for Examination — J. H. Gearhart appointed Short hand reporter — and W. H. Hatton & D. Loth — Sworn as interpreters — in this Cause — Ah On — J. H. Bartlett. P. Le. Blanc — Man Yen — Sworn and examined as witnesses for the People — Prosecution Closed — W. H. Hatton Sworn and Examined as witness for the Defence after which the defence Closed — Cause argued and submitted — The Court took the same under advisment — until 11. O.C. A.M. Mch — 30th 1887 —
311.	11. O.C. A.M. Defendants in Court; The Court having fully Considered this Matter — and it appearing to me that the offence mentioned in the Complaint on file herein has been Committed, and that there is sufficient Cause to believe the within named defendants China Andy and Ah Foot guilty thereof — I Order that they be held to answer to the same and Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Yuma, and that they be admitted to bail in the Sum of Five Hundred Dollars each — and be Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Yuma until they give such bail —

D. H. Hill.

Justice of the Peace

and ex officio Magistrate —

No. 90.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action account

J. W. Schaffer & Co — Plaintiffs

vs.

M. Damanovich — Defendant

Demand $49.50/100.

E. E. Calhoun
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. Items. DATE. 1887	PROCEEDINGS.
Mch. 9.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Plaintiff attorney —
"	Affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Plaintiff attorney —
" 18	On motion of plaintiffs attorney the default of the defendant M. Damanovich is hereby entered according to law.
	It appearing to the Court that the defendant herein has been duly and regularly served with process and has failed to either appear demur or answer within the time provided by law, and that the action is to recover money only — It is therefore ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiffs J. W. Schaffer & Co recover of the Defendant M. Damanovich the sum of Forty nine and 50/100 Dollars together with costs as follows Justices fees $7.50/100 Constables fees $7.20/100 Total costs $14.70/100.
	Whereupon judgment is hereby entered in favor of said Plaintiffs J. W. Schaffer & Co and against said Defendant M. Damanovich for the sum of Sixty-four and 20/100 Dollars
	Done in open Court this 18th day of March 1887.
	Philip Stewart
	Justice of the Peace
	Presiding
" 18	Execution issued and delivered to C. Baby. Deputy Constable
	Philip Stewart
	J. P. Presiding
Mch. 24.	Execution returned Satisfied —
	E. K. Hill, J. P.

No. 91

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Yuma

State of California.

Action Account

Robert Barton
Plaintiff

vs.

M. Damianovich
Defendant

Demand $77.30/100

E. E. Callum
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887	PROCEEDINGS.
March 9th	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Barton
"	Affidavit for attachment made and filed Undertaking on attachment approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Const. Barton
" 18	On motion of plaintiffs attorney the default of the defendant is hereby entered according to law.
	It appearing to the Court that the defendant has been duly and regularly served with process and has failed to either appear, demur or answer within the time provided by law, and that the action is for the recovery of money only.
	It is therefore ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff Robert Barton recover of the defendant M. Damianovich the sum of $77.30/100 as prayed for in his complaint herein together with costs as follows. Justices fees $7.30/100 Constables fees $7.20/100 Total Costs $14.20/100.
	Wherefore Judgment is hereby entered in favor of said Plaintiff Robert Barton and against said Defendant M. Damianovich for the sum of Ninety-two ($92) Dollars.
	Done in open Court this 18th day of March 1887.
	Philip J Stewart
	Justice of the Peace
	Presiding
" 18	Execution issued and delivered to C. Baly Deputy Constable
	Philip J Stewart
	J. P. Presiding
May 21st	Execution returned Unsatisfied — Showing a Credit on said judgment of the sum of $42.66/100 — leaving a balance now due and unpaid of the sum of $34.65/100 —
	E. H. Hill. J. P.
"	Issued alias Execution & given Const. Barton

No. 92.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Yuma

State of California.

Action Battery -

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Frank Pool, Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

W. D. Jaffer
Attorney for Defendant

No. 1118.

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Mch.	11.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & Case Continued Battery
"	14	Defendant brought before Court and Warrant filed. Complaint read to the defendant and he informed of his rights as to counsel and jury - requested one hour to plead which was granted - Defendant came into Court and requested to be arraigned and being so arraigned plead guilty - waiving jury. This Court having examined into the matter and finding no reason why judgment should not be pronounced. It is ordered and adjudged that for said offense you the said Frank Pool be fined the sum of Six Dollars, and that you stand committed until such fine be paid. The Defendant having paid the said fine in full is hereby ordered discharged. Done in open Court this 14th day of March 1887. Philip Stewart Justice of the Peace Presiding

No. 93.

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Battery

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Frank Pool Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

W. D. Tuffree

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887	PROCEEDINGS.
March 11th	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Const Barton
" 14	Deft brought before Court and Warrant filed - Complaint read to the defendant and he informed of his rights as to counsel and jury - requested one hour in which to plead which was granted - appeared with W. D. Tuffree his counsel and requested to be arraigned and being so arraigned plead guilty and waived jury.
	The Court having examined into the matter and finding no reason why judgment should not be pronounced:
	It is ordered and adjudged that for said offense you the said Frank Pool be fined the sum of Six Dollars, and that you stand committed until such fine be paid.
	The Defendant having paid the said fine in full is hereby ordered discharged.
	Done in open Court this 14th day of March 1887
	Philip Stewart
	Justice of the Peace
	Presidio

No. 94

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Agreement

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe & Rich Roe alias
J.W. Robinson & John Sugan
Defendants

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1,118.

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1887	
Mch 12	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Const C.C. Baly
"	Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights in the premises Defendants plead guilty and waive the legal time for duration Defendants allowed to stand over one hour the time

J.H. Hibbs J.P.

No. 95—

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

County of Butte

State of California.

Action account—

Ignacio Ximus — Plaintiff

vs.

M. Samianaeh Defendant

Demand $14.25/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887	PROCEEDINGS
Mch. 17.	Compl an[illegible] Issued and given Court—Bartlett
" 17	Defendant[illegible] s verbally by general denial
" "	Summons[illegible] personal service on the defendant—
	together w[illegible] Complaint here on the 16th day of Mch 1887
	and f[illegible]

No. 94

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

County of Butte

State of California.

Action Vagrancy

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe & Rich Roe alias
Jas. Robertson & John Sugan
Defendants

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. LIIS. DATE. 1887	PROCEEDINGS.
Mch 12	Complaint filed warrant issued & in Constable Court C. C. Baly
"	Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights in the premises Defendants plead guilty and waive the legal time for duration — Defendants allowed to at once pay the fine —

J. H. Hilo J.P.

No. 95—

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

County of Butte

State of California.

Action Account—

Ygnacio Ximus — Plaintiff

vs.

W Dominguez et

Demand $14.25/100

for Plaintiff

Defendant

In consideration of the sum of forty five dollars to me in hand paid by J E Doolittle I do hereby sell transfer and convey to said Doolittle all the following property viz— one standard Law series of 15 books

Blackstone	4	"
Greenleaf	3	"
Bishop on marriage	2	"
Kent	4	"
~~Bishop on Marriage~~	2	"
Rhodes digest	2	"
Equity	2	"
Stephens pleading	1	"
Harrigan & Thompson	1	"
Digest	1	"
Haymon	1	
Bancroft	1	
Burrils Law dictionary	2	
Jury Trials	1	
Law Glossary	1	
	27	vols

To have and to hold same unto said J E Doolittle forever Executed and delivered this 24th day of July 1891

J G Rhodes

No. 94

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

County of Butte

State of California.

Action Vagrancy

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe & Nick Roe alias
Jas. Robertson & John Sturgeon
Defendants

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1.

DATE. 18

Mch 12:

"

No. 95-

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

County of Butte

State of California.

Action Account-

Ygnacio Ximus - Plaintiff

vs.

M. Damianovich Defendant

Demand $14.25/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887	PROCEEDINGS
Mch. 17.	Complaint filed Summons issued and given Court-Bastin
" 17	Defendant appears and answers verbally by general denial
" "	Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant- together with a copy of the Complaint hereto on the 14th day of Mch 1887 and filed -

No. 94

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

County of Butte

State of California.

Action Vagrancy

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe & Dick Roe alias
Jas. Robertson & John Lougan
Defendants

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1,118.

DATE. 1887

PL

Mch 12: Complaint filed Warrant issu... C. C. Baty—
" Defendants in Court—an... ...n against them
and of their rights in the ...thead quiz-
and warned the leg... —
Defendants allowed to at...

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 95–

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

County of Butte

State of California.

Ignacio Ximus – Plaintiff

vs.

M. Damianovich Defendant

Action Account –

Demand $14.25/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887	PROCEEDINGS.
Mch. 17.	Complaint filed Summons issued and given Court – Bastin
" 17	Defendant appears and answers verbally by general denial
" "	Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant – together with a copy of the Complaint hereto on the 16th day of Mch 1887 and filed –

272

No. 96.

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

County of

State of California.

Action Title of Land

L. H. Lewis Plaintiff

vs.

Daniel Nichols Defendant

Demand $94 00/100 – Costs & $35 00 attys fees –

Duppa & Duppa
Attorney for Plaintiff

Church & Conger
Attorney for Defendant

No. 1148.

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
March	12.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const Barthen
"	14.	Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a Copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 14th day of March 1887 and filed –
"	17.	Defendant files his answer to the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein
April	5.	Cause duly submitted and argued –
"		Defendant files his answer to the Plaintiffs Complaint herein –
"	14.	This Cause is set down for trial at 2. O.C. P.M. April 19th 1887 –
		Defendant demands trial by Jury – Issue issued –
	14.	Issued subpoena for Plaintiff and given Const Walker
"		Now comes the Defendant by his Attorneys – and amends his answer by alleging a want of Consideration in Said Note Sued on in this Action –
		Issued Subpoenas for Defendant – and given Const Walker –
	19.	2. O.C. P.M. Parties in Court – Jurors and Subpoenas returned and filed – Parties ready to proceed with the trial – Jurors Sworn to answer questions as to qualification after which the following Jury by Consent of Parties were Sworn to try the Cause – towit; Seth Shelly, John Summers L. McNie – L. Haas – H. H. Chance – Wm Fraser & J. J. Bent,
"		L. H. Lewis Sworn and examined as a witness for the Plaintiff, Plaintiff closed – F. H. Burgess – Daniel Nichols – Sworn for the defense – Plff – moved Defendants right of Defense in support of Defts – answer and Cross Complaint – upon the grounds that a Copy of Said Answer and Cross Complaint was never at any time served on the Plaintiff – Overruled upon the grounds that Plaintiff had timely notice that Said Answer and Cross Complaint was on file in Said action in Said Court, Plaintiff Excepts – L. C. Hudson – G. Vaughn – Sworn and Examined as witness for the defense – Defense closed – R. P. Chapman – C. Caldwell – J. K. Burn – F. S. Hudson & Thos Adams – Sworn and examined in rebuttle by Plaintiff – Cause closed argued and Submitted to the Jury for their deliberation and verdict –

Over Page 273 –

No. 97.

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Trespass

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

John Smith & Thos. Stevens -

Defendants

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887	PROCEEDINGS.
March 25th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Constable C. P. Walton.
"	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights in the premises - Defendants plead guilty and waive the legal time for Sentence -
"	Defendants allowed to stand over one hour -
	D. H. Hill. J. P. -
Same. Page 272 -	We the Jury after a short retirement were returned into Court by the proper officer of this Court with the following verdict - to wit; Fresno April 19th 1887 We the Jury find for the Plaintiff for the amount of the Note and interest and twenty dollars attorneys fees - H. H Chance Foreman -
"	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendant the sum of Ninety four Dollars the Principal of the note sued on - and the sum of Ten and 82/100 Dollars interest thereon - and Twenty Dollars attorneys fees - and for the sum of Forty three and 30/100 Dollars Costs necessarily expended in the prosecution of this action in Police Court of the Township of the United States -
"	Judgment - for the Principal sued on - $94.00
	" " " Interest thereon - 10.82
	" " " Attorneys fees - 20.00
	" " " Costs " - 11.50
	" " " Constables " 6.80
	Witness "R. P." Chapman 1 day and 1 mile 2.20
	" J. L. Hudson " " " " " 2.20
	" Harry Caldwell " " " " " 2.20
	" Thos. R. Brown " " " " " 2.20
	" Wm. Adams " " " " " 2.20
"	Total amount of Judgment $164.12
	D. H. Hill. J. P. -
21.	Plaintiff fils his cost bill - $43.30 -
"	Defendant allowed a stay of proceedings for ten days -

March 30th 1887 - Same - 20 days in Co. Jail & $4.00 Court Clerk -
March 25th 1887 - Defendant filed his Notice and Undertaking in appeal to

No. 98

In the Justice's Court of Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Insanity

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Joseph Kanner
Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1,118. DATE. 1887	PROCEEDINGS.
Mch 25	Affidavit made and filed - Warrant of arrest issued and given Sheriff returnable before Hon. W. H. Harris Justice of the Peace of Fresno County.

B. B. Hill J.P.

No. 99.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Tuolumne

State of California.

A. Bowles — Plaintiff

vs.

J. M. Loveland — Defendant

Action Account

Demand $54.80/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887	PROCEEDINGS
July 2.	Complaint filed —
1887. March 24.	Summons issued and given Constable —
June 3.	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint — on file herein on the 24th day of May — 1887 — and filed this day June 3rd 1887 —
" 6.	In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of the said defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law —
"	In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's Complaint herein — and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law, Now at this day on application of the Plaintiff It is ordered that Judgment be entered herein against the said defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein —
"	Whereupon by reason of the law, and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendant the Sum of Fifty-four and 80/100 — Dollars — and the Sum of Six and 50/100 Cts necessarily expended in the prosecution of this action — in lawful money of the United States —
"	Judgment for the principal Sued on — $54.80
	" " " Costs fees — 4.50
	" " " Constable " 2.00
"	Total amount of Judgment — $61.30
	S. H. Tibbe J.P. —
"	Issue Plaintiff abstract of Judgment —
1888. April 5.	Issue Execution and give C. P. Walker —
6.	Execution returned showing full satisfaction —
	S. H. Tibbe. J.P.

No. 100

In the Justice's Court of Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

O. J. Meade — Plaintiff

vs.

C. R. Broom — Defendant

Action Note & Chattel

Demand $130.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1,118.

DATE. 1887	PROCEEDINGS.
March 26	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. C. P. Walker
April 1st	Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 1st day of April 1887. And filed —
" 7.	In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of the said Defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law —
"	In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's Complaint herein — and the legal time for answering having expired, and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law — Now at this day on application of the Plaintiff. It is ordered that judgment be entered herein against the said Defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein —
"	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said Defendant the sum of One Hundred and thirty Dollars — the principal sum for and the sum of Ninety-two and 81/100 Dollars interest thereon and the further sum of Six and 50/100 Dollars Costs necessarily expended in the prosecution of said action in Gold Coin of the Government of the United States of America —
"	Judgment for the principal sum for $130.00
	" " " Interest thereon — 92.81
	" " " Justices fees — 4.50
	" " " Constables fees 1.80
"	Total amount of Judgment — $229.11

S. B. Hill Justice of the Peace

Entered in Docket of Judgments — April 7th 1887

No. 101—

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Grand Larceny

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Charles Spriz—

Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1867-	PROCEEDINGS.
Mch 26th	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Policeman McBride.

278

No. 102.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

County of Yuma

State of California.

Action Vagrancy

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Edward Martin & Edward Haulan Defendants

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1118.

DATE. 1887	PROCEEDINGS.
Feb 26.	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Const C. P. Walker —
" "	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the Law Defendants plead guilty and waive the legal time for sentence — Defendants allowed at once to leave the Town at once each of you — Do and depart Separately — D. H. Hill J. P.

No. 103

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

M. Foley. C. Darling. J. Johnson
Jas. Welsh and H Congdon
Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887	PROCEEDINGS.
March 25th	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Const C. P. Walton
"	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights in the premises - Defendants plead guilty and waived the legal time for Sentence -
"	Therefore by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you and each of you be and you are hereby fined in the Sum of Twenty Dollars each - and in default of the payment of said fine that you and each of you be and you are hereby Committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of the County of Fresno - and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said Fresno County - in the proportion of one day imprisonment for every dollar of said fine you and each of you -
	S. H. Holt. J. P.

No. 104-

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

A. Gumbs. Plaintiff

vs.

E. B. Donalson Defendant

Action Account-

Demand $26.20/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1115. DATE. 1887-	PROCEEDINGS.
Feb 25.	Complaint filed -
April 1st	Summons issued and given Const. C. P. Watkins -
" 6.	Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint - on file herein on the 6th day of March 1887 - and filed this day -
" 7.	By order of the Plaintiff this Cause is dismissed -

D. A. Hill J. P.

No. 105

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

John Fyfe alias Carroll, Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887	PROCEEDINGS
April 2.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const C. P. Walton -
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law Defendant plead guilty and waived the legal time for Sentence - Defendant allowed to at once leave the town -
	D. H. Hill J. P.

No. 1116

In the Justice's Court of Z. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Malicious Mischief

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Joe. McCann Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1118.

DATE. 1887	PROCEEDINGS.
April 2.	Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given Policeman McGinnis
" 4.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises. Defendant plead guilty and waive the legal time for Trial. Defendant fined $6.50. And allowed during the month of April 1887 to pay said fine.
	D. A. Hill J.P.

No. 107

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Fred Goldstein — Defendant

Action Malicious Mischief & Grand Larceny —

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
April 3rd 1867	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Hudson —

No. 1184.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Disturbing the Peace

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Jas. O'Neal and Jas. Wilson

Defendants

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1184.

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1887 April 4	Complaint filed Warrant issued thereon. Const. J. A. Barthe
"	Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights before the Court — Defendants plead guilty and waive the legal time for Sentence — Defendants allowed to at once leave the town
	D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 109—

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe — John Thompson
Defendant

Action Grand Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887	PROCEEDINGS.
April 5.	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given officer.
Ret'd.	

No. 110.

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

County of Butte

State of California.

Action Misdemeanor

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Robert Miller Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1418.

DATE. 1887 PROCEEDINGS.

April. 5th Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Const C. P. Hatch-
" Defendant Came - and informed of the Charge against him
and of his rights before the Court - Defendant pleads guilty
and waives the legal time for Sentence -
Defendant allowed to leave the State at once -

D. H. Hill
J. P.

No. 116

In the Justice's Court of S. Township,

County of Butte

State of California.

Action Account-

Albert Harbuck
Plaintiff

vs.

Chas. D. Hodgdon
Defendant

Demand $24.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887.	PROCEEDINGS.
April 5.	Complaint filed Summons issued and given Const C. P. Walker
"	Summons returned showing personal Service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint- am filed herein on the 5th day of April 1887. and filed-
11.	Defendant- fails on this action the Sum of $24.00-

No. 112

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Joaquin Benitis, Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1148.

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
April	5th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. H. L. Hill
"	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant plead guilty and waived the legal time for Sentence —
"	"	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Joaquin Benitis be and you are fined in the Sum of $16.00 Defendant delivered unto Constable H. L. Hill his Badge to remain in the possession of said H. L. Hill until said fine is paid — and upon said Conditions said defendant is hereby discharged —
		E. F. Hill J.P. —
"	8th	Fine Paid — Paid Const H. L. Hill $16.00 His fee —
		E. F. Hill J.P.

No. 113.

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action to enjoin the sale

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Joe Silva - & Thos. Nolan

Defendant 3

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
April	5th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & sworn Const. J. A. Barton
paid	"	Defendants in Court - and informed of the charge against them and of their rights in the premises - Defendants held for trial in sums of $250 each - Bond approved and filed -
"	6	Defendants in Court - and plead guilty - as charged and sworn the legal time for sentence -
"		Defendants fined and taxed $6 50/100 each of them - Taxed Const. J. A. Barton - $6 00 - Defendants discharged
		S. H. Hill - J. P.

No. 114

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe Rollins — Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1,118.

DATE. 1887	PROCEEDINGS.
April 5th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const C. P. Watkins. Defendant gave bond for his appearance for trial Bond approved and filed —
6	Defendant in Court — and plead guilty as charged and waived the legal time for Sentence —
"	Defendant fined $6.50 — Wm Holm — appeared in Court and assumed the payment of said fine —
	Said fine Paid by Wm Holm —
	Paid Const. C. P. Watkins — $2.50
	E. H. Hill
	J. P.

Paid

No. 115

In the Justice's Court of [illegible] Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Jos Enos
Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
April	6"	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const C. P. Walton.
Paid	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights viz the premises — Defendant plead guilty and waived the legal time for Sentence — Defendant fined and paid $6.50 — Defendant discharged Paid Const C. P. Walton $2.50 —
		D. H. Hill J. P. —

No. 116.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Yuma.

State of California.

Action for damages

W. L. Smith — Plaintiff

vs.

Warren Hill — Defendant

Demand $299.00

W. L. Brady Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 116.

DATE. 1887	PROCEEDINGS.
April 6th	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Plaintiff attorney
8.	Summons returned & duly served on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 8th day of April 1887. and filed —

No. 117.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Vagrancy

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Chas. Jackson. Ed. Thomas. and Chas. Smith Defendants

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
April.	7.	Compl and filed Warant issued and given Const. C. P. Walton -
Resp	"	Defendants - in Court - and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights - in the premis - Defendants - plead guilty as Charged - and waive the legal time for Sentence - Defendants - Ed. Thomas and Chas Smith allowed to leave the town at once - Defendant - Chas. Jackson - ordered to appear for Sentence at 10. O.C.A.M. April 8th 1887 -
	8.	Defendant in Court - and allowed to at once leave the Town -

S. B. Hill J. P.

294

No. 118.

In the Justice's Court of T. Township,

County of Tuolumne

State of California.

Action Note & Account

L. A. Blasingame — Plaintiff

vs.

W. B. Oden — Defendant

Demand $217.30

Duppa & Duppa — Attorneys for Plaintiff

Wharton and Shaw — Attorney for Defendant

No. 1118.

DATE. 1887	PROCEEDINGS.
April 7th	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Plaintiff Attorneys —
" 16.	Defendant by his attorneys Wharton & Shaw files his demurrer to the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein —
1888. March 5.	Demurrer set for argument at 10. o'c. A. M. March 6th 1888
6.	10. o'c. A. M. — Defendant failed to appear in person or by counsel but submitted by Plaintiff and overruled —
9.	In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of the said defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law —
"	In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint herein, and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law — Now at this day on application of Duppa and Duppa attorneys for the said Plaintiff, It is ordered that judgment be entered herein against the said defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiffs Compaint on file herein —
	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that L. A. Blasingame Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendant W. B. Oden the sum of Two Hundred and Thirteen and 70/100 Dollars in Gold Coin of the United State. Principal and interest now due and unpaid on the Note sued on in this action and for the sum of $50/100 attorneys fees and the further sum of $5/100 Costs necessarily incurred in the prosecution of this action —
"	Judgment for the Principal & interest now due & unpaid — 213.70
"	" " " Attorneys fees — 50.00
"	" " " Further fees — 5.00
"	Total amount of Judgment — $268.70

S. H. Hibbs J. P.

Recorded Plaintiffs Attorneys Abstract of Judgment Mch 12th 1888

No. 119.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Yuba

State of California.

Action Petit Larceny

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Henry Fabian

Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887	PROCEEDINGS.
April 7.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & Constable Harris
Sept	8. Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises - Defendant pleads Not guilty Cause set down for trial at 2. O.C.P.M. April 9th 1887
	2. O.C.P.M. Defendant in Court - and says he is ready for trial witnesses sworn and examined for and Con - Cause Submitted - The Court after having fully Considered the same orders that the Defendant be discharged upon the grounds that the evidence is insufficient to Convict

S. A. Hill J. P.

No. 120.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Antone Maria, Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1118.

DATE. 1881	PROCEEDINGS.
April 8th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & issued Const C. P. Walker —
"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant Committed to the Custody of the Sheriff to await his trial —
11.	Defendant in Court and pleads guilty as Charged and waives the legal time for Sentence — Defendant fined and fined $9.00 — Paid Const C. P. Walker $2.50 — Paid into Court $8.50 —

E. H. Hill J. P.

No. 121.

In the Justice's Court of T. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

Action Grand

The People of Calif'a — Plaintiff

vs.

J. C. Burton — Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887	PROCEEDINGS.
April 8th	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Const: C. P. Watson Retn'd.
Sept: 10.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises —
"	Cause set down for examination, at 2. O'C. P.M. Sept 10th 1887 Issued subpoenas for the People and gave Const —
"	2. O'C. P.M. Defendant in Court and Dep't he is ready for his Examination. The Court appointed E. F. Bernhard Client Counsel respdt for this Cause — H. B. Brooks and W. H. Phillips Sworn and examined as witnesses for the People — Defendant waived the Examination of any witness — Cause Submitted to the Court — The Court after hearing the testimony and having fully considered the Same and it appearing to me that the offence mentioned in the complaint on file herein has been Committed and that there is sufficient Cause to believe the above named defendant J. C. Burton Guilty thereof — It is ordered that he be held to answer to the Same and Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Inyo — and that he be admitted to bail in the Sum of Three hundred dollars — and is Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Inyo until he give such bail —

Dated Sept 10th 1887.

E. F. Hill J.P.

Ex officio Magistrate.

No. 122.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Perjury

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

J. W. Canon
Defendant

Demand $

R. B. Ivy
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1,115.

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
April	8th	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Const. C. P. Walton
for Pltf.	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises. Defendant held for Examination in a bond of $500.00 Bond approved and filed.
"	"	This Cause set down for examination at 10 A.M. April 12th 1887
"	11	Issued Subpoena for the People & gave Const. C. P. Walton
"	13.	Issued Subpoena for the Defendant & gave C. C. Baly Def. Const.
"	22.	11 A.M. Defendant in Court and by Consent of parties this Cause is Continued until 10 A.M. April 30th 1887
	25.	Issued Subpoena for the Defendant & gave Const. C. P. Walton.
"	30	10 A.M. Defendant in Court. This Cause is dismissed for want of prosecution.

D. A. Hill J.P.

No. 123

In the Justice's Court of 3 Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Misdemeanor

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Jose Arzueda Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887	PROCEEDINGS.
April 8	Complaint filed Warrant issued & Case C. P. Walton.
" 14.	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises Defendant pleads Not guilty as charged — Cause set down for trial at 4 o'C. P. M. April 18th 1887.
"	Issued Subpoena for the People and gave Cash.
"	4 o'C. P. M. Defendant in Court and says he is ready for trial and waives trial by jury — Amelia Heath and J. H. Jackson sworn and examined as witnesses for the State — Defendant made a statement in his own behalf Cause Submitted — The Court after having fully considered the same finds that the defendant is guilty as charged Defendant waives the legal time for sentence — Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Jose Arzueda be and you are hereby fined in the sum of Thirty Dollars Defendant at once paid said fine and was thereupon discharged — Paid Cost $6.00 — Paid into Court $3.00

J. H. Hill J. P.

No. 124

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Sis Tuchy the Penal

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Amelia Lopez — Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1,118.

DATE. 1887 PROCEEDINGS.

April 9. Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Con'ts. C. P. Watkins
P.W. " Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against her and of her rights in the premises —
Defendant held for trial on her own recognizance —
" Cause adjourned —

D. H. Hill J. P. —

Fresno
Page 304

1887. June 6. Briefs per Stipulation having been filed herein — and by the Court fully Considered —
" Whereupon by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the Defendant on his first Cause of action the sum of Sixty dollars — and on his second Cause of action the sum of Thirty-four and 50/100 — dollars and for the sum of $5-50/100 Costs necessarily Expended in the prosecution of this suit — all in lawful money of the United States — to be paid in due course of administration — of the Estate of Pauline Caballero deceased —
" Judgment for the amounts allowed by the Court 94.50
" " " " Justices fees — 9.70
" " " " Constables " 19.90
Witnesses. Wm. D. A. Dunn — 1 — day — 1.50 2.20
" Geo. Reeves — " " 28 " 7.60
" Lon Jarrett — " " 16 " 5.20
" Ephram Dunn " " " 5.20
" E. Ward " " " 5.20
" Total amount — Judgment — $ 149.50

D. H. Hill J. P.

" Plaintiff files his Cost Bill — $5-50/100 —
1888. Dec. 18. J. P. fee paid — by Plff — atty. C. R. Daigle —

No. 125

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

County of Butte

State of California.

Action Bluett

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Henry Sullivan —
Defendant

Demand $

R. B. Ivey —
Attorney for Plaintiff

E. E. Calhoun
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887	PROCEEDINGS.
April 9th	Complaint filed Warrant issued thereon Const. C. R. Walton —
Apl 12	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him
" "	and of his rights — viz the Statutes — Defendant bailed for
	Examination in about of One Hundred Dollars —
"	Cause set down for Examination at 10 o'C. A. M. April 19th 1887 —
" 19	10 o'C. A. M. Defendant in Court — and says he is ready for
	Examination — E. F. Bullard appointed Short hand Reporter for
	this Cause — Witnesses sworn and Examined for and Con
	Cause submitted — The Court having fully considered
	the Cause — and it appearing to me that there is
	just reason to fear the Commission of Said Crime,
	& thereupon — requires the said Defendant Henry Sullivan
	to enter in to an undertaking in the Sum of Three Hundred
	Dollars — to Keep the peace toward the People of this State
	and particularly toward J. McComish — Bond approved
	and — Handed County Clerk —

J. B. Hill J. P.

No. 126.

In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

County of Inyo

State of California.

Action Grand Larceny

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Wm Hall and Frank Zinman

Defendants

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

No. 1185

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
April	9th	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Sheriff A. J. Meade
"	13	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights in the premises — Defendants asked that they be examined now — Parties say they are ready for Examination — Williams Hall and Frank Zinman sworn and examined as witness for the Prosecution — Prosecution Closes — Defendant waives the examination of any witness in their defense — Cause Closed and Submitted — The Court after having fully considered this matter and it appearing to me that the offense charged in the Complaint on file herein has been committed and that there is sufficient Cause to believe the above named Defendants William Hall and Frank Zinman guilty thereof and that they be held to answer to the Same and Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Inyo — and that they be admitted to bail in the Sum of Three Thousand Dollars each and are Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Inyo until they give such bail —

Dated this 13th day of April 1887.

J. P. Hill Jus —

of the Peace Township within and for the County of Inyo. Cal.

No. 127.

In the Justice's Court of S. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

The People of California

Plaintiff

vs.

Wm. Hall and Frank Zumwalt

Defendants

Action Grand Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendants

DATE. 1887	PROCEEDINGS.
April 9.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Sheriff A. J. Meade
April 13th	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights in the premises - Defendants ask that they be examined now Pltff say they are ready for examination. William Hall and Frank Zumwalt - Sworn and examined as witnesses for the Prosecution - Prosecution Closed - Defendants waived the examination of any witness in their defense Cause Closed and Submitted - The Court - after having fully Considered this Matter - and it appearing to me that the offense Charged in the Complaint - to wit that has been Committed and that there is sufficient Cause to believe the above named defendants William Hall and Frank Zumwalt guilty thereof - Order that they be held to answer to the Same - and Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno - and that they be admitted to bail in the Sum of Three Thousand dollars each - and are Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno until they give such bail

This 13th day of April 1887.

J. H. Hill. J.P.
Ex officio Magistrate.
in and for Fresno Co. Cal.

No. 128.

In the Justice's Court of S. Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Account for Labor

John Hearn
Plaintiff

vs.

S. P. Blevens - Administrator
of the Estate of Paulina Caldwell deceased
Defendant

Demand $146.15/100

C. G. Dayle
Attorney for Plaintiff

H. S. Lyon
Attorney for Defendant

No. 1118.

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
April	9.	Complaint filed Summons issued and given Const C. P. Hatton.
"	14.	Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a Copy of the Complaint on file herein the 9th Instant on the 12th day of April 1887 and filed this day.
"	21.	Defendant by his attorney files his answer to Plffs Complaint
"		Summons set down for argument at 10 O.C. A.M. April 23d 1887.
	23.	10 O.C. A.M. Plaintiff attorney C. G. Dayle in Court after hearing counsel and full hearing and Defendant failing to appear either in person or by attorney Plaintiff submitted his summons to the Consideration of the Court Court after having fully Considered the Same ordered that the summons be recorded allowing the defendant the right to Except
"		Hearing the defendant by his attorney H. S. Lyon and for answer denies each and every material allegation in the Plaintiffs Complaint Contained on file herein
	25.	This Cause is set down for trial at 10 O.C. A.M. May 14th 1887.
"	30.	Issued Subpoena and gave Plaintiff.
May.	3.	Subpoena returned and filed.
"	14.	10 O.C. A.M. Parties in Court and main trial by jury. Parties ready for trial. Defendant asked leave to amend his answer. after which Defendant withdrew said motion. Exhibit A & B. filed in evidence. Reading of the Summons and for the Jurors Mrs S. A. Hearn. S. P. Blevens J. Ward. Geo. Reeves. Sworn and examined as witnesses for the Prosecution Court took a recess until 1 P. O.C. P.M.
"		1 P. O.C. P.M. Parties in Court. C. C. Garrett. Ehram Moore Sworn and examined as witnesses by the Prosecution Plaintiff closed Mrs Emely Blevens. Wm. Hill and S. P. Blevens. Sworn Examined as witnesses for the Defense. Cause Closed and to be Submitted on Briefs. Plaintiff allowed five days in which to file his brief. Defendant allowed five days in which to file his answer.
"	18.	Plaintiff. Files his Brief.
	26.	Defendant files his brief.

See Page 300.